# **REVIEW**

**Open Access** 

# Potato: from functional genomics to genetic improvement

Li Qu<sup>1</sup>, Xueqing Huang<sup>1</sup>, Xin Su<sup>1</sup>, Guoqing Zhu<sup>1</sup>, Lingli Zheng<sup>1</sup>, Jing Lin<sup>1</sup>, Jiawen Wang<sup>1</sup> and Hongwei Xue<sup>1,2\*</sup>

# Abstract

Potato is the most widely grown non-grain crop and ranks as the third most significant global food crop following rice and wheat. Despite its long history of cultivation over vast areas, slow breeding progress and environmental stress have led to a scarcity of high-yielding potato varieties. Enhancing the quality and yield of potato tubers remains the ultimate objective of potato breeding. However, conventional breeding has faced challenges due to tetrasomic inheritance, high genomic heterozygosity, and inbreeding depression. Recent advancements in molecular biology and functional genomic studies of potato have provided valuable insights into the regulatory network of physiological processes and facilitated trait improvement. In this review, we present a summary of identified factors and genes governing potato growth and development, along with progress in potato genomics and the adoption of new breeding technologies for improvement. Additionally, we explore the opportunities and challenges in potato improvement, offering insights into future avenues for potato research.

**Keywords** Potato, Vegetative growth, Flowering and senescence, Storage compound, Biotic and abiotic stress, Trait improvement

# Introduction

Potato (*Solanum tuberosum L.*), a member of the *Solanacea* family, is believed to have originated in the Andes Mountains along the Peru-Bolivia border in South America approximately 10,000 years ago (Spooner et al. 2005). As the world's third largest food crop after rice and wheat, the cultivation of potatoes has expanded in recent years. By 2020, potatoes were cultivated across 140 countries on a land expanse of 16.5 million hectares, yielding a production of 359 million tons. Potato tubers are rich in starch, high-quality protein, dietary fiber, vitamins

<sup>2</sup> Guangdong Laboratory for Lingnan Modern Agriculture, College of Agriculture, South China Agricultural University, Guangzhou 510642, China (B1, B6, B9, C, and E), minerals (sodium, magnesium, potassium, zinc, iron, and copper), and various bioactive compounds (carotenoids, anthocyanins, phenolics, and flavonoids), offering substantial nutritional benefits (Camire et al. 2009). Potato is a staple food for approximately two-thirds of the global population, plays a crucial role in addressing regional food shortages, combating poverty, and enhancing food security. In addition to their dietary importance, potatoes have various industrial applications, being processed into animal feed, alcohol, and biofuels. Furthermore, potato starch is widely used as a thickener and stabilizer in the food industry as well as a raw material in the production of paper, cosmetics, adhesives, textiles, and plastics (Burlingame et al. 2009; Dupuis and Liu 2019).

As vital crops for food and as vegetables, tubers serve as the primary nutrient storage and reproductive organs, with their yield and quality predominantly determined by their development and maturation processes. The induction and formation of potato tubers are affected by interactions among various environmental factors, plant



© The Author(s) 2024. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.gr/licenses/by/4.0/. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.gr/licenses/by/4.0/.

<sup>\*</sup>Correspondence:

Hongwei Xue

hwxue@sjtu.edu.cn

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Shanghai Collaborative Innovation Center of Agri-Seeds, Joint Center for Single Cell Biology, School of Agriculture and Biology, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Shanghai 200240, China

hormones, and signaling molecules, along with the regulation of numerous essential genes and several signal transduction and metabolic pathways. In-depth research into these key factors and the elucidation of tuberization mechanisms are crucial for advancing the yield and quality of potato tubers (Agrawal et al. 2008).

Although extensive climate adaptation has promoted the widespread distribution of potatoes globally, potatoes are vulnerable to various abiotic stresses (nutrient deficiency, cold/frost, heat, drought, salinity, and flooding) and biotic stresses (fungal, bacterial, viral, and insect pests), along with post-harvest problems (such as enzymatic browning caused by injury and the accumulation of reducing sugars during cold storage) (Handayani et al. 2019), all of which can compromise the quality and yield of potato tubers. Thus, there is a pressing need to develop elite potato varieties with enhanced agronomic traits and resistance to biotic and abiotic stresses through accelerated breeding methods, which is crucial for economic and agricultural sustainability.

Most potatoes grown commercially are tetraploid cultivated varieties. Due to their complex tetraploid inheritance, highly heterozygous genome, self-incompatibility, and inbreeding depression, genetic breeding and variety improvement in potatoes have been challenging. However, advances in molecular biology, including rapid progress in sequencing technology, genomic selection, and multi-omics approaches, have spurred the development of comparative genomic analysis and functional research on potato genes. Furthermore, availability of the potato genome sequence and efficient potato transformation systems have substantially advanced potato genetic engineering, enhancing key agronomic traits. This review highlights recent progress in potato functional genomics and genetic engineering, along with their applications in potato breeding over the past decade. In addition, we discuss the challenges and prospects for future research in potato functional genomics.

# Key regulatory factors involved in potato growth and development and crucial agronomic traits Vegetative growth

Vegetative growth of potato refers to the process of establishment and growth of vegetative organs, such as roots, stems, and leaves. We categorized potato growth and development into six key phases: (a) dormancy stage, where freshly harvested tubers experience a period of dormancy with inhibited visible bud growth; (b) tuber sprouting stage, where tubers transition from dormancy to sprouting, with sprouts developing from the eyes of the tuber; (c) vegetative growth stage, starting with sprout formation and continuing until 8–12 leaves are formed, alongside root system and stolon development;

(d) tuber induction and initiation, beginning with tuber emergence at the stolon ends and extending until the leaf system is fully developed; (e) tuber development, marked by substantial tuber elongation and growth cessation in the vegetative and root systems; and (f) tuber maturation, involving leaf structure physiological aging and the start of tuber skin tightening and thickening (Saidi and Hajibarat 2021).

# Dormancy of tubers

The dormancy and germination of potato tubers are crucial for potato cultivation, production, and processing because tubers without a dormancy period are difficult to sprout (Haider et al. 2019; Haider et al. 2022). Tuber dormancy prevents seed potatoes harvested in spring or summer from sprouting too soon planted in the following summer and autumn (Saidi and Hajibarat 2021). Moreover, when tubers are used as food and processing materials, a prolonged dormancy period is necessary for transportation and storage. Premature dormancy break can lead to substantial water and nutrient consumption, reducing commodity quality and value. Controlling the dormancy phase duration poses a major challenge in the potato industry and for seed producers (Mouzo et al. 2022).

Understanding molecular mechanisms underlying potato tuber development is essential for enhancing yield and quality. Recent advancements in molecular biology have highlighted the complex role of phytohormones in potato tuber development, including their involvement in dormancy and sprouting regulation. Recently, a comparative proteome profiling of potato cultivars during endodormancy was performed through a high-resolution two-dimensional electrophoresis (2-DE) coupling with reversed-phase liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry (LC-Triple TOF MS/MS), identifying a mitochondrial ADP/ATP carrier, catalase isozyme 2, and heat shock 70 kDa protein as key responders to changes in dormancy (Mouzo et al. 2022), and providing valuable insights into the molecular basis of dormancy regulation in potatoes and implications for storage.

Phytohormones are pivotal for regulating potato tuber dormancy and sprouting. Gibberellic acid (GA), cytokinins (CKs), and auxin contribute to dormancy termination (Muthoni et al. 2014), whereas ethylene (ET) and abscisic acid (ABA) help maintain bud dormancy in potatoes (Wróbel et al. 2017). The molecular mechanisms underlying these hormonal interactions are complex and part of an intricate network operating at multiple levels, including signal perception, transduction, transcriptional regulation, and metabolic exchange. Recent studies have indicated the importance of *Auxin response factor* (*ARF*) genes in meristem reactivation and tuber sprouting and the inhibitory effect of strigolactones on tuber bud growth (Liao et al. 2018; Suttle 2004). Additionally, the complete life cycle of potato depends on the orchestrated interaction among various phytohormones, elucidating these molecular interactions is essential crucial for enhancing yield and quality (Aksenova et al. 2012; Saidi and Hajibarat 2021).

Auxin plays a critical role in affecting tuber dormancy and sprout growth. StARF1 is downregulated in dormant tubers and upregulated in sprouting ones, and involves in tuber and sprouting dormancy breakage (Ben Chaabane et al. 2013; Liu et al. 2012). The content of free indole-3-acetic acid (IAA) in potato tuber buds increases markedly during germination, associating with the transcription level of ARF6 (Gao et al. 2016; Kumlay 2014). In addition, the interplay between auxin and ABA signaling is essential for the shift from dormancy to seed growth (Aksenova et al. 2013). ARF10 and ARF16 are necessary for sustaining ABI3 expression by acting as activators of ABI3 transcription (Liu et al. 2012). Transcription factors ABI3, ABI4, and ABI5 are pivotal in the dormancyto-sprouting transition, controlling the expression of downstream target genes involved in seed germination (Shu et al. 2016). StABI5 plays a crucial role in the regulation of tuber dormancy by controlling auxin signaling pathway and regulating the expression of downstream genes (Zhu et al. 2020a, b). Other gene families involving in ABA metabolism and biosynthesis pathways such as StZEP, StNCED, StCYP, and StSUT4, also play important roles during potato dormancy (Gong et al. 2021; Destefano-Beltrán et al. 2006). Transgenic potato plants expressing CK oxidase/dehydrogenase 1 (CKX1) and IPT genes showed altered endogenous CK levels and GAmediated sprouting (Sonnewald and Sonnewald 2014).

Brassinosteroids (BRs) also play crucial roles in regulating plant growth and germination, and it is reported that BR at 500 nM is able to break the dormancy of tubers. Overexpression of *StBIN2*, the negative regulator of BR signal transduction, prolongs dormancy, whereas the silencing *StBIN2* induces premature sprouting (Liu et al. 2024). RNA-sequencing (RNA-seq) analysis indicated that *StBIN2* affects ABA signal transduction and the expression of lignin synthesis genes, highlighting interactions among *StBIN2*, *StSnRK2.2*, and *StCCJ9* (Liu et al. 2024).

#### Germination of tubers

Breeding potato cultivars with extended dormancy and decreased sprout growth is crucial for enhancing storage and shelf-life. However, rapid emergence is vital for the seed potato industry. Understanding the molecular mechanisms will facilitate the development of improved cultivars (Sharma et al. 2021). Various factors including plant hormones, genetic makeup, signaling molecules, genotype, storage temperature, and other environmental conditions affect the tuber sprouting (Wang et al. 2020). Using transcriptomic and metabolomic methods, a recent study revealed that chlorine dioxide (ClO<sub>2</sub>) treatment regulated the expression of 3,119 genes and 932 metabolites in potato tubers. Genes downregulated were mainly related to hormone signaling, whereas upregulated ones were primarily involved in processes such as phenylpropanoid biosynthesis and the MAPK signaling pathway (Zheng et al. 2022), providing new insights into the ClO<sub>2</sub>-mediated suppression of potato sprouting. In addition, StCYP707A was found to be upregulated in tubers with low T6P levels, accelerating dormancy release (Debast et al. 2011). GPT2 plays a key role in potato tuber sweetening by transporting G6P across the plasma membrane for dephosphorylation (Barrera-Gavira 2021).

Regulating bud growth through sucrose synthesis and transport is essential for germination. Targeting alkaline invertases (AI) and neutral invertases (NI) genes affects the accumulation of reducing sugars hence to affect germination. The NI genes *StNI4*, *StNI5*, and *StNI6* promote sucrose breakdown in cold-stored potato tubers, leading to increased accumulation of reducing sugars (Datir and Regan 2022). Exogenous ET is involved in potato sprout regulation, and ET suppresses tuber sprouting, stimulates sucrose synthesis, and inhibits starch regeneration (Dai et al. 2016). Moreover, ET treatment reduces the expression of the invertase inhibitor factor while enhancing the activity of SPS, BAM, AI, and NI (Tosetti et al. 2021), or reduces total phenol accumulation and enhances their degradation, thus inhibiting sprouting (Dako et al. 2021).

Strigolactones (SLs) and auxin collaboratively inhibit tuber bud growth, affecting shoot and root architecture (Liao et al. 2018). Transgenic potatoes with decreased expression of SL biosynthetic gene *CCD8* sprouted earlier than wild-type tubers (Pasare et al. 2013). Overexpression of *GA2-oxidase 1* (*StGA2ox1*) reduced early sprout growth, leading to a dwarfed plant phenotype, suggesting that *StGA2ox1* is important in sprouting initiation instead of dormancy regulation (Kloosterman et al. 2007; Roumeliotis et al. 2013a, b). These findings expanded the understanding of the molecular mechanisms involved in potato tuber dormancy and sprouting (Fig. 1), shedding light on the potential in improving potato storage, quality, and industry efficiency.

#### Overall growth of potato tissues

Potato development from a seed or tuber is a complex process involving asexual reproduction through tuber propagation and sexual reproduction through flower pollination. The root provides nutrients and water for stolon and tuber growth (Iwama 2008). Drought substantially



Fig. 1 Schematic representation of phytohormone-related pathways in the regulation of potato dormancy and sprouting. Hormones abscisic acid (ABA), cytokinin (CK), ethylene (ET), gibberellins (GAs), auxin, brassinosteroids (BR), and strigolactones (SL) regulate potato dormancy and sprouting synergistically or antagonistically by regulating the expression of related genes

affects yield, especially in shallow-rooted crops such as potatoes (Sołtys-Kalina et al. 2016). The potato root system, known for its shallow depth and weak soil penetration, is considered less efficient than that of other crops (Joshi et al. 2016; Stalhman et al. 2007).

Commercial potatoes are propagated using freshly sprouting tubers, termed "mother" tubers. "Basal roots" first emerge where the sprout meets the mother tuber (Wishart et al. 2013). As the sprout grows, roots develop at specific nodes on its underground stem, closely associated with stolon initiation sites, forming a pattern where four roots encircle the emerging stolon (Joshi et al. 2016). Roots at the stolon/stem junction and nodes on the stolon are termed "stolon roots" and "stolon node roots", respectively (Wishart et al. 2013). Root system architecture (RSA) is affected by the growth and branching of adventitious roots (ARs) and lateral roots (LRs) (Joshi et al. 2016).

Endogenous and environmental factors regulate the complex adventitious rooting process in potatoes, affecting root elongation, branching, and longevity (Joshi and Ginzberg 2021). Potato plants form adventitious roots post-embryonically, with lateral roots emerging through auxin-dependent cell cycle activation (Joshi et al. 2016). Genes found in model plants and involved in root system responses to environmental cues, such as *ANR1*, *NRT1*, *PHO1*, and *RSA1*, are expressed in potato roots as well, indicating the potential to enhance soil utilization and yield through manipulating potato RSA (Joshi et al. 2016). miR164 affects LR development by targeting NAC transcription factors in potatoes (Zhang et al. 2018). Two *ARF* genes, *StARF10* and *StARF16*, identified as the targets of stu-miR160a/b, exhibited varying expression levels correlated with root development stages (Yang et al. 2021a, b). Suppressing stu-miR8006-p5-1ss9AT considerably altered potato root architecture through affecting its target, auxin-induced in root cultures protein 12 (Duan et al. 2023).

Nitrogen (N) is crucial for potato growth, affecting metabolism, photosynthesis, starch synthesis, and antioxidant content, with cultivars responding differently to N availability, highlighting the importance of N management (Zhang et al. 2020). Magnesium (Mg) transport and distribution are vital for root growth, with Mg transporter gene expression varying in response to Mg levels, influencing root development in Mg-deficient conditions (Koch et al. 2020). Phosphorus (P) availability affects the RSA of potato and the expression of genes related to root development. The *StCAD* gene family plays a key role in potato's response to Cd stress, with post-Cd stress analysis revealing upregulation in most family genes, enhancing CAD activity and promoting lignin accumulation in roots (Fig. 2, left; Yang et al. 2024).

Potato is sensitive to water and nutrient stresses due to its shallow and sparse root system, and lower soil nutrient or water availability limit the growth and tuber yield of potato (Nurmanov et al. 2019; Milroy et al. 2019). Farmers usually increase fertilizer input to ensure the soil nutrient supply and potato productivity (Xu et al. 2019; Li et al. 2020), which generates gaseous emission and causes



**Fig. 2** Regulation of potato vegetative growth by phytohormones and inorganic elements, and leaf senescence by environmental stimuli. Genes *ANR1*, *NRT1*, and *PHO1*, and inorganic nutrient elements nitrogen, magnesium, and phosphorus, regulate root development of potato. MicroRNAs and phytohormones regulate leaf development. Circadian clock, SA/ROS, ABA, stress, secondary metabolites, and sucrose regulate leaf senescence through various pathways. StABL1 and StABI5, ABA signalling pathway transcription factors; StUBA2a/b and StUBA2c, the NA-binding proteins; StSWEET11/15/10C, sucrose transporters

environmental pollution, as well as human health hazards (Wang et al. 2020). Enhancing nutrient use efficiency is thus a critical need for achieving sustainable agriculture and human health. With the efforts of scientific researchers, advancements in nutrient utilization and fertilizer management optimization appeared, including Nutrient expert system (Sha et al. 2022), sustainable agri-food systems using multi-nutrient fertilizers in Kenyan smallholder farming systems (Adolwa et al. 2023), and nitrogen management indicators under drip irrigation (Di et al. 2024), to improve sustainable production and tuber quality of potatoes.

In addition to root growth, the development of stem and leaves, which provides nourishment through photosynthesis (Mouzo et al. 2022), is vital for potato growth after germination. Positive and significant associations exist between the height of the tallest stem and the combined root and stem mass, as well as with tuber mass, suggesting that more robust plants usually yield more tubers (Fig. 2, left; Kacheyo et al. 2021).

Leaf number and leaf area index are strongly correlated, and these traits can be assessed through a single measurement (Liu et al. 2020). StHK proteins, including StHK3 and StHK4, act as CK receptors in potatoes and StHK3 gene is predominantly expressed in leaves (Lomin et al. 2018). MicroRNAs miR159, miR160, miR162, miR166, miR167, miR168, and miR171 are highly expressed in leaf and are crucial in determining potato leaf architecture (Yang et al. 2019). Recent study supports the involvement of miR160 in regulating potato leaf curvature by targeting StARF10/16/17 (Natarajan et al. 2020). Overexpressing the cytokinindeactivating gene CYTOKININ OXYDASE 2 (CKX2) exhibited an excessive growth of axillary shoots and branching (Pino et al. 2022). Understanding the regulatory mechanisms governing potato stem and leaf development could be key to improving potato crop productivity and quality.

#### Senescence in potato

Senescence refers to the gradual degradation or decline of cells, tissues, organs, and organisms with increasing age (Woo et al. 2019). In plants, pro-senescence serves as a self-protection mechanism under stress, but it also substantially limits crop yield potential, leading to economic losses. A negative correlation was observed between life cycle length and yield in C×E populations and specifically in the genotype CE3027 (Clot et al. 2023; Shi et al. 2024). However, varieties selected for the breeding program prioritized late senescence and early nodulation to maximize yields (Clot et al. 2023). Thus, understanding senescence regulation mechanisms is crucial for developing new varieties and enhancing potato yield.

Leaf senescence is a biological process that is coordinately regulated by multiple genes and is self-depleting, which involves highly complex genetic programs that are precisely controlled by multiple layers of regulation, including chromatin and transcriptional regulation, posttranscriptional regulation, translational regulation, and post-translational regulation (Guo et al. 2021). The Leaf Senescence Database (LSD, 4.0) contains 31,214 genes and 1,037 mutants from 86 different species, providing valuable resources for elucidating the regulatory mechanisms of leaf senescence (Cao et al. 2022). Leaf senescence is affected by age, plant hormones, and external factors such as light, temperature, and nutrient availability. The senescence process involves complex regulatory networks and interactions among multiple signaling pathways, shaped by both environmental factors and internal signals (Lim et al. 2007). Genetic and functional genomic studies of senescence-related genes have shed light on the regulatory mechanisms of potato senescence (Fig. 2, right), which are important for managing and potentially improving crop longevity and productivity.

#### Hormones

Hormone content analysis in grafted potato plants demonstrated that early-maturing varieties exhibited higher levels of ABA and SA but lower levels of IAA compared with late-maturing varieties, indicating that elevated ABA and SA levels, along with decreased IAA levels, might contribute to the early physiological maturity of potato plants (Hui et al. 2022).

ABA insensitive 5 (ABI5), a basic leucine zipper (bZIP) transcription factor essential for ABA signaling, affects leaf yellowing and senescence when overexpressed in transgenic potatoes (Finkelstein and Lynch 2000; Zhu et al. 2020a, b). StABI5 like 1 (StABL1) interacts with StSP3D and StSP6A, creating new flowering and tuber

formation complexes in a 14–3-3 protein-dependent manner, leading to early flowering and tuber formation, thereby shortening the growth cycle (Jing et al. 2022). Overexpression of *StUBA2a/b* in *Arabidopsis* triggers premature leaf senescence, with upregulation of genes related to SA signaling and biosynthesis in *StUBA2a/b*-OE plants (Na et al. 2015).

TCP transcription factor StAST1 (StABL1 and StSP6Aassociated TCP protein 1) regulates the ripening syndrome by interacting with StSP6A and StABL1, which in turn reduces the formation of the nodulin-activated complex (aTAC). StAST1 regulates the ABA/GA activity through activation of *StGA200x1* and interaction with StABL1 (Sun et al. 2024).

# **Circadian clock**

StCDF1, a DOF transcription factor, is regulated by circadian rhythms and interacts with StSP6A to influence tuber formation and plant life cycle. Removal of the C-terminal DOF3 region from StCDF1 alleles enhanced protein stability, promoting potato ripening (Kloosterman et al. 2013). In Arabidopsis, the FKF1-GI protein complex interacts with CDF1. The resulting complex suppresses the expression of StCO1/2 and alleviates the repression of StSP6A expression, thus promotes plant maturation and tuber development (Kloosterman et al. 2013). StCDF1 acts as a negative regulator of senescence by binding and suppressing StORE1S02, a homolog of ORESARA1, to shorten life cycle (Shi et al. 2024; Tai et al. 2024). StABL1 collaborates with florigen (StSP3D) and tuberigen (StSP6A) under both long-day and short-day conditions to advance potato maturity (Jing et al. 2022).

StABI5 is involved in chloroplast development and photosynthesis and is influenced by the circadian clock. Overexpressing *StABI5* in potato accelerates dark-induced leaf yellowing and senescence, revealing that darkness enhances the transcriptional activity of *StABI5*, triggering chlorophyll catabolism gene expression, lead-ing to chlorophyll breakdown, and causing leaf yellowing and senescence (Zhu et al. 2020a, b). Red light treatment enhances APX activity more than other treatments, boosting antioxidant capacity and thus delaying leaf senescence (He et al. 2022).

# Stress

Salt stress is a major abiotic factor affecting senescence. Under salt stress, photosynthetic pigments, proteins and biomass of potato plants decrease, whereas ROS and MDA contents increase, as well as the soluble sugars, soluble proteins and proline contents due to the enhanced enzymatic activity of POD, SOD and CAT (Wang et al. 2023a, b). StHPT and St-gamma-TMT, key enzymes in tocopherol synthesis, are crucial for plant responses to salt stress. Mutants deficient in these enzymes demonstrated decreased tocopherol levels. When exposed to 400 mM NaCl, plants with reduced expression of *StHPT* and *St-gamma-TMT* exhibited delayed leaf senescence (Abbasi et al. 2007).

Drought stress reduces the photosynthetic efficiency of potato leaves (Obidiegwu et al. 2015). Darkness activates the transcriptional activity of *StABI5* and induces the expression of chlorophyll catabolism related genes to accelerate the chlorophyll degradation, leading to leaf yellowing and senescence (Zhu et al. 2020a, b). *Verticillium dahliae*, a soil-borne fungus, triggers wilt, chlorosis, and premature plant senescence (Dung et al. 2014). Overexpressing *StPP2Ac2b* in potatoes increases susceptibility to pathogens, indicating that *StPP2Ac2b* positively influences pathogen-induced senescence. This effect might be linked to its role in controlling the spread of cell death after mechanical injury (Muñiz García et al. 2022).

# Secondary metabolites

Metabolomic analysis revealed that the early-maturing Z5 scion exhibited an increase in phenolic acids and flavonoids, whereas the late-maturing Z18 scion displayed a decrease in amino acids, phenolic acids, and alkaloids (Hui et al. 2022). Knockdown of GMPase in transgenic plants promotes a senescence phenotype, suggesting that GMPase affects senescence by increasing ascorbic acid content (Keller et al. 1999). StHPT, involved in tocopherol synthesis, is critical for senescence regulation; RNAi mutants of HPT showed faster leaf senescence and increased oxidative stress responses, highlighting the involvement of tocopherol in leaf senescence (Abbasi et al. 2007; Abbasi et al. 2009). Three phenolic acid compounds (1-caffeoylquinic acid, protocatechuic acid-4-glucoside, and trihydroxycinnamoylquinic acid) and a flavonoid glycoside (myricetin-O-glucoside-rhamnoside) inhibit the growth of precocious cultivars and associate with the precocious traits in potato (Hui et al. 2022).

#### Sucrose, lipids and mRNA

Maturity is essential trait for breeders to select potato cultivars suitable to grow in different latitudes. *StSWEET10C* and *StSWEET11* have been identified to be associated with early potato maturity through RNAseq analysis and metabolomic assays (Hui et al. 2022). StORE1S02 promotes expression of *StSWEET11* and *SAG29/SWEET15*, increasing sugar transport and leading to senescence and yield loss in potatoes (Shi et al. 2024). However, whether leaf senescence is driven by sugar accumulation or deficiency remains to be clarified (van Doorn 2008). Although ethylene accelerates leaf senescence in potatoes, lysophosphatidylethanolamine (LPE) can delay ethylene-induced senescence and could mitigate the loss of apical dominance in micropropagated potato plantlets (Özgen et al. 2005). Additionally, long-distance transport signal molecules, mRNAs, are revealed to be involved in maturity of tetraploid cultivated potatoes by regulating transcript levels of related genes like *StCBF1*, *StCBF2*, *StMADS18* (Hui et al. 2022).

# **Flowering in potato**

Plant flowering, marking the shift from vegetative to reproductive growth in the shoot apical meristem (SAM), involves dynamic changes in growth and development, cell division at the stem tip meristem, and subsequent morphological transformations. During flowering induction, the SAM transitions to an inflorescence meristem (IM), which then forms flower meristems (FMs) that produce flowers, fruits, and seeds. In Arabidopsis, the IM leads to the continuous growth of inflorescences (Shannon and Meekswagner 1991; Bradley et al. 1997; Larsson et al. 1998). At least six pathways regulating flowering, including the photoperiod, vernalization, gibberellin, autonomous, age-dependent, and temperature-sensitive pathways have been identified, as well as key genes such as FLOWERING LOCUS T (FT) and transcription factors such as CONSTANS (CO) (Fornara et al. 2010; Song et al. 2012; Song et al. 2014; Rosas et al. 2014). Recently, studies revealed the functional evolution and unique regulatory mechanisms of FT gene family in horticulture plants, indicating the promising applications of these genes as breeding targets (Wang et al. 2022a, b). Unlike Arabidopsis, potatoes are monoecious and homogamous, facilitating self-fertilization and hybridization. They can reproduce both sexually through flowering and asexually through tuberization. Flowering time in potatoes is affected by interactions between internal and external factors, including hormones, sugars, isoprenoids, and microRNAs, along with external cues such as photoperiod (Fig. 3).

#### Endogenous regulation

*Arabidopsis* FT is a key protein with strong flowerinducing activity (Wigge 2011). The homologous genes of FT have identified in tomatoes and potatoes, highlighting their importance in flowering signals (Kinoshita et al. 2011). In rice, the homologs Hd3a and RICE FLOWERING LOCUS T1 (RFT1) regulate flowering under short-day and long-day conditions, respectively (Komiya et al. 2008). In potatoes, flowering also relies on mobile FT-like proteins, with two homologs, StSP3D and StSP6A. StSP3D is primarily involved in flowering regulation, and its expression in leaves is controlled by photoperiod, whereas StSP6A is considered the main regulatory factor for tuber formation (Navarro et al. 2011).



**Fig. 3** A diagram of the regulatory mechanism of potato flowering. Photoperiod, sucrose, microRNA, and isoprenoids play crucial roles in the regulation of potato flowering. StSP3D interacts with transcription factor StABL1, bridged by St14-3-3 s to form a flowering activation complex (aFAC) that promotes flowering. Under long day (LD) condition, *StCO* inhibits *StSP3D* expression, reducing the formation of aFAC and thereby inhibiting flowering. Conversely, under short day (SD) condition, *StCO* promotes the expression of *StSP3D*, which, in turn, promotes flowering. StSUT1, StSUT2, and StSUT4, sugar transporters

Additionally, three more FT-like genes (*StSP5G, StSP5G-like*, and *StSP3A*) have been found in potatoes (Xu et al. 2011). In tomatoes and rice, FT binds with FD and a 14–3-3 protein to form the so-called "flowering activation complex" (FAC), which regulates the expression of characteristic genes of the floral meristem tissue, such as *SOC1, AP1*, and *FUL* (Pnueli et al. 2001; Taoka et al. 2011). Interesting, FAC complexes similar to those observed in tomatoes and rice regulate the flowering process of potatoes (Almekinders and Wiersema 1991; González-Schain and Suarez-Lopez 2008).

StABL1 plays a role in the formation of alternative TACs (aTACs) and alternative FACs (aFACs) in potato, which are involved in flowering. Overexpressing *StABL1* results in the early initiation of flowering (Liu et al. 2019). StSP6A interacts with StABL1, bridged by St14-3-3 s, to form an FAC-like complex that promotes flowering (Teo et al. 2017). CO, a BBX (B-box type zinc finger) family member, enhances flowering in *Arabidopsis* in a photoperiod-sensitive manner. Heterologous expression of *CO* in potatoes inhibited flowering and stem block formation, indicating a different mechanism in potato flowering regulation (González-Schain and Suarez-Lopez 2008).

The potato genome contains three CO-like genes (*StCOL1/StCOL2/StCOL3*), which are located in a tandem array on chromosome 2 (Abelenda et al. 2016). Silencing and overexpression of *StCO* in potato can lead to early flowering, which can be explained by the upregulation of potato FT homologous protein StSP3D. *StBBX24* is a clock control gene encoding a B-box protein located in the cytoplasmic and nuclear chromatin fractions and knockdown of *STBBX24* expression resulted in earlier flowering. By contrast, overexpression of *StBBX24* did not produce flower buds when compared with wild-type plants (Kiełbowicz-Matuk et al. 2022), suggesting that the regulation of *StCO* expression is necessary to control flowering time in potato

# Photoperiod

Photoperiod significantly influences potato flowering, with plants in regions with shorter daylight hours experiencing flowering earlier than those in areas with extended sunlight exposure. This timing ensures that potatoes flower at the optimal period for successful pollination and seed production. Key photoreceptors involved in photoperiod-induced flowering in potatoes include phytochrome A (StPHYA), phytochrome B (StPHYB), and phytochrome F (StPHYF). The silencing of *StPHYF* leads to an early flowering because it activates the florigen encoding gene *StSP3D* and other related genes. Additionally, transcriptional and microarray data identified many genes such as *StMADS1*, *StMADS13*, *StFDF1.1*, and *StSUT1*, which are potentially involved in both tuberization and flowering processes (Wang et al. 2023a, b).

Under short-day condition, accumulated StSP6A forms a TAC with StABL1 facilitated by St14-3–3 proteins, affecting GA metabolism, inhibiting GA activity at stolon tips, and promoting tuberization. Under both longday and short-day conditions, StSP3D interacts with StABL1 through St14-3-3 s, creating an FAC-like complex that promotes flowering (Jing et al. 2022). Under short-day or long-day conditions, StCO enhances or represses *StSP3D* expression, promoting or inhibiting flowering, respectively (Navarro et al. 2011).

Daily light integral (DLI) significantly influences flowering time, with higher DLI advancing flower bud emergence by activating the StSP3D gene. Although StSP3D expression increased under high DLI, StSP3Dsilenced lines also exhibited early flowering under these conditions, suggesting that high DLI-induced flowering is not solely dependent on the StSP3D pathway (Plantenga et al. 2019). High DLI might affect sucrose and StTPS1 expression, potentially triggering StSP3D and accelerating flowering. Observations under various light conditions demonstrated that high DLI improves plant carbohydrate status, as determined by measuring sucrose and starch in leaves and stem tips, and StTPS1 expression in leaves. However, this does not indicate a direct link between carbohydrate status and the flowering process (Plantenga et al. 2019).

Flower development is expedited under a combination of low photoperiod and high light intensity, whereas high photoperiod or low light levels decelerate flowering. Genetic disruptions in *PHYF*, *StCOL1*, or *StSP5G* lead to swift flowering and tuberization under low-light conditions (Abelenda et al. 2016).

# Hormones

GA4 binds tightly to its receptor GID1, forming a complex with the flowering inhibitor DELLA, which then undergoes ubiquitination and degradation via the 26S proteasome, mitigating the suppressive effect of DELLA on flowering (Wang et al. 2009). GA3 treatment also affected the reproductive growth of potato plants. For early maturing varieties with limited flowering capacity that do not bud, a 50% flowering rate can be induced. In varieties with strong flowering potential, GA3 can prompt early flowering and prolong the flowering duration. This effect may result from GA3's ability to hinder tuberization, shift nutrient distribution, and facilitate inflorescence development (Wu et al. 2014).

#### Sucrose

Sugar plays a crucial role in the flowering control of various plants. The *sucrose transporter* (*SUT*) gene family is widely distributed in higher plants. Despite low homology among sucrose transport proteins from different species, they all fall under the category of proton sucrose co-transporters (Kuhn et al. 1997).

In potatoes, the role of carbohydrates in regulating flowering is less understood. Studies have indicated that *StSUT1* predominantly facilitates sugar accumulation in tubers (Chandran et al. 2003). Research on *StSUT4* suggested its involvement in flowering and tuber formation (Chincinska et al. 2008). RNA interference (RNAi) targeting *StSUT4* during potato flowering reduced *StSUT1* expression, increased plant sucrose levels and promoted early flowering and higher tuber yield (Chincinska et al. 2008). StSUT2-RNAi affects flowering time and tuber yield without affecting carbohydrate storage in leaves and tubers, possibly influencing cell wall component metabolism (Gong et al. 2023).

MiR172 is involved in phloem movement and sugardependent signaling for flower and tuber initiation in potato plants, illustrating the link between solute transport and the onset of flowering and tuberization. The expression of mature miR172 in wild-type and *StSUT4*silenced potato plants is dependent on sucrose signaling (Garg et al. 2021).

# Isoprenoids and microRNAs

Isoprenoids, a large group of plant natural products, play major roles in plant growth and development. Overexpression of *HMGR1* in plants leads to increased levels of sterols, steroidal glycoalkaloids (SGAs), and plastidial isoprenoids, resulting in early flowering, greater stem height, more biomass, and higher total tuber weight (Moehninsi et al. 2020). Overexpressing miR156, which may act as a graft-transmissible signal, leads to reduced tuber yield and delayed flowering (Bhogale et al. 2014). Conversely, miR172 enhances flowering and initiates tuberization even under noninductive conditions (Martin et al. 2009).

Further research is required to fully elucidate the specific regulatory mechanisms and pathways of the flowering process in potatoes, which is essential for understanding potato reproductive development and could contribute to advancements in potato breeding.

# Potato tuberization

As the storage and harvesting organ of potatoes, tubers are a vital source of starch, protein, antioxidants, and

vitamins. Tubers form in the near apical region of underground stolons (Ewing and Struik 1992) and the formation process is categorized into four stages: stolonogenesis, tuber induction and initiation, tuber enlargement, and maturation (Viola et al. 2001). The development of potato tubers is co-regulated by various internal factors and external conditions, which activate multiple signal transduction pathways, exhibiting intricate upstream and downstream relationships and interactions (Fig. 4).

# Hormones

*Auxin* Auxin exhibits an increase in its levels prior to and during tuber growth, and plays an important role in tuberization (Roumeliotis et al. 2012). Studies indicate



**Fig. 4** Regulatory factors involved in potato tuberization. **A** Auxin, ABA, CK, and JA promote, whereas GA inhibits, tuberization. **B** Under high temperature, *StTOC1* inhibits the expression of *StSP6A* by acting on microRNA. **C** StSP6A and StBEL5 produced in leaves are transported to the stolon stem tip as a movement signal to induce tuberization, and StCOL1/2 inhibits tuberization by inhibiting the accumulation of StSP6A and StBEL5 mRNAs in leaves

that auxin positively affects tuberization in a concentration-dependent manner, with IAA content in the subapical stolon region rising by 45% during apical expansion (Koda and Okazawa 1983). Applying 2 to 10 mg/L IAA externally promoted early tuberization by regulating sucrose accumulation at the stolon tips (Wang et al. 2018; Zhang et al. 2005), highlighting the link between auxin and tuberization. DR5 promoter-driven GUS expression and staining revealed a marked increase in auxin levels in stolons before tuberization, remaining high throughout tuber growth, emphasizing auxin's crucial role in this phase (Roumeliotis et al. 2012). The upregulation of the auxin synthesis gene TMS1, driven by a tuber-specific promoter (B33 promoter), during tuberization corresponds with increased auxin levels and reduced carbohydrate and photoperiod dependence for tuber enlargement in vitro (Kolachevskaya et al. 2015). This suggests the positive effect of auxin on potato tuber enlargement, indicating its potential to boost potato yield by augmenting auxin levels.

Analysis of the potato genome revealed numerous genes essential for auxin signaling comparable to those in Arabidopsis. For example, potato has five receptor genes (six in Arabidopsis) (Kolachevskaya et al. 2017), 22 ARF genes (23 in Arabidopsis), and 25 AUX/IAA genes (29 in Arabidopsis) (Kumar et al. 2015). The auxin-related genes are differentially expressed in the early stages of tuber development. A study demonstrated the involvement of StIAA in tuber development. When 4-week-old plants were treated with IAA (10  $\mu$ M) for 3 h, 12 StIAA genes were highly expressed in stolons, most of which were auxin-sensitive (Gao et al. 2016). StIAA1 gene is accumulated in potato tubers after fungal infection and injury, and the expression level of StIAA1 mRNA in potato leaves significantly increased under IAA treatment (Zanetti et al. 2003). Silencing the expression of the StIAA2 gene led to increased plant height, lower petiole attachment, and extreme curvature of stem tip leaf primordia. This was accompanied by altered expression of several downstream genes, including other Aux/IAA family members (Kloosterman et al. 2006).

During the early stages of tuber development, auxin levels in stolons increased, accompanied by the upregulated expression of two PIN family genes, suggesting the substantial role of auxin transport in potato tuberization (Kloosterman et al. 2008). In sequenced potatoes, 10 PIN family genes were identified, with *StPIN3* and *StPIN4* predominantly active in stolons and *StPIN1* and *StPIN4* mainly active in young tubers (Roumeliotis et al. 2013a, b). The expression of *GUS* genes driven by StPIN2/4 promoters was observed in the vascular bundles during the initial tuber stage and in parenchyma cells during rapid tuber growth, highlighting the crucial role of *StPIN* genes in auxin distribution during early tuber development (Roumeliotis et al. 2013a, 2013b).

*GAs* High endogenous GA levels associated with the uninduced state of potato, inhibiting tuberization and encouraging stolon elongation (Railton and Wareing 1973; Xu et al. 1998). The primary bioactive GAs, GA1 and GA3, decrease rapidly before tuberization after being elevated during stolon growth (Malkawi et al. 2007). Tuberization can be induced by applying GA biosynthesis inhibitors, with high GA levels at stolon tips favoring meristem elongation and lower GA levels needed for tuberization initiation.

GA 20-oxidase (GA20ox) is crucial for synthesizing active GAs (Hedden and Thomas 2012). *StGA20ox1* over-expression resulted in delayed tuber development (Roumeliotis et al. 2013a, b). Similarly, StGA2ox1 is essential for tuberization, with its expression increasing in early tuber development stages, especially in the sub-apical region of stolons and growing tubers (Kloosterman et al. 2007). The activity of StGA2ox1 at tuber initiation likely facilitates normal tuber growth by altering GA levels. Moreover, *StGA3ox2* overexpression delays tuber formation, whereas StGA3ox2 RNAi lines produce more tubers (Carrera et al. 2000; Jackson et al. 2003, b).

*ABA* The endogenous level of ABA increases during tuber induction and declines during tuberization. Although the role of ABA in tuberization is not fully understood, it is hypothesized to stimulate tuberization by counteracting the inhibitory effects of GA (Grandellis et al. 2016). Initially, as tuberization begins, the ABA/ GA ratio markedly increases. When the tuber swells, ABA levels rise and GA levels drop. However, tuber formation is substantially affected by the GA/ABA ratio and not by the absolute hormone concentration (Macháčková et al. 1998).

*CK* CK is pivotal in regulating potato tuberization, affecting cell division and proliferation. Early tuber growth exhibited a positive correlation between cell proliferation rate and the levels of auxin and CK (Raspor et al. 2020a). Endogenous CK peaks during tuber formation, and studies have indicated that applying exogenous CK (3 mg/L) or upregulating CK synthesis genes in tubers enhances tuberization (Cheng et al. 2020; Koda and Okazawa 1983; McGrady et al. 1986; Raspor et al. 2020a, b). However, overexpressing genes encoding CK oxidase, an enzyme that inactivates CK, results in fewer tubers, and overexpressing the *IPT* gene reduces tuber

yield, complicating the determination of the precise role of CK in tuberization (Hartmann et al. 2011). During tuber development, BEL and POTH signaling factors modulate CK synthesis. Following CK synthesis, it suppresses the expression of *POTM1* through receptor histidine kinases (StHKs), fostering cell division in the meristem and encouraging starch accumulation in the tubers (Lomin et al. 2018; Mariana et al. 2006).

JA JA enhances potato tuber formation by counteracting the effects of GA (Aksenova et al. 2012). Initial studies have identified 12-OH-JA (tuberonic acid, TA) and its glucoside (TAG) as key substances promoting tuber formation and development (Yoshihara et al. 1989). TA and TAG, synthesized in leaves and transported to stolon tips, increase JA levels there, inducing stolon swelling (Koda et al. 1991; Takahashi et al. 1994). Further research showed increased jasmine content in the newly formed potato tuber cortex (Abdala et al. 1996). JA and TA levels in tubers and adjacent stolons decrease during tuberization (Abdala et al. 2002; Cenzano et al. 2005). Enhancing tuber JA content through exogenous application or gene overexpression promotes tuberization (Abe et al. 1990; Cenzano et al. 2007; Koda et al. 1991; Sohn et al. 2011), illustrating the role of JA in tuber formation and development. Overexpression of the transcription inhibitor StJAZ1 of JA signaling pathway can inhibit the initiation and enlargement of the top tubers of creeping stems, leading to a decrease in yield (Begum et al. 2022).

Despite the promotive effects of JA on tuberization, its specific role remains debated due to varying experimental JA concentrations (Ruiz del Castillo et al. 2010). Low JA concentrations (0.03 to 1  $\mu$ M) thicken potato meristems and boost stem, leaf, and root growth (Castro et al. 1999; Cenzano et al. 2003; Ruiz del Castillo et al. 2010), whereas high concentrations (>10  $\mu$ M) may not enhance and can even inhibit tuber growth (Ravnikar and Gogala 1990; Ravnikar et al. 1992; Ruiz del Castillo et al. 2010). Applying JA at optimal concentrations (1 to 10  $\mu$ M) is crucial for promoting tuber cell enlargement and formation (Pelacho and Mingo-Castel 1991; Pruski et al. 2002; Sarkar et al. 2006).

#### Temperature

High temperature inhibits tuberization in potatoes (Hijmans 2003), with this negative effect being consistent across different potato varieties (Van Dam et al. 1996; Lehretz et al. 2019; Singh et al. 2015). StSP6A plays a role in temperature-dependent tuberization, with its expression decreasing under high-temperature conditions (Hancock et al. 2014; Lehretz et al. 2019; Morris et al. 2019). The potato gene *StTOC1*, analogous to *Arabidopsis TOC1*, acts as a heat-responsive transcriptional regulator that suppresses *StSP6A* expression (Hancock et al. 2014; Morris et al. 2019). Silencing *StTOC1* increases tuber yield and StSP6A transcription levels. The *StSP6A* promoter contains a TOC1 recognition motif, suggesting the direct interaction of *StTOC1* with the *StSP6A* promoter (Morris et al. 2019). Additionally, a microRNA named SUPPRESSING EXPRESSION OF SP6A (SES) targets and negatively regulates the *StSP6A* transcription. Overexpressing *SES* reduced *StSP6A* transcription, but not when targeting codon-optimized *StSP6A*<sup>coop</sup>. Overexpressing *StSP6A*<sup>coop</sup>enhanced potato tuber yield under high temperatures (Lehretz et al. 2019).

Recent research has highlighted the significance of posttranscriptional regulation in the early phase of transcription and transcriptional regulation as a key latestage factor that suppresses StSP6A expression in leaves under high temperatures. Overexpressing StSP6A in leaves counteracted the suppression of tuber formation caused by high temperatures, but it did not mitigate the later-stage decline in tuber yield, likely due to inhibited sugar transport. Transcriptome analysis identified potential regulators involved in the thermal response of tuberization at various stages, suggesting that potatoes employ distinct molecular mechanisms over time to effectively manage tuber development at elevated temperatures (Park et al. 2022). GA biosynthesis inhibitor chloroethyltrimethylammonium chloride (CCM) could counteract the adverse effects of high temperature on tuber formation when applied to plants or stem segments (Menzel 1983). By overexpressing StGA2ox1 in potatoes, the level of bioactive GA decreased, promoting tuber formation (Dong et al. 2017; Shi et al. 2016). Overexpression of StSP6A also triggered StGA2ox1 expression (Kloosterman et al. 2007; Navarro et al. 2011). However, the molecular mechanisms within the temperatureresponsive components of the GA signaling pathway and the exact relationship between StSP6A and GA remain unclear.

#### Photoperiod

*Phytochrome* The key photoreceptors associated with photoperiod-induced tuberization in potatoes are StPHYA, StPHYB, and StPHYF as described above. StPHYA detects light signals under far-red light condition, contributing to the reorganization of potato biological rhythms and tuber formation. StPHYB, accumulating stably in green leaves, acts as a long-distance signaling molecule, responding to photoperiod changes under red light. StPHYF and StPHYB potentially regulate potato tuberization through heterodimer formation, suppressing tuber formation under non-inductive long-day

conditions. Additionally, the blue light receptor FLAVIN-BINDING KELCH REPEAT F-BOX PROTEIN 1 (FKF1) in potatoes forms a complex (StFKF1/StGI) with the circadian clock core regulator GIGANTEA (GI), utilizing blue light to gauge day length (Zhou et al. 2019).

# Constans (CO) and Cycling Dof Factor 1 (CDF1)

Transcription factor CO, crucial for regulating flowering and tuberization, is tightly controlled by circadian rhythms. Potatoes have two CO variants (StCO1 and StCO2), also known as StCOL1/2, which negatively influence tuberization (Navarro et al. 2011). StCO overexpression delays tuberization, with its inhibitory impact on tuberization transmissible via grafting (González-Schain et al. 2012). The DOF (DNA-binding with one finger) gene family, unique to plants, modulates the expression of various genes during growth and development. The CDF1 (StCDF1) protein, part of the DOF family in potatoes, interacts with StGI1 and StFKF1, downstream of clock components StGI1 and StFKF1. The StFKF1/StGI complex influences StCDF1 stability, which in turn regulates StCOL1/2 expression, making StCDF1 a vital player in the circadian clock's regulation of StCO (Kloosterman et al. 2013; Sawa et al. 2007).

# Flowering locust (FT) homologous genes

FT proteins, produced in leaves and transported via phloem, are recognized as signaling molecules that trigger flowering, with CO proteins serving as transcription factors regulating FT expression (González-Schain et al. 2012). Grafting studies in tobacco and potato have demonstrated that florigenin, or tuberogenin, acts as a mobile signal for both flowering and tuberization (Abelenda et al. 2014), implying that these processes might share a common signaling molecule. Potatoes have four FT-like genes: StSP6A, StSP5G, StTFL1, and *StSP3D*. StSP6A is confirmed to be a mobile signal from leaves to stolon tips under long-day conditions, inducing tuberization. In photoperiod-sensitive varieties, limited sunlight triggers StSP6A mRNA accumulation in leaves and stolons, enhancing tuberization (Navarro et al. 2011; González-Schain et al. 2012). Inducible promoterdriven StSP6A expression also activates tuber marker genes, with higher expression in early-maturing and lower in late-maturing varieties, indicating a link between StSP6A expression and tuber maturation, though the transcriptional regulation mechanism remains unclear.

Under long-day conditions, StPHYB detects light signals and forms a complex with E3-ubiquitination ligase FKF1 and nuclear protein GI, which then regulates StCDF1, influencing *StCOL1/2* expression and consequently inhibiting StSP6A and tuberization (Sawa et al. 2007). The impact of StCOL1/2 on tuber induction, confirmed through grafting, suggests its remote regulatory role in signaling molecule gene expression, aligning with StCDF1's modulation of StCOL1/2 and StSP6A activity. StCOL1/2's suppression of StSP6A is also affected by StSP5G (Abelenda et al. 2016; Zhou et al. 2019). Additionally, the FT-like gene StTFL1 maintains high mRNA levels in stolons during tuber induction and early development, with *StTFL1* overexpression increasing tuber numbers (Guo et al. 2010), highlighting its role in tuber induction and growth. While StSP3D is known to influence flowering (Navarro et al. 2011), its effect on tuberization remains unreported.

#### StBEL5 and POTH1

StBEL5 acts as a positive regulator of tuberization, with its mRNA produced in leaves and transported via the phloem to underground stolon tips (Banerjee et al. 2007). *StBEL5* expression in leaves is triggered by low levels of blue and red light, but remains unaffected by sunlight duration. *StBEL5* overexpression leads to early tuberization, while RNAi-mediated StBEL5 suppression notably decreases tuber yield (Sharma et al. 2016). The StBEL5 protein triggers various tuber-forming genes, including StSP6A and StCDF1 (Abelenda et al. 2016; Sharma et al. 2016). During tuberization induction, StSP6A and StBEL5, produced in leaves, are transported to the stolon's tip, while StCOL1/2 counters tuberization by reducing StSP6A and StBEL5 transcriptions in leaves (Hannappel et al. 2017).

*POTH1* gene expression in potatoes is light-induced, with POTH1 mRNA moving to the stolon tip to aid tuberization. Research indicates POTH1 and StBEL5 together suppress the potato gibberellin oxidase gene *StGA20ox1*, converting active GA1 and GA4 into inactive GA8 and GA34, thus influencing GA synthesis during tuberization (Chen et al. 2004). The StBEL5-POTH1 complex might also elevate cytokinin levels, suggesting StBEL5 and POTH1 collaboratively influence tuber formation by regulating hormone levels at the stolon tip (Chen et al. 2004).

Development of potato tubers is also regulated by posttranscriptional regulation. N6-Methyladenosine (m6A) is the most abundant internal chemical modification in eukaryotic mRNA, which widely involved in the regulation of plant growth and development, plant-microbe interactions, plant-environment interactions, and crop trait improvement through regulating RNA stability, alternative polyadenylation, chromatin state, translation, and miRNA events (Tang et al. 2023; Zhou et al. 2022). Recently, it was demonstrated that the human FTOmediated plant m6A removal in potato promoted tuber enlargement and caused ~ 50% increases in yield and biomass in field trials, demonstrating that modulation of plant RNA m6A methylation is a promising strategy to improve yield (Yu et al. 2021).

In conclusion, potato tuberization involves a complex interplay of external environmental factors, endogenous hormones, and signaling molecules that activate or repress specific genes, manage biochemical pathways, and direct assimilate distribution, causing stolon enlargement. Future research should aim to dissect the roles of key genes, metabolic pathways, and signaling molecule interactions in tuberization, offering insights for developing high-yield and high-quality potato cultivars via precise molecular breeding.

#### Accumulation of storage compounds

Potatoes are rich in various nutrients, serving as a significant source of balanced nutrition in human diets. Tubers are primarily composed of carbohydrates, which constitute about 70%–85% of the dry matter, mostly as starch; they also have low lipid and protein contents. Potatoes are particularly high in vitamin *C*, contributing to the antioxidant activity, and are a good source of various B vitamins. The potato tuber skin is rich in dietary fiber and potassium.

#### Starch biosynthesis and degradation

As the primary stored carbohydrate in potato tubers, potato starch consists of two glucose polymers: amylose (about 18%–21% of starch content) and amylopectin (about 79%–82% of starch content) (Zeeman et al. 2010), which are stored in semicrystalline, insoluble granules with growth rings, predominantly found in plastids and known as starch granules (Buléon et al. 1998). The formation of the growth rings of starch granules is influenced by the structure of starch polymers (Pilling and Smith 2003). In addition, potato starches harvested at different growth stages show significant variations in structure and physicochemical properties (Yang et al. 2021b).

Potato starch biosynthesis and degradation occur in distinct organelles based on the tissue type: chloroplasts in autotrophic organs and amyloplasts in heterotrophic organs, respectively (Lloyd and Kossmann 2015). Transient storage starches in source tissues meet basic energy demands, such as those required for diurnal cycles and biological processes (Orzechowski 2008). In photosynthetically active leaf tissue, starch synthesis starts with the conversion of photosynthesis product fructose 6-phosphate (F6P) to ADP-glucose through a series of enzymatic reactions catalyzed by phosphoglucoisomerase (PGI), phosphoglucomutase (PGM), and ADP-glucose pyrophosphorylase (AGPase) in the chloroplast (Sonnewald and Kossmann 2013). While in the sink organ tuber, sucrose unloaded from the phloem is hydrolyzed into UDP-glucose and fructose by sucrose synthase (SuSy) in the cytoplasm (Bahaji et al. 2014). In amyloplasts, G6P is converted back to G1P by plastid PGM, then ADP-glucose is generated by AGPase, serving as the substrate for starch biosynthesis (Van Harsselaar et al. 2017).

Long chain linear and branched starch molecules are formed by the action of starch synthases (SSs), granular-bound starch synthases (GBSSs), starch branching enzymes (SBEs) using ADP-glucose, and debranching enzymes (DBEs) that trim excess or improper branch points (Zeeman et al. 2010; Bahaji et al. 2014). Soluble SS enzymes of potato: SSI, SSII, and SSIII, are crucial in amylopectin synthesis by elongating  $\alpha$ -glucan chains (Ball and Morell 2003; Patron and Keeling 2005). These enzymes extend short, medium, and long chain starches, respectively (Brust et al. 2013), with SSIII contributing to about 80% of the soluble starch synthase activity in tubers (Tomlinson and Denyer, 2003; Cuesta-Seijo et al. 2016). The fourth isoform, SSIV, is thought to regulate the initiation of starch granules (Pfister and Zeeman 2016). Notably, there is species- and organ-specific variation in these enzymes' activities (Bertoft 2017). Additionally, the insoluble enzyme granule-bound starch synthase (GBSS) primarily synthesizes amylose (Denver et al. 2001). In Arabidopsis, the PROTEIN TARGET-ING TO STARCH (PTST) interacts with GBSSI's catalytic domain to localize it to the starch granule (Seung et al. 2015). SBEs facilitate branched starch synthesis and reducing the activity of SBEI and SBEII results in up to 56% increase in amylose content, reduced branched starch, and an increase in long-chain starch molecules (Tetlow and Emes 2014).

In starch degradation, glucose and maltose are the two primary final products, involving reversible glucan phosphorylation and hydrolysis pathways (Lorberth et al. 1998; Ritte et al. 2002; Ritte et al. 2006). Phosphoglucan, water dikinase (PWD), contrasts with glucan, water dikinase (GWD) by phosphorylating the C3 position of starch residues, whereas GWD targets the C6 position (Baunsgaard et al. 2005; Hejazi et al. 2010). Amylopectin's crystalline layers are progressively degraded through phosphorylation and dephosphorylation by phosphorylases and phosphatases, respectively, exposing new layers for degradation. Debranching enzyme (DBE) is crucial for hydrolyzing  $\alpha$ -1,6 branch points in amylopectin (Delatte et al. 2006) and DBEs are categorized into ISAs (ISA1, ISA2, ISA3) and LDA based on amino acid sequence and substrate specificity (Møller et al. 2016). ISA1 and ISA2 are directly involved in amylopectin synthesis, while ISA3 and LDA participate in starch degradation (Delatte et al. 2006). GWD, PWD, SEX4, and DBE contribute to breaking down amylopectin into glucans.

For amylose, characterized by linear chains, betaamylase (BAM) releases maltose from the non-reducing ends of exposed chains (Scheidig et al. 2002). The shortest chains BAM can identify are maltotetraose, and disproportionating enzyme (DPE) transfers maltosyl groups from maltotriose, formed during starch degradation, to other glucans, producing free glucose and longer glucans for BAM metabolism (Asatsuma et al. 2005). Finally, glucose and maltose are transported from plastids to the cytoplasm for metabolism, facilitated by specific transport proteins (Fig. 5, Thorens and Mueckler 2010; Niittylä et al. 2004).

Beyond starch, potatoes contain notable levels of small sugars like glucose, fructose, and sucrose, which typically diminish as tubers develop (Navarre et al. 2013). These sugars contribute to intracellular energy metabolism, serving as ATP carriers and interacting with starch synthesis and degradation.

#### Starch metabolism regulation

The content of starch and the ratio of amylopectin to amylose significantly influence the starch's final structure, growth, development, and physicochemical properties, such as gelatinization, which are crucial for starch processing and applications. Sucrose in storage tissues originates from phloem unloading, and studies indicate that the relationship between sucrose supply and diurnal metabolic fluctuations is not directly proportional to starch synthesis in tubers. Instead, enzyme activities in the starch synthesis pathway are key determinants of tuber development (Zrenner et al. 1995). Enhancing SuSy activity in tubers boosts levels and total yields of starch, ADP-glucose, and UDP-glucose (Baroja-Fernández et al. 2009). CRISPR/Cas9-induced mutations in branching enzyme (SBE) genes in tetraploid potatoes increase the amylose content and elongate branched starch chains (Zhao et al. 2021); similarly, SBEII overexpression enhances short-chain branching in starch, altering the physicochemical properties of potato tuber starch, aiding in its processing and production (Brummell et al. 2015). CRISPR/Cas9-mediated GBSS1 mutations result in reduced or completely eliminated amylose in some transgenic tubers (Toinga-Villafuerte et al. 2022). Somaclonal variant Ros 119, identified through breeding, exhibited a 42 and 61% increase in fresh and dry tuber weights, respectively, due to the upregulation of six starch synthesis genes: AGPase, GBSSI, SBEI, SBEII, SSII, and SSIII (Adly et al. 2023).



**Fig. 5** Starch metabolism pathway in potato source (**A**) and sink tissues (**B**). **A** F6P produced by photosynthesis in chloroplasts generates substrates for starch synthesis, ADP-Glu, through the enzymatic reactions of PGI, PGM and AGPase. SSs, GBSSs, SBEs, and DBEs continuously elongate into amylose and amylopectin. GWD, PWD, and SEX4 phosphorylate and dephosphorylate starch particles, increasing the water solubility and promoting starch degradation. DBEs are necessary for the degradation of branched starch. Amylose is hydrolyzed by BAMs to produce maltose, and then transported to the cytoplasm for metabolic utilization. **B** The substrate ADP-Glu for starch synthesis is synthesized in amyloplast. Sucrose derived from the unloading of the phloem is hydrolyzed by SUSy in cytoplasm to produce UDP-Glu and Fructose, which are then catalyzed by UGPase/PGM and FK/PGI to produce G6P, respectively. With the help of GPT, G6P enters the amyloplast to generate ADP-Glu by PGM and AGPase. Subsequent synthesis and degradation are similar to those in the above-ground parts. The yellow diamond represents sucrose, and arrows indicate the direction of sucrose transport

Proteins influencing starch content and properties also play significant roles in starch metabolism. The knockout of StTST1 enhances tuber starch synthesis and curtails degradation under cold storage (Liu et al. 2023a, b). Disrupting the expression of the vacuolar membrane glucose transporter StTST3.1leads to cytoplasmic glucose accumulation, which hinders starch degradation and results in maltose buildup, influencing transitory starch turnover and potato plant growth (Liu et al. 2023a, b). The ectopic expression of the AtCDF1 transcription factor boosts starch and amino acid content, as well as yield in tubers under field conditions (Carrillo et al. 2023). Overexpressing StDREB1 yields tubers with greater weight than wild-type plants, affecting tuber quality in terms of dry matter, starch content, and reducing sugars in both greenhouse and field conditions (Chiab et al. 2021). In developing tubers, SnRK1 and HXK1 stimulate posttranslational redox activation of AGPase via distinct sugar signaling pathways, modulating starch synthesis rates and ensuring suitable sucrose availability (Tiessen et al. 2003). In addition, starch synthesis in potato tubers is governed by redox modification of AGPase, clarifying the link between starch synthesis and sucrose supply (Tiessen et al. 2002). Thus, the starch metabolism regulatory network encompasses targeted metabolic enzyme gene edits, indirect signaling pathways tied to environmental and developmental factors, and feedback mechanisms involving small molecule sugars and starch, likely integral to sugar signaling.

#### Other storage substances

Potato tubers contain small amounts of other nutrients beneficial to human health. Potato proteins, which make up 1-2% of tuber fresh weight, with patatins accounting for 40% of this storage protein (Shewry 2003). Potato protein comprises 19 amino acids, with asparagine being the most prevalent (Zhu et al. 2010), making potatoes one of the richest protein sources among root and tuber crops (FAO, 2008). Transgenic potatoes overexpressing the seed protein AmA1 exhibited a 60% boost in total protein content and significant increases in total biomass and several essential amino acids (Chakraborty et al. 2010). Lipids constitute about 0.1% of potato fresh weight (Galliard 1973), with up to 90% of fatty acids being linolenic and linoleic acids, essential unsaturated fatty acids for human nutrition, alongside palmitic acid (Ramadan and Oraby 2016). Other bioactive lipid compounds like glycolipids, phospholipids, sterols, tocols, and carotenoids serve as cell membrane components or antioxidants. Though the total lipid content is low, overexpressing WRI1, DGAT1, and OLEOSIN have significantly increased TAG accumulation, phospholipids,

and galactolipids, albeit with a reduction in starch content and an increase in soluble sugars (Liu et al. 2017). Upregulation of the 14–3-3 protein in transgenic tubers can augment total lipid content by up to 69% (Prescha et al. 2001).

Compared with other food crops, potatoes have the most comprehensive vitamin content, with vitamin C being particularly abundant as well as several B vitamins (Augustin 1975; Brown 2005; Zaheer and Akhtar 2016), and critical minerals in human diets (Brown 2008). Research on functional genes related to vitamin content is scarce, with studies focusing on optimizing vitamin retention across various cooking methods (Furrer et al. 2018). Dietary fiber, mainly found in potato skins, comprises about 1–2% of the potato's dry weight (Kolasa 1993). Potassium is the most abundant mineral in potatoes, followed by phosphorus and calcium, with fresh weight (FW) ratios of 564, 30–60, and 6–18 mg/g, respectively (Buckenhuskes 2005).

Similar to dietary fiber, potassium is predominantly found in the potato's flesh, making whole potatoes, with their skins, nutritionally superior (Camire et al. 2009). Secondary metabolites and small molecule substances in potatoes include glycoside alkaloids, protease inhibitors, lectins, phenolic compounds, chlorophyll, and anthocyanins. Notably, glycoside alkaloids, natural toxins produced during tuber germination, serve as a defense mechanism against various threats and are primarily concentrated in the potato skin, especially in the sprouting areas (Furrer et al. 2018). Despite their significance, research on these valuable, albeit small in quantity, storage materials in potato tubers remains limited. Future efforts should focus on leveraging these nutrients to enhance the potato's status as a "natural nutrition plant".

Overall, potatoes are favored for their high energy and low-fat profile, appealing to health and fitness enthusiasts. Their diverse nutrient content can satisfy nearly all human nutritional requirements, enhancing and breeding potato varieties rich in nutrients is becoming increasingly crucial.

# **Biotic and abiotic stress**

#### **Biotic stress**

As potato cultivation expands and distribution regions diversify, coupled with global environmental changes and other factors, potatoes are increasingly facing various biological stresses. Potato cultivation is affected by three major types of biological diseases: early blight and late blight caused by oomycetes (Adolf et al. 2020), bacterial wilt and ring rot caused by bacteria (Charkowski et al. 2020), and infections by various viruses and viroids (Kreuze et al. 2020). Understanding how diseases work



**Fig. 6** Potato immunity responses against *P. infestans* (**A**) and potyvirus (**B**). **A** Ligands secreted by *P. infestans* bind to pattern recognition receptors (PRRs), triggering immune complex formation and downstream kinase phosphorylation. StMAPKs cascades are phosphorylated to activate related transcriptional factors and induce ROS burst. To combat PTI immunity, *P. infestans* secrete various AVRs to aim specific protein targets. For endomembrane trafficking: AVR1 to Sec5, PexRD54 to ATG8CL, AVR3a to DRP2. For protein degradation: AVR3a to CMPG1, AVR8 to StDeSI2, and Pi02860 to NRL1, SWAP70. For StMAPK kinase activity, both PexRD2 and Pi22926 are involved. AVR2 interacts with StBSL1 to induce StCHL1. PiSF13 targets StUBK to reduce immunity responses. **B** Once potyvirus enters the plant cell through insect media, the coat protein (CP) is recognized and bound by a TIR-NLR immune receptor (e.g. Ry<sub>sto</sub>), and downstream responses are induced. The VPg binds to the initiation factor eIF4E to initiate viral transcription. eIF4E variants can escape interaction and improve resistance. Viruses spread through plasmodesmata with the help of TGBp1. Under virus invasion, StREM promotes callose deposition and inhibit TGBp1 function

and their molecular mechanisms is vital for controlling them efficiently and potato late blight and potato Y virus have been extensively examined (Fig. 6).

# Potato late blight

Potato late blight, caused by the oomycete pathogen *Phy-tophthora infestans*, led to the Great Famine in Ireland and remains a major global threat to potato crops (Kamoun et al. 2015). In addition to infections, potatoes experience secondary infections after initial infection, leading to tuber rot and rendering them unusable (Dong and Zhou 2022). The economic impact of late blight diseases is substantial, with annual losses estimated between 3 and 10 billion USD (Kamoun et al. 2015).*P. infestans*, as one of the most economically and scientifically significant plant pathogenic oomycetes, has been the subject of extensive molecular mechanism studies (Kamoun et al. 2015).

The relationship between potatoes and *P. infestans* aligns with the classic "zig-zag" model of plant immunity (Jones and Dangl 2006), which describes how plants use two types of immune receptors, those on the plasma membrane and those within the cytoplasm, to detect pathogens such as bacteria and oomycetes, initiating immune responses. *P. infestans*secretes structurally conserved elicitor proteins called elicitins (ELIs) (Jiang et al. 2006), which bind to sterols, aiding oomycete growth (Derevnina et al. 2016). P. infestansencodes six conserved elicitin proteins (Jiang et al. 2006; Cooke et al. 2012). Through map-based cloning, a receptor-like protein (RLP) ELICITIN RESPONSE (ELR) from wild potatoes was isolated; the extracellular domain of ELR recognizes the conserved domain of elicitins (Du et al. 2015). Because RLPs lack a cytoplasmic signaling domain, they form heterodimers with SUPPRES-SOR OF BIR1-1 (SOBIR1) to participate in the immune responses (Gust and Felix 2014). The kinase activity of SOBIR1 is necessary for plant immunity triggered by elicitins, such as INF1 (Domazakis et al. 2018). Upon INF1 stimulation, ELR forms heterodimers with SOBIR1 and then recruits BAK1, thereby activating downstream signaling (Du et al. 2015; Domazakis et al. 2018). Similar to the PTI pattern in Arabidopsis, elicitins induce potato ELR to detect elicitins and form trimers with SOBIR1 and BAK1, eliciting a PTI immune response to resist the invasion of P. infestans.

Other receptor-like kinases (RLKs) have been identified to enhance the resistance of potatoes to *P. infestans*. Research on *STRUBBELIG-RECEPTOR FAMILY* (*SRF*) homologous genes in potatoes indicated that *StL-RPK1* is significantly induced following infection by *P. infestans* (Wu et al. 2009). Overexpression of *StLRPK1* 

enhances resistance to P. infestans, with the interaction between StLPRK1 and BAK1 playing a crucial role in the potato's defensive response against P. infestans (Wang et al. 2018). In Arabidopsis, L-type lectin receptor kinase-I0.9 (LecRK-I0.9) kinase can recognize and combat Phytophthora infections (Senchou et al. 2004; Bouwmeester et al. 2011). The extracellular lectin domain of LecRK-I0.9 binds to the RGD tripeptide motif of the IPI-O effector released by P. infestans to transmit signals and initiate downstream immune responses (Gouget et al. 2006). The Arabidopsis lecrk-I.9 mutant exhibits strong sensitivity to P. infestans, and overexpressing AtLecRK-10.9 enhances the plant's resistance to oomycetes (Bouwmeester et al. 2011). Further overexpressing Arabidopsis LecRK-I.9 in potato substantially increased the resistance to P. infestans (Bouwmeester et al. 2014). The StLecRK-IV 0.1 gene was considerably significantly downregulated in P. infestans infection, and silencing *StLecRK-IV.1* in potato reduced the infection plague of *P*. infestans (Guo et al. 2022). Molecular studies have identified an interaction between StLecRK-IV.1 and StTET8, and coexpression of StLecRK-IV.1 and StTET8 can disrupt the stability of StTET8 and reduce resistance to late blight (Guo et al. 2022). Recently, Pep-13 receptor unit (PERU) was isolated from wild potato varieties, which can recognize small peptides Pep13 secreted byP. infestans. Binding of PERU to Pep13 facilitates the formation of a PERU-BAK1 complex, triggering an immune response and enhancing immunity to P. infestans (Torres Ascurra et al. 2023).

RLKs help transmit signals from the outside to the inside of plant cells, activating plant PTI responses. These processes involve increased ROS production and MAPK kinase activation. Potatoes' MAPKs play a role in resisting infection of *P. infestans* (Majeed et al. 2022). An MAPK cascade involving StMEK1-StMPK1/StWIPK has been identified in response to P. infestans (Yamamizo et al. 2006). Overexpressing StMEK1 stimulated StR-BOHC and StRBOHD peroxidase activities, increased ROS levels, resulting in enhanced resistance to P. infestans (Yamamizo et al. 2006). StMPK7 is phosphorylated by StMKK1 to regulate the immune response to P. infestans (Zhang et al. 2021b). The StLRPK1-BAK1 complex can transmit downstream signals to MEK2 and WIPK, components of the MAPKs cascade, to activate PTI immunity (Wang et al. 2018).

When pathogens infect potatoes, they secrete effectors into plant cells to suppress immune responses and enhance the success rate of infection (Jones and Dangl 2006). The effectors from *P. infestans* mainly fall into two categories: crinkler (CRN) and RxLR (Kamoun et al. 2006). The genome of *P. infestans* encodes over 500 RxLR effectors, with diversity in sequence and expression across strains (Haas et al. 2009; Anderson et al. 2015). The study of effectors is widely considered key to understand the disease-transmitting mechanism of oomycetes. Effectors specifically target various proteins in host plant cells, thereby altering the stability, activity, or subcellular localization of target proteins and interfering with the normal immune response of plants (He et al. 2020). Potatoes have evolved resistance (R) genes to counter effectors, triggering strong ETI immune processes. These effectors, recognized by R genes, are named avirulence proteins (AVRs, Vleeshouwers et al. 2011). The main proteins like AVR1, AVR2, AVR3a, AVR4, AVR8, AVRblb1, AVRblb2, PexRD12/31, have been identified and their functions are continually being studied (Vleeshouwers et al. 2011; Monino-Lopez et al. 2021).

AVR1 interacts with Sec5, an exocyst component, stabilizing it and disrupting the secretion of pathogenesis-related protein-1 (PR1) and callose deposition (Du et al. 2015b). Stable Sec5 interferes with vesicular transport to inhibit normal immune response (Du et al. 2015b). AVR2 interacts with BSL1 (BSU-LIKE PROTEIN1), which is required for R2 protein's recognition of AVR2 and resistance (Saunders et al. 2012). AVR2 serves as a crosstalk between BR and immune signals. The bHLH transcription factor StCHL1 is induced under both BR and AVR2 conditions (Turnbull et al. 2017) and simultaneous expression of StCHL1 and AVR2inhibits plant INF1-indcued immunity (Turnbull et al. 2017). AVR3a is the first cloned and isolated effector of P. infestans (Armstrong et al. 2005), which inhibits INF1-mediated cell hypersensitivity by binding to and stabilizing an E3 ubiquitin ligase CMPG1 (Bos et al. 2010). In addition, AVR3a interacts with GTPase Dynamin-Related Protein 2, which mediates receptor protein endocytosis, thereby inhibiting ROS bursts triggered by PTI immunity (Chaparro-Garcia et al. 2015). The different targets of AVR3a indicate that AVR3a can inhibit PTI signals in various ways. AVR8 binds to and degrades potato desumoylating isopeptidase 2 (StDeSI2), through 26S proteasomes, thereby attenuating PTI responses (Jiang et al. 2023). Continuous research on the identification of effectors and their mechanisms can significantly broaden the knowledge on the plant-pathogen interaction network. For instance, PexRD54 effector binds to the autophagy-associated protein ATG8CL (Dagdas et al. 2016), mimicking the starvation-induced autophagy to break the balance of endomembrane trafficking at the pathogen interface (Pandey et al. 2021). Pi02860 effector binds to the E3 ubiquitin ligase NPH3/ RPT2-LIKE1 protein (NRL1) to enhance the interaction between NRL1 and a guanine nucleotide exchange factor called SWAP70, promoting SWAP70 degradation and suppressing immune responses (He et al. 2018). Pi04314 effector interacts with protein phosphatase 1 catalytic (PP1c) isoforms, attenuating immunity responses by changing their localization (Boevink et al. 2016). PiSFI3/ Pi06087/PexRD16 effector interacts with a U-box-kinase protein (StUBK) to suppress the UBK-related PTI immunity response (He et al. 2019). Pi22926 and PexRD2 target MAPKKK kinase to inhibit the kinase activity, thereby subverting plant immunity (King et al. 2014; Ren et al. 2019).

The integration of genomics, proteomics, and microscopy has substantially advanced our understanding of effectors' biological functions. Using core RXLR effector factors, protein mass spectrometry identified proteins interacting with effectors, constructing a effector-host protein interaction network (Petre et al. 2021). Extensive interactions between RXLR effectors and vesicular transport system components highlight the crucial role of vesicular transport in the relationship between Phytophthora and plant cells (Petre et al. 2021). Previous studies on effector entry into cells suggested that the RXLR motif's high sequence conservation with plant proteins might allow effectors to perform similar functions and evade the plant immune system (Birch et al. 2008). Inhibiting endocytosis-related proteins reduced Phytophthora infection efficacy and effector translocation into cells (Wang et al. 2023a, b). These results demonstrate that RXLR effectors enter cells through clathrin-mediated endocytosis.

The findings of immune studies in Arabidopsis indicate that immune responses in potatoes also conform to the "zig-zag" model (Jones and Dangl 2006). The identification of other homologous pattern recognition receptors, ELR and PERU, in potatoes establishes a solid foundation for delineating PTI immune pathways and exploring additional signaling components to enrich the pathway (Du et al. 2015a; Torres Ascurra et al. 2023). Current research in ETI immunity mainly focuses on elucidating the mechanisms of RxLR effectors actions and their target proteins (Anderson et al. 2015), while an increasing number of molecular mechanisms underlying effector-target interactions are being uncovered. Research methodologies are advancing toward greater efficiency, diversity, and comprehensiveness, transitioning from analyzing single effector-target interactions to exploring multi-target interactions and conducting omics analyses of multiple effectors, which is expected to reveal novel effector mechanisms, enriching our understanding of molecular mechanisms in potato disease resistance and offering valuable insights into disease-resistant potato breeding.

#### Potato virus disease, PVY

Viruses represent a substantial threat to the normal growth, harvesting, and sowing of potatoes. Over time, plants intricate monitoring mechanisms to identify and counteract viral invaders. They use diverse approaches, including RNA silencing, transcriptional inhibition, and *R* gene-mediated defense, to resist viruses (Soosaar et al. 2005). Globally, potatoes are susceptible to over fifty viral species (Kreuze et al. 2020), with six major threats: *Potato virus Y* (PVY), *Potato virus X* (PVX), *Potato virus S* (PVS), *Potato virus A* (PVA), *Potato leafroll virus* (PLRV), and *Potato virus M*(PVM) (Wang et al. 2011), among which PVY have caused severe economic losses in the potato industry over the past three decades, making it a focal point of research (Kreuze et al. 2020).

Exploring resistance genes is a key strategy in combating PVY. The *R* and *N* genes are two types of PVY resistance genes in potatoes (Valkonen et al. 1996). The N gene triggers a hypersensitive resistance response (HR) in potatoes and exhibits strain specificity (Singh et al. 2008). Specific N genes, such as Ny, Nc, and Nz, confer resistance to different PVY strains: PVY<sup>O</sup>, PVY<sup>C</sup>, and PVY<sup>Z</sup>, respectively (Singh et al. 2008). HR results in localized leaf necrosis, preventing virus from spreading to other plant tissues, although it does not completely stop virus replication. Conversely, the extreme resistance (ER) response triggered by the *R* gene offers broad-spectrum, strong, and long-lasting resistance (Baebler et al. 2020). ER inhibits virus proliferation in the early stages of virus infection, resulting in minimal signs of infection in leaves (Ross et al. 2021). Currently, 10 PVY disease resistance genes have been identified in potatoes, with few members cloned and studied. The Rysto gene encodes a TIR-NLR immune receptor and stable expression of Rysto protein in two Solanaceae plants, potato and tobacco, effectively limited the transmission of PVY and PVA viruses (Grech-Baran et al. 2020).  $Ry_{sto}$  directly binds to the coat protein (CP) of PVY virus, exhibiting ER resistance. Given the conservation of virus CP proteins,  $\mathrm{Ry}_{\mathit{sto}}$  is speculated to recognize at least 10 significant viruses (Grech-Baran et al. 2022).

The eIF4E initiation factor is a crucial resistance protein in potatoes. eIF4E binds to viruses and exerts its effects through transcription pathways, and most clones of recessive resistance genes are variants of eIF4E or its isoforms (Truniger and Aranda 2009; Wang and Krishnaswamy 2012). Potato viruses use eIF4E to synthesize their proteins; however, isoforms of eIF4E can evade viral manipulation, contributing to resistance (Sanfaçon 2015). Numerous eIF4E variants exist in the natural population and overexpression of *eIF4E* can substantially enhance the potatoes resistance to viruses without adversely affecting normal crop growth (Gutierrez Sanchez et al. 2020).

In addition to using resistance genes, potatoes employ a strategy of restricting virus spread as a key defense mechanism against viral infection. This is an active area of research in plant-virus interaction. Plasmodesmata (PD) serve as vital channels between adjacent plant cells, enabling short-distance signaling transmission and material exchange (Lucas and Lee 2004). They also facilitate the movement of viruses (Liu et al. 2021). REMORIN (REM) proteins, associated with plant plasma membrane (PM), are integral to the PD-PM subcompartment and involved in virus cell-to-cell movement (Raffaele et al. 2009). Overexpression of StREM1.3 limited the dilation effect of triple gene block proteins1 (TGBp1) on PD (Perraki et al. 2014). When infected with PVX, the viral coat protein and TGBp1 interact with REM1.3, leading to its phosphorylation. This phosphorylation triggers callose deposition at PD, reducing their permeability and thus hindering viral spread (Perraki et al. 2018).

The detrimental effects of potato viruses on crop health and yield are substantial, making the identification of resistance genes a crucial aspect. Although numerous PVY resistance genes have been mapped, only few have been cloned and applied. As sequencing technologies and microscopic techniques continue to evolve, the identification and characterization of virus resistance genes and their underlying mechanisms are expected to accelerate, which will enhance our understanding of potato virus diseases and support the development of new potato varieties with effective resistance to viral pathogens, offering more suitable solutions for combating these agricultural challenges.

#### Abiotic stress

Abiotic stresses including high temperature, drought, mineral deficiencies or toxicities, salinity, and low temperature, significantly affect the normal growth of potatoes (Fig. 7, Demirel 2023). Currently, related research mainly focuses on gene function identification, highlighting the necessity for a deeper understanding of the specific molecular mechanisms of related genes.

#### Low temperature

Potatoes thrive in cool environments but are vulnerable to frost and freezing, which detrimentally affect their yield and quality (Simon 1993). Low temperatures primarily damage cell membranes and hinder photosynthesis and other physiological processes (Guan et al. 2023). Transcriptome sequencing of the diploid wild species *S. commersonii* under low temperature conditions revealed the upregulation of numerous genes, including known cold stress-related genes such as *INDUCER OF*  *CBF EXPRESSION 1 (ICE1)* and *CBF3* (Aversano et al. 2015). In addition, analysis combining transcriptomics and metabolomics demonstrated an increased expression of *StADC1* under low temperature stress, leading to elevated putrescine levels (Kou et al. 2018). Both the exogenous application of putrescine and overexpression of *StADC1* activated *StCBF* transcription activity, thereby enhancing the cold resistance of potatoes (Kou et al. 2018). Overexpression of *StCBF3* in *Arabidopsis* considerably improved its tolerance to low temperature (Li et al. 2018). In cold-tolerant potato species*S. acaule*, the expression of *SaMKK2* was particularly increased, and SaMKK2 interacted with SaMAPK4/7, promoting the resistance of potatoes to cold stress (Chen et al. 2022).

## High temperature

As a cool-loving crop, potatoes are susceptible to heat stress, which substantially affects their growth, development, and tuber formation process, eventually leading to decreased tuber yield and quality (Lafta and Lorenzen 1995). Previous studies have examined the role of StSP6A in the photoperiod regulation of tuber formation, while transcription data analyses have revealed a substantial decrease in StSP6A expression under high temperature conditions (Hancock et al. 2014; Morris et al. 2019; Lehretz et al. 2019), revealing its unexpected function under heat stress. Research showed that the core clock protein TIMING OF CAB EXPRESSION1 (StTOC1) acts as a temperature-responsive transcription factor, inhibiting StSP6A expression under high temperature conditions (Hancock 2014; Morris et al. 2019). Silenced expression of StTOC1 caused an increased transcription of StSP6A, thereby increasing tuber yield under high temperature conditions (Morris et al. 2019). Furthermore, high temperature conditions lead to the induction of SES, which reduces the expression of StSP6A (Park et al. 2022). By contrast, interference with SES can increase the tuber yield of potatoes under high temperatures (Park et al. 2022).

Transcriptome analysis revealed the presence of numerous heat stress-related genes in potatoes. A study examining the response of 18 potato varieties to heat stress found that the dormancy-related genes *DELAY OF GENEATION 1 (DOG1)* and *SUBTILISIN-LIKE PRO-TEASE (SLP)* were significantly downregulated (Zhang et al. 2021a). Heat stress treatment led to the enrichment of the GA biosynthesis pathway, suggesting the involvement of GA in heat sprouting and dormancy changes in tubers (Zhang et al. 2021a). Moreover, transcriptome analysis in potato leaves demonstrated an increase in the expression of the heat shock proteins StHsp26-CP and StHsp70 (Tang et al. 2020).



Fig. 7 Regulatory network of cold (A), heat (B), salt (C) and drought (D) stresses in potatoes. A The upregulated ICE1 and ADC1 promote transcriptional activity of StCBFs under cold stress. MAPKs kinase activities are activated to promote *StCBFs* and *SLD2* expression. B Under heat stress, induced *SES* and *StTOC1* downregulate *StSP6A*. Heat suppresses the transcriptions of *DOG1* and *SLP* while promotes that of *StHsps*. C Under salt stress, ABA plays a crucial role by upregulating *DREBs*. BRs, *StDWF4* and *StBBX24* promotes salt stress-related genes and enhances resistance to salt. D Under drought, ABA and MAPKs are induced and ABA activates SnRKs kinase activity. Transcription factors (e.g., NF-Ys and MYBs), StPIP1, StDRO1, and StCIPKs are also involved in drought response

# Salinity

Because of excessive fertilizer and pesticide usage in agriculture, and intensive land utilization, soil salinization is becoming increasingly prevalent, especially in arid regions where reduced precipitation and soil moisture evaporation exacerbate the issue. Salt stress inhibits the growth of potato, leading to decreased yields. However, potatoes have developed various ways to cope with salinity (Chourasia et al. 2021).

ABA plays a crucial role in potato resistance to salt stress. Under salt stress, dehydration responsive element binding protein (StDREB2) is rapidly induced through the ABA signaling pathway, and StDERB2 overexpression led to increased tolerance to salt stress (Bouaziz et al. 2012). Similarly, potatoes with heterologous expression of *AtDREB1A* showed an increase in salt resistance (Behnam et al. 2006). Applying BRs during potato growth can increase the proportion of  $K^+/Na^+$ ions in the tissue and improve salt tolerance (Hu et al. 2016). Overexpression of *StDWF4* enhanced BR biosynthesis and thus salt tolerance in potatoes (Zhou et al. 2018). Heterologous expression of *Arabidopsis high-affinity potassium transporter 1* (*AtHKT1*) in potatoes increased the intercellular K<sup>+</sup>/Na<sup>+</sup> ratio, improved water utilization efficiency, and reduced the transpiration rate, effectively increasing salt tolerance (Wang et al. 2019). BBX, a type of B-box zinc finger protein, also known as CO is a well-studied protein controlling flowering (Abelenda et al. 2016). Suppressed expression of *StBBX24* led to greater sensitivity to salt stress, whereas its overexpression resulted in a salt-tolerant phenotype (Kiełbowicz-Matuk et al. 2022).

#### Drought

Potatoes can provide more nutrients than other major crops under the same water-use conditions (Renault and Wallender 2000). However, their root structure leads susceptibility to drought stress (Monneveux et al. 2013). ABA plays a crucial role in potato drought resistance, which regulates stomatal movement, affects the distribution of dry matter and potato yield (Liu et al. 2005). Moderate drought condition increases ABA levels in potato roots, leaves, and stolons, promoting tuber formation and increasing potato weight (Xu et al. 1998). Sucrose nonfermenting 1-related protein kinases 2 (SnRK2) subfamily genes are mainly activated in response to abiotic stress. In the presence of ABA, activated SnRK2s phosphorylate downstream transcription factors, regulating the expression of downstream response genes and thus improving drought resistance (Chen et al. 2020). The expression level of StSnRK2 family genes was substantially upregulated under drought stress, and the stable transformation of StSnRK2 in tobacco resulted in stronger drought resistance (Yao et al. 2023).

MAPKs also play a significant role in drought stress, beyond their function in biotic stress. Drought conditions substantially upregulated the expression of *StMAPK11*, enhancing the antioxidant activity of potatoes and drought resistance through photosynthesis (Zhang et al. 2021a, b). Moreover, a study identified 22 drought-responsive genes across different potato species, with MAPKKK15 showing substantial upregulation at the transcriptional level under water deficit condition (Pieczynski et al. 2018).

Various transcriptional factors play roles in response to drought stress. Nuclear factor Y (NF-Y) is a common family of transcription factors in eukaryotes and StNF-A7 enhances drought tolerance by reducing leaf water loss (Na et al. 2017). Overexpression of *StNF-YB3.1* promoted ABA-mediated stomatal closure, leading to a decrease in tuber yield (Xuanyuan et al. 2017). Overexpressing*StNF-YC9*increased potato tolerance to water deficiency by enhancing photosynthesis and antioxidant enzyme activity (Li et al. 2021). The MYB gene family, comprising 233 genes in potatoes, is predominantly activated under drought stress (Li et al. 2019). *StMYB1R-1* was identified as a stress-response gene and overexpression of *StMYB1R-1* improved drought tolerance and enhanced drought-regulated gene expression (Shin et al. 2011). Overexpressing *StMYB33* improved potato drought resistance through regulating ABA signaling pathway (Wyrzykowska et al. 2022). Overexpression of *AtDREB1A* improved drought resistance, marked by increased activities of superoxide dismutase, catalase, and peroxidase (Jia et al. 2016).

Overexpression of AtYUCCA6 reduced water loss, enhancing drought resistance and reducing ROS levels in potato leaves (Kim et al. 2013). The DEEPER ROOT-ING 1 (DRO1) regulates grain yield and root system under drought condition in rice (Uga et al. 2013) and the potato homologous gene StDRO1 affects the root structure and restores the tolerance of Atdro1to drought (Sun et al. 2022a, b). Similarly, overexpressing StPIP1, a potato water channel plasma membrane intrinsic protein, improved drought resistance by maintaining photosynthesis, stomatal conductance, and water use efficiency (Wang et al. 2017). Under ABA and drought conditions, the expression of StCIPK10 significantly increased, which contributes to the enhancement of ROS scavenging and accumulation of osmolytes, aiding in the adaptation to drought stress of potatoes (Ma et al. 2021a, b).

Compared with *Arabidopsis*, studies on abiotic stress in potatoes have not yet established a relatively complete regulatory network. This gap is partly determined by the inherent characteristics of potatoes. The tolerance to environmental factors and related impact are both worth to be analyzed with the widespread application of multi-dimensional omics, a comprehensive understanding of relationship among various environmental factors is expected, which can be efficiently applied in potato molecular breeding to address global environmental threats.

#### Application of biotechnology in potato trait improvement

Due to the significant edible and economic value of potatoes, enhancing their traits has always been a focal point for researchers. However, the complexity of breeding is heightened by the tetraploid nature, high genetic heterozygosity, limited genetic diversity, and intricate genetic architecture of key traits in most cultivated potatoes, making traditional breeding laborious and inefficient. Genetic engineering offers a remedy by enabling the integration of one or more foreign genes into the plant genome, thereby inducing targeted genetic modifications without altering the overall genetic makeup. This approach addresses the limitations of conventional breeding, like extended cycles and inefficiency, presenting a novel pathway for developing new varieties. Since the initial introduction of a transgenic potato plant via Agrobacterium tumefaciensin the 1980s (Ooms et al. 1986), this technology has significantly advanced potato breeding. It facilitates the incorporation of genes that bolster resistance to pathogens and pests, enrich nutritional profiles by boosting levels of proteins, vitamins, carotenoids, and lipids, and minimize harmful substances like acrylamide and glycoalkaloids. Moreover, genetically modified potatoes have gained attention for their potential to produce modified starches, lipids, and recombinant proteins, including pharmaceuticals and vaccines (Hameed et al. 2018). A recent innovation by Mei et al. introduced an effective planta transformation technique leveraging plants' innate regenerative capabilities (Mei et al. 2024). By injecting A. tumefaciens directly into plant meristems, transfected tissues are induced, with subsequent vegetative propagation yielding stable transgenic plants, which bypasses the need for tissue culture, offering substantially higher transformation efficiencies than conventional methods and has been successfully applied to potatoes. Currently, several genetically modified potato varieties have been commercialized, including the NewLeaf<sup>™</sup> and NewLeaf Plus<sup>™</sup> varieties developed by Monsanto<sup>®</sup> in the late 1990s for resistance to the Colorado potato beetle and potato leafroll virus (PLRV) (Lawson et al. 2001). Another example is the Innate<sup>®</sup> potato, developed by J. R. Simplot Company, which features reduced enzymatic browning and lower acrylamide levels when processed (Richael 2021). Most GM potato varieties have been produced using transgenic and RNA interference (RNAi) techniques (Hameed et al. 2018; Richael 2021).

In recent years, genome editing technologies have emerged as novel biotechnological approaches for crop breeding, garnering attention for their precision and efficiency in generating targeted genetic modifications to achieve desired traits (Baltes et al. 2017; Chen et al. 2019; Zhu et al. 2020a). Utilizing site-specific nucleases (SSNs) such as Zinc Finger Nucleases (ZFNs), Transcription Activator-Like Effector Nucleases (TALENs), and the Clustered Regularly Interspaced Short Palindromic Repeats (CRISPR) along with CRISPR-associated proteins (CRISPR/Cas), genome editing introduces precise genetic modifications. The detailed potato genome sequence and advanced transformation systems position the potato as an ideal subject for genome editing to enhance traits essential for more sustainable production (Hameed et al. 2018; Nadakuduti 2018). Since the initial demonstration of CRISPR/Cas9-mediated genome editing in potato in 2015 (Wang et al. 2015a), this technique has been leveraged in numerous studies to enhance traits of potatoes, including improving nutritional quality, modifying tuber starch composition, enhancing post-harvest quality, increasing biotic stress tolerance, and addressing reproductive self-incompatibility issues (Table 1).

#### Improving starch quality

Potato consists of amylose (about 20–30%) and amylopectin (about 70–80%) and is a significant source of starch for both food and industrial applications (Fajardo et al. 2013). The amylose/amylopectin ratio in tuber starch varies among cultivars but is typically around 1:4. This ratio is crucial as it influences the physical and chemical properties of starch, affecting its suitability for various dietary and industrial uses (Zeeman et al. 2010). Recent advancements have utilized CRISPR/Cas systemmediated genome editing to successfully modify starch composition in potatoes for diverse applications.

Amylose-free starch, particularly amylopectin, is used as an adhesive in the paper industry and as a thickening, bulking, or coating agent in the food industry. To produce potatoes with amylose-free starch or a high amylopectin/ amylose ratio, the GBSS1 gene responsible for amylose synthesis can be targeted. This gene has been disrupted using various methods, including antisense technology, RNAi, TALENs, and CRISPR/Cas9 (Visser et al. 1991; Otani et al. 2007; Andersson et al. 2017; Kusano et al. 2018). To improve starch quality, (Andersson et al. 2017) targeted all the alleles of GBSS genes in tetraploid potato through the transient expression of CRISPR/Cas9 system in protoplasts. Three mutated lines were identified to harbor inserts of original plasmid. A regenerant identified from a specific transformation event contained all copies of the mutated GBSS1 gene. Moreover, starch from microtubers of this line exhibited the waxy phenotype (Andersson et al. 2017). Subsequently, CRISPR/Cas9 ribonucleoprotein (RNP) was used to target the GBSS gene for DNA-free genome editing. RNPs were produced synthetically (cr-RNP) and in vitro (ivt-RNP), with mutation frequencies of 9% and 25%, respectively. All the mutated lines produced from cr-RNP were transgene-free, and mutations were induced in all four alleles, resulting in a complete knockout of the GBSS enzyme function (Andersson et al. 2018). Both studies have demonstrated that GBSS1 can be completely mutagenized through the delivery of RNPs or plasmid DNA into protoplasts and that transgene free waxy tubers can be obtained through the subsequent regeneration of potato plants. The efficiency of CRISPR/Cas9 to target the GBSS gene was enhanced using translational enhancer dMac3, and amylose-reduced lines were obtained using the CRISPR/Cas system for the stable transformation of Sayaka (Kusano et al. 2018).

In another study, substituting the AtU6 promoter with the endogenous potato U6 promoter to drive the expression of sgRNAs improved the efficiency of CRISPR/

| whic traits improvement in potato. T, transcription activator-like effector nucleases (TALENs); C9, clustered regularly interspaced | PR/Cas9); C13, CRISPR/Cas13; RNP, protoplast transfection with ribonucleoproteins; CBE, cytosine base editor; PE, Prime Editor |  |
|---|--|--|
| Applications of genome editing for agronomic traits improvement   | indromic repeats/CRISPR associated 9 (CRISPR/Cas9); C13, CRISPR/C  |  |
| ble 1   | ort pa   |  |

| short pai |                               |   |   |   |                              |
|-----------|-------------------------------|---|---|---|------------------------------|
| Edit tool | Delivery approach             | Genotype  | Target gene   | Objective   | References                   |
|           | A. tumefaciens                | cv. Sassy   | Sterol side chain reductase 2 (SSR2)  | Reduction of SGA  | Sawai et al. 2014            |
| F         | Protoplast                    | cv. Desiree   | Acetolactate synthase (ALS)   | Herbicide resistance  | Nicolia et al. 2015          |
| F         | Protoplast                    | cv. Ranger Russet   | Vacuolar invertase (Vlnv)   | Reduction of cold-induced sweeten-<br>ing (CIS)   | Clasen et al. 2016           |
| μ         | A. tumefaciens                | cv. Ranger Russet   | Acetolactate synthase (StALS)   | Herbicide resistance  | Forsyth et al. 2016          |
| ⊢         | A. tumefaciens                | cv. Sayaka  | Granule-bound starch synthase<br>(GBSS)                                     | Improving starch quality  | Kusano et al. 2016           |
| μ         | A. tumefaciens                | cv. Shepody and cv. Russet Burbank                                      | GBSS and vacuolar invertase (VInv)  | Improving starch quality  | Ma et al. 2017               |
| T & C9    | A. tumefaciens                | cv. Desiree and diploid self-incompat-<br>ible breeding line, MSX914-10 | ALS   | Herbicide resistance  | Butler et al. 2016           |
| G         | A. tumefaciens                | DM  | Phytoene desaturase (PDS) and StAA2 gene (an Aux/IAA gene)                  | Proof of concept  | Wang et al. 2015a, b         |
| 6)        | A. tumefaciens                | cv. Desiree and diploid self-incompat-<br>ible breeding line, MSX914-10 | ALS   | Proof of concept  | Butler et al. 2015           |
| 60        | A. tumefaciens                | cv. Desiree   | Transcription factor gene MYB44   | Functional genomics   | Zhou et al. 2017             |
| 60        | Protoplast                    | cv. Kuras   | GBSS  | Modification of starch composition.<br>High amylopectin   | Andersson et al. 2017        |
| 60        | A. tumefaciens                | S. tuberosum group Phureja S15-65<br>clone                              | S-locus RNase (S-RNase)   | Elimination of reproductive self-<br>incompatibility  | Ye et al. 2018               |
| 60        | A. tumefaciens                | DRH-195 and DRH-310   | S-RNase   | Elimination of reproductive self-<br>incompatibility  | Enciso-Rodriguez et al. 2019 |
| 6)        | A. rhizogenes                 | cv. Mayqueen  | Steroid 16a-hydroxylase (St16DOX)   | Nutritional quality. Reduction of toxic steroidal glycoalkaloids (SGAs)                                   | Nakayasu et al. 2018         |
| 60        | RNP                           | cv. Kuras   | GBSS  | Modification of starch composition.<br>High amylopectin   | Andersson et al. 2018        |
| 60        | A. tumefaciens                | cv. Sayaka  | GBSS  | Optimization of Cas9 expression<br>with d-Mac3 translational enhancer                                     | Kusano et al. 2018           |
| 6)        | Protoplast and A. tumefaciens | cv. Desiree   | Starch branching enzymes (SBE1<br>and SBE2)                                 | Modification of starch composition<br>/nutritional quality. High amylose<br>and longer amylopectin chains | Tuncel et al. 2019           |
| 60        | Protoplast                    | cv. Desiree and cv. Wotan   | GBSS  | Modification of starch composition.<br>High amylopectin   | Johansen et al. 2019         |
| 6)        | RNP                           | cv. Desiree   | Polyphenol oxidase 2 (5tPPO2)   | Post-harvest quality. Reduction of enzymatic browning   | González et al. 2020         |
| 60        | A. tumefaciens and RNP        | cv. Desiree   | StPPO2  | Reduction of enzymatic browning   | González et al. 2021         |
| 60        | A. tumefaciens                | cv. Desiree and cv. King Edward   | S-genes (StMLO1, StHDS,StTTM2,<br>StDND1, StCHL1, StDMR6-1<br>and StDMR6-2) | Biotic stress tolerance. Resistance<br>to Phytophthora infestans  | Kieu et al. 2021             |

| Edit tool | Delivery approach           | Genotype                  | Target gene                                     | Objective   | References                     |
|-----------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|---|---|--------------------------------|
| 60        | RNP                         | cv. Desiree               | SBE1 and SBE2                                   | Modification of starch composition<br>/nutritional quality. High amylose<br>and longer amylopectin chains | Zhao et al. 2021               |
| 60        | A. tumefaciens              | cv. Yukon Gold TXYG79     | GBSSI   | Decrease in amylose content   | Toinga-Villafuerte et al. 2022 |
| 60        | A. tumefaciens              | cv. Sayaka                | SBE3  | Low amylose   | Takeuchi et al. 2021           |
| 60        | A. tumefaciens              | cv. Desiree               | SS6   | N/A   | Sevestre et al. 2020           |
| 60        | Protoplast                  | cv. Wotan, Saturna        | GWD1  | N/A   | Carlsen et al. 2022            |
| 60        | A. tumefaciens              | cv. Desiree               | PDS   | Bleached (albino) leaves  | Bánfalvi et al. 2020           |
| 60        | A. tumefaciens              | cv. DMF1                  | PDS   | Bleached whole plantlets  | Butler et al. 2020             |
| 60        | A. tumefaciens              | cv. Atlantic              | SSR2  | 66% of WT tuber   | Zheng et al. 2021              |
| 60        | Protoplast                  | cv. Desiree               | elF4E1  | Partial resistance to PVY   | Lucioli et al. 2022            |
| 60        | A. tumefaciens              | cv. B665 and B663         | Sli   | Self-incompatible plants  | Eggers et al. 2021             |
| 60        | A. tumefaciens              | cv. Phureja S15–65        | Π1  | Loss of tuberization  | Tang et al. 2022               |
| 60        | A. tumefaciens              | cv. Russet Burbank        | CCOAOMT   | Improved resistance to late blight  | Hegde et al. 2021              |
| C13       | A. tumefaciens              | cv. Desiree               | P3, CI, NIB, CP                                 | Improved resistance to PVY  | Zhan et al. 2019               |
| CBE       | A. tumefaciens              | cv. Desiree               | ALS   | Herbicide resistance  | Veillet et al. 2019b           |
| CBE       | A. tumefaciens              | cv. Desiree               | GBSS and ALS                                    | Construction of A3A-PBE cytosine<br>base editor   | Zong et al. 2018               |
| C & CBE   | Protoplast & A. tumefaciens | cv. Desiree and cv. Furia | GBSS  | Modification of starch composition.<br>High amylopectin   | Veillet et al. 2019a           |
| C & CBE   | A. tumefaciens              | cv. Desiree               | GBSS and Downy Mildew Resistant 6<br>(StDMR6-1) | Proof of concept  | Veillet et al. 2020            |
| PE2       | A. tumefaciens              | cv. Desiree               | ALS1  | Improved herbicide resistance   | Perroud et al. 2022            |
|           |                             |                           |   |   |                                |

Table 1 (continued)

Cas9-mediated GBSSgene editing (Johansen et al. 2019). Toinga-Villafuerte et al. (2022) produced a stable knockout line of GBSS1 through the Agrobacterium-mediated transformation of a yellow potato cultivar Yukon Gold, which essentially had no detectable amylose in tubers. Additionally, the role of base editors causing specific and efficient nucleotide substitution can widen the scope of gene editing. It is demonstrated that DNA substitutions in the locus encoding the catalytic domain KTGGL of the potato GBSS, which was generated by cytidine base editor (CBE), were sufficient to produce a loss-of-function allele (Veillet et al. 2019b). Two mutants, out of 48 stable regenerants, had an unusual C-17 to G-17 conversion in all alleles and had no other unintended mutations at the target site. This nucleotide substitution resulted in the L99V mutation in the KTGGL motif and the mutant microtuber lacked amylose.

Amylose-rich and digestion-resistant starch can be consumed as a healthier alternative to reduce calorie intake, improve insulin resistance, and promote gut health (Keenan et al. 2015). To increase the content of resistant starch in potatoes, studies have focused on reducing the activity of starch branching enzymes 1 and 2 (SBE1 and SBE2), which are crucial for inserting  $\alpha$ -1,6 glycosidic bonds in amylopectin. RNAi and antisense RNA approaches substantially reduced SBE activity in tubers, resulting in starches that were high in amylose content and resistant to digestion (Schwall et al. 2000; Andersson et al. 2006). Recent studies have used CRISPR/Cas9-mediated mutagenesis to target SBE genes, producing transgene-free tubers rich in amylose and resistant starch. Tuncel et al. (2019) targeted SBE1, SBE2, or both genes through conventional Agrobacteriummediated transformation or PEG-mediated transient protoplast transfection to deliver CRISPR/Cas9 components. Transgene-free potatoes with different levels of long amylopectin chains and/or amylose were created. Mutants with a decrease in the SBE2 protein level alone exhibited increased normal amylopectin chain length and starch granule initiation, whereas those decreases in both SBEs displayed an extreme phenotype with reduced amylopectin branching during granule development. Another study using CRISPR/Cas RNP-method revealed that starches of lines with mutations in all the alleles editing SBE1 and SBE2 were composed of amylose (>95%) and had no detectable amylopectin (Zhao et al. 2021). Both studies have indicated that the transient expression of sgRNA/Cas9 constructs and the delivery of RNP complexes to protoplasts are promising tools for producing transgene-free plants with mutations inSBE genes.

#### **Reducing cold-induced sweetening**

To reduce sprouting and extend post-harvest shelf life, harvested potato tubers are commonly stored at low temperatures (approximately 4 °C). However, during such storage, they often accumulate reducing sugars, such as glucose and fructose, due to starch conversion, a phenomenon known as cold-induced sweetening (CIS) (Dale and Bradshaw 2003), which posing a significant challenge for the subsequent processing of potatoes. When subjected to high-temperature frying, the reducing sugars undergo a non-enzymatic Maillard reaction with free amino acids, causing the fried slices to darken and develop a bitter taste, which are not consumer friendly (Mottram et al. 2002; Pedreschi et al. 2005). Moreover, the formation of a carcinogenic compound acrylamide in this reaction poses serious health risks (Bethke and Bussan 2013; Sowokinos et al. 2004; Tareke et al. 2002; Pedreschi et al. 2005).

Vacuolar invertase (VInv) is one of the enzymes involved in cold-induced sweetening, responsible for converting sucrose to glucose and fructose (Sowokinos et al. 2004; Bhaskar et al. 2010; Zhang et al. 2017). Transcription of VInv is significantly upregulated under cold conditions, while downregulated at normal temperatures (Zrenner et al. 1996; Bhaskar et al. 2010). Decreasing reducing sugars is the effective way to suppress negative effect of CIS (Becalski 2004; Zhu et al. 2016), and previous studies have revealed overexpressing an invertase inhibitor from tobacco in potatoes (Greiner et al. 1999), or using antisense RNA (asRNA), RNAi or CRISPR-Cas9 to suppress the VInv transcription or reduce its activity and cold-induced reducing sugars accumulation, could effectively reduce CIS (Zrenner et al. 1996; Bhaskar et al. 2010; Wu et al. 2011; Clasen et al. 2016; Ly et al. 2023). Recently, an intronic enhancer VInvIn2En, governing cold-induced expression of VInv was dissected in potato to upregulate StVInv1 under cold conditions (Zhu et al. 2024). Remarkably, 5 out of 18 transformed lines exhibited nearly complete silencing of the VInv gene, with minimal or undetectable CIS activity (Hou et al. 2017; Shi et al. 2022). Crucially, some of the transgenic lines showed a complete absence of TALENs sequences in downstream characterization, offering a transgene-free approach (Clasen et al. 2016).

CRISPR/Cas technology is applied to reduce the reducing sugar content and mitigate acrylamide formation during frying by targeting *VInv* expression (Yasmeen et al. 2022; Shi et al. 2022; Jaiswal 2023). The sucrose involved in CIS is transported into the vacuole through the tonoplast sugar transporter (TST) (Martinoia 2018). Silencing *StTST1* increases sucrose in cytoplasm, furthermore reduces starch degradation and reducing sugar accumulation in tubers, effectively enhancing resistance to CIS (Liu 2023). Recently, two sgRNAs were applied in the CRISPR-Cas9-mediated knockdown of vacuolar invertase (VInv) gene in local cultivar of potato in Pakistan. And the overall editing efficacy was determined to be 25.6% and the content of reducing sugars showed five-fold reduction than control (Yasmeen et al. 2022). This is the first successful application of potato VInvgene's knockdown in addressing cold-induced sweetening by resulting in minimum accumulation of reducing sugars in genome-edited potato.

#### **Reducing enzymatic browning**

High tuber quality is a crucial trait valued by both the potato-processing industry and consumers. However, throughout harvesting, transportation, and processing, enzymatic browning (EB) can occur on damaged tissue surfaces, severely affecting the flavor, appearance, and nutritional value of potato products. Moreover, mechanical damage disrupts subcellular compartments, releasing phenolic compounds localized in vacuoles and polyphenol oxidases (PPOs) localized in amyloplasts (Thygesen 1995). PPOs catalyze the oxidation of monophenols and/ or o-diphenols to o-quinones in the presence of oxygen, which then react with free amino acids and macromolecules such as proteins, forming red or brown pigments that accumulate in plant tissues (Boeckx et al. 2015; Taranto et al. 2017). Various chemical methods exist to prevent enzymatic browning in potatoes, primarily based on inhibiting PPO activity (Moon et al. 2020). However, due to growing concerns about chemical residues impacting public health, there is increasing interest in cultivating potato varieties resistant to enzymatic browning.

PPOs comprise a multi-gene family with diverse expression patterns. In potatoes, five PPO genes have been identified, each with several allelic variations (Thygesen 1995). Knocking out all PPO genes could potentially be lethal for plants, given their involvement in numerous physiological processes and defense mechanisms against pathogens and pests. Hence, precise allele silencing or gene targeting is necessary. PPO2 is the primary isoform responsible for 55% of total PPO activity in tubers (Chi 2014). Successful mutation of the StPPO2 gene was achieved in the cv. Désirée through CRISPR/Cas9 RNP delivery to protoplasts (González et al. 2020). Among the regenerated plants, 68% displayed mutations in at least one allele of the target gene, with 24% exhibiting mutations in all four alleles. As anticipated, these lines showed reduced PPO activity and enzymatic browning compared with control plants, with no observed off-target mutations in the remaining StPPO genes, suggesting that enzymatic browning can be significantly reduced by editing a single StPPO member. Thus far, obtained individuals with low PPO activity through gene editing without showing a significant impact on their growth and development, indicating the feasibility of obtaining antienzymatic browning potato varieties through *PPO* genes modification.

#### Reducing the content of glycoside alkaloids in tubers

Glycoalkaloids are secondary metabolites found in solanaceous plants. Steroidal glycoalkaloids (SGAs), primarily composed of  $\alpha$ -solanine and  $\alpha$ -chaconine in commercial cultivars, are typically concentrated in flowers, sprouts, leaves, and especially in the peel of tubers (Friedman 2006). The SGA content in tuber flesh can increase substantially under wounding, mechanical stress, or exposure to high light during post-harvest handling and storage (Ginzberg et al. 2009). Accumulation of SGAs is toxic to humans and imparts a bitter taste. RNAi-mediated silencing of the host gene glycoalkaloid metabolism 4 (GAME4), involved in the SGA biosynthetic pathway, resulted in a significant decrease (up to 74-fold) in SGA content in leaves and tubers (Itkin et al. 2013). Similarly, lower SGA content was achieved through the RNAi silencing of glycoalkaloid metabolism 1 (GAME1) gene (Cárdenas et al. 2016).

*St16DOX*, encoding a steroid 16α-hydroxylase crucial for SGA biosynthesis and existing in a single copy, suppressed SGA accumulation in potato hairy roots through CRISPR/Cas9 targeting (Nakayasu et al. 2018). Among the 25 transgenic hairy root lines, two contained no detectable α-solanine and α-chaconine, instead accumulating 22,26-dihydroxycholesterol, an St16DOX substrate, suggesting the complete disruption of *St16DOX*. However, whole plants have not yet been regenerated.

Sterol side chain reductase 2 (SSR2), responsible for cholesterol synthesis, was targeted using TALEN (Sawai et al. 2014). Only one stable line with tetra allelic mutations in gene SSR2, lacking a wild-type copy, exhibited significantly reduced SGA content (10% that of the wild type) in leaves. However, SGA content in tubers of this line was not reported. In a recent study, SSR2 was targeted using CRISPR/Cas9, but tetra allelic mutants could not be obtained (Zheng et al. 2021). Although reductions in SGA content up to 34% in tuber flesh were reported, some lines exhibited higher SGA levels in both tubers and leaves compared with the wild type.

# Overcoming self-incompatibility in potato

Cultivated potatoes are primarily tetraploid, which poses challenges for improvement due to tetrasomic genetics and clonal propagation. There is increasing interest in re-domesticating potatoes as an inbred line-based crop propagated by seeds at the diploid level (Jansky et al. 2016). However, the self-incompatibility (SI) and inbreeding depression of diploid potatoes substantially hinder the development of inbred lines. In potato, selfincompatibility is governed by the single, multi-allelic S-locus comprising the S-locus RNase (S-RNase) and S-locus F-box proteins (SLFs). The S-RNase protein recognizes self-pollen and inhibits its elongation through RNA degradation (Kubo et al. 2010). Thus, inhibiting the function of S-RNase could potentially yield selfcompatible lines. Two studies have independently targeted conserved regions of S-RNase alleles to knock out this gene using CRISPR/Cas9 (Enciso-Rodriguez et al. 2019; Ye et al. 2018). The resulting mutants harbored multi-allelic mutations in the S-RNase gene and transmitted self-compatibility to their progeny. Moreover, in some cases, the Cas9 gene segregated out in T1 seeds, suggesting the possibility of obtaining nontransgenic progeny. Furthermore, the function of the S-locus inhibitor (Sli) was explored by targeting it with Cas9 to convert self-compatible varieties into self-incompatible ones (Eggers et al. 2021). Double knockout lines of HT-B and S-RNase displayed increased seed production up to three times higher than observed in the S-RNase-only knockout, indicating a synergistic effect between HT-B and S-RNase in self-compatibility in diploid potato (Lee et al. 2023). These studies introduced a new approach to diploid potato breeding, expanded the resources of selfcompatible potatoes, and are poised to accelerate genetic improvements in potatoes.

#### Enhancing biotic stress resistance in potato

Traditionally, fungicides have been used to protect against late blight, but this approach can lead to chemical pollution. Compared with chemical pesticides, planting disease-resistant varieties remains the most cost-effective and environmentally friendly method for preventing and controlling potato late blight. Resistance to P. infestans is conferred by dominant R genes encoding proteins that recognize avirulence (Avr) effectors and trigger plant responses. Incorporating Rgenes from wild potato relatives is currently considered the most reliable and environmentally friendly approach (Stefańczyk et al. 2020). Successful transfer of R genes has been achieved (Halterman et al. 2016; Ghislain et al. 2019; Byarugaba et al. 2021), resulting in genetically modified cultivars resistant to widespread P. infestans infection. These cultivars are primarily used for cultivation in sub-Saharan Africa (Ghislain et al. 2019; Byarugaba et al. 2021).

CRISPR/Cas-based technologies have ushered in a new era for exploiting plant resistance to late blight and deciphering the mechanisms behind plant development and immunity even in food crops by two main strategies: knockout of susceptibility genes and knock-in of metabolite biosynthetic genes (Hegde et al. 2021; Kieu et al. 2021; Ma et al. 2023). In the knockout approach, (Kieu et al. 2021) targeted potato susceptibility genes (S-genes) triggered upon infection by *P. infestans*. Seven putative potato S-genes were analyzed in cvs. Désirée and King Edward. Tetra-allelic deletion mutants were assessed for resistance to *P. infestans* based on lesion size, percentage of infected leaves, and seedling morphology. Three S-genes, StDND1, StCHL1, and StDMR6-1, were implicated in *P. infestans* susceptibility. Mutant plants lacking StCHL1 and StDMR6-1 exhibited late blight resistance, with reduced lesion size compared with WT and unaffected morphology. These lines with loss-of-function mutations in S-genes could be valuable in breeding to confer resistance to *P. infestans*, particularly when combined with specific R-genes.

In the knock-in approach, resistance to P. infestans in cv. Russet Burbank was enhanced by identifying a pathogen-responsive gene, StCCoAOMT, through RNAseq analysis in both mock and *P. infestans*-inoculated potato plants (Hegde et al. 2021). StCCoAOMT encodes caffeoyl-CoA methyltransferase, an enzyme involved in synthesizing defense-related metabolites in plants (Hegde et al. 2020). A specific allele of *StCCoAOMT* harboring a point mutation was identified, which generates a premature termination codon and results in a truncated protein lacking 96 amino acids from the N-terminus. Recently, Cas9-mediated HDR was employed to replace an SNP in the *StCCoAOMT* gene, eliminating the premature stop codon and restoring the full-length protein (Hegde et al. 2021), leading to increased expression of downstreamRgenes and imparting partial late blight resistance in transgenic plants. Notably, this resistance was characterized by a significant reduction in plant severity and pathogen biomass (more than a 21-fold decrease) in stems. An increased accumulation of feruloylated metabolites, involved in tuberization and lignification of cell walls, was also observed around the infection site.

Another destructive pathogen affecting potatoes is PVY, causing substantial losses in both tuber guality and quantity, up to 80% yield loss (Quenouille et al. 2013). Because PVY is transmitted by various aphids, reducing vector populations through insecticide use is a common method to prevent virus transmission. However, the most effective approach is developing resistant cultivars through genetic engineering. Recently, resistance to multiple PVY strains was achieved through the knockout of viral RNA transcripts by using the CRISPR/ Cas13a system. sgRNAs were designed from conserved coding regions of three PVY strains (PVY<sup>O</sup>, PVY<sup>N</sup>, and the recombinant PVY<sup>N:O</sup> strain), targeting proteins P3 (viral factors), CI (virus movement), Nlb (viral replicase), and CP (capsid) regions of the viral genome. All potato lines with Cas13a/sgRNA targeting P3, CI, Nlb, and CP

in the PVY genome exhibited the ability to cleave the RNA genome of PVY. Moreover, they displayed no disease symptoms and a considerable reduction in PVY<sup>O</sup> and PVY<sup>N</sup>accumulation in leaves, with symptom severity negatively correlated with LshCas13a/sgRNA expression levels (Zhan et al. 2019). This broad-spectrum resistance to different potato viruses (such as PVS, PVY, and PVA) can be engineered using the same multiplexing method. A recent study targeted eIF4E1, a host protein exploited by PVY for replication after infecting cells, thus providing recessive resistance when mutated (Lucioli 2022). The first generation of transgenic potatoes had only two eIF4E1 allele mutations, rendering them susceptible to PVY<sup>NTN</sup>. Regeneration and re-transfection of protoplasts isolated from the first generation of mutant plants produced two complete KO lines with partial resistance to PVY<sup>NTN</sup> infection.

# Advances in genome studies of potato Identification, collection and evaluation of germplasm resources

Germplasm resources are the foundation of modern seed industry. The collection, identification, and evaluation of excellent germplasm resources are prerequisites for variety breeding and elite gene mining. According to data provided by the World information and early warning system on plant genetic resources for food and agriculture (WIEWS, https://www.fao.org/wiews/zh/), there are currently 82,293 potato germplasm resources preserved in 89 institutions and 4 international/regional research centers in 59 countries worldwide. These collections are of great significance for the study of potato germplasm diversity and crop improvement, and provide valuable resources for researchers.

In potato breeding, tuber morphological traits are important selection targets for the demands of the fresh and processing markets. Potato varieties with increased levels of minerals have great potential to alleviate mineral malnutrition. A diversity panel of 214 advanced clones was genotyped and phenotyped to obtain genomic estimated breeding values, which helps to better understand the genetic basis of potato morphological traits and mineral macro and micronutrients, and identify parents with the best breeding values to improve selection efficiency (Pandey et al. 2023a, b). (Singh et al. 2022) evaluated the mineral nutrient content of 243 tetraploid potato tubers with and without skin, and found that the nutrient concentration in the epidermal layer of the tubers was high and peeling would lead to nutrient loss, providing a reference for breeding high nutrient potato varieties.

To gain insight into the genetic potential of the germplasm used for potato breeding in a Nordic breeding program as well as all available accessions from the Nordic genebank (NordGen), a genotyping and trait evaluation on 133 breeding backbone parents and gene banks was conducted, suggesting that more genotypes outside of the Nordic region should be introduced in the subsequent breeding process (Selga et al. 2022). Another phenotypic variation and genetic diversity of 149 main potato cultivars in China identified a molecular marker STI032 that is significantly correlated to starch content and maturity (Hu et al. 2022).

The resistance of 189 late blight resistant varieties was evaluated, and identified 10 elite broad-spectrum resistance resources and 127 Phytophthora infestans resistance resources, revealing the rich genetic diversity of wild resources (Duan et al. 2021). With the development of molecular biology technology, the gradual deepening of understanding of potato germplasm resources at the molecular and genomic levels will provide abundant information for genetic study and breeding, thereby effectively utilizing germplasm resources and accelerating the potato genetic improvement and new germplasm innovation.

# Genome/high-throughput sequencing provides genetic resources/gene targets

A profound understanding of potato genomics and genetics is essential for effective molecular breeding. High-quality genome sequence data are fundamental for identifying key genetic factors in potato breeding, such as self-incompatibility, inbreeding depression, and the genetic basis of tuber formation. Since the release of the first reference genome for a monoploid potato (DM1-3 516 R44) in 2011, several studies have reported the genome assemblies for various cultivated and wild diploid potato accessions, offering valuable resources (Potato Genome Sequencing Consortium 2011; Aversano et al. 2015; Leisner et al. 2018; Zhou et al. 2020; Yan et al. 2021; Tang et al. 2022). However, most potato cultivars have tetraploid genomes, presenting a significant challenge for genome assembly. Existing tetraploid genome sequences are limited to whole-genome shotgun sequencing data (Hardigan et al. 2017) or highly fragmented assemblies (Kyriakidou et al. 2020), lacking phased haplotype data for the four alleles.

Recent advances in third-generation sequencing technology, coupled with improved data computing and analysis capabilities, have enabled comprehensive analysis of autotetraploid potato genomes using long-range, highaccuracy DNA sequencing (Cheng et al. 2021). A combination of PacBio 3.1 HiFi and single-cell sequencing technology, along with HiC technology-assisted assembly was applied and obtained four sets of haplotype genomes for the tetraploid variety Otava, yielding important reference data for tetraploid potato research including the annotation of 152,855 genes, with 54% present in all four haplotype genomes (Sun et al. 2022b). Wang et al. (2022a, b) presented a high-quality, chromosome-scale reference genome sequence of Qingshu 9, a homotetraploid heterozygous potato variety. Leveraging cutting-edge sequencing technologies and polyploid graph binning, Bao et al. (2022) achieved a chromosome-scale, haplotype-resolved genome assembly of the cultivated potato Cooperation-88 (C88), facilitating research on potato genome variation.

The concept of the pan-genome, encompassing all genetic information of a species, offers a broader view of genetic diversity than a single reference genome. Hoopes (2022) generated phased genome assemblies of six potato cultivars, cataloging the gene complement, identifying allelic variation associated with agronomic traits, and constructing the first pan-genome for tetraploid potato. Furthermore, Tang et al. (2022) conducted evolutionary analysis of 432 diploid materials, constructing high-guality diploid potato genomes and pan-genome maps for cultivated and closely related wild species. These genome disclosures, including those of tetraploid cultivars such as Atlantic, Otava, Qingshu 9, and C88, provide unprecedented genetic insights into genomic structure, variation, and diversity, laying a solid foundation for variety improvement based on genomic variation.

#### GWAS for potato

Genome-wide association study (GWAS) has proven effective in identifying causal variation of complex traits, offering higher resolution at the genome level compared with traditional linkage mapping strategies (Naeem et al. 2021). Sharma (2018) examined various GWAS models in cultivated potato genotypes using the Infinium 8 K Potato SNP Array and found that kinship, not population structure, was the most important factor in determining the extent of false associations. Using the tetraploid potato genome, GWAS has been employed to associate candidate genes with specific chromosomes in cultivated potato species for protein content (Klaassen et al. 2019), mineral content (Pandey et al. 2023a), scab resistance (Kaiser et al. 2020), root and stolon traits (Yousaf 2021), tuber traits (Pandey et al. 2022), tuber bruising (Angelin-Bonnet et al. 2023), and tuber-bound free amino acids (Pandey et al. 2023b). Re-sequence analysis on 214 representative potato varieties bred in the United States was conducted and uncovered regulatory genes linked to crucial traits such as skin color, growth period, and tuber formation (Pandey et al. 2021). Similarly, nine related regions and three candidate genes associated with drought stress were identified through GWAS analysis (Díaz et al. 2021).

In the field of disease resistance, Wang et al. (2021) analyzed 284 tetraploid potatoes via GWAS, identifying 44 candidate genes linked to late blight. Zhang et al. (2022) re-sequenced the genomes of 108 core cultivar potato accessions with rich genetic diversity and population structure from the International Potato Center, revealing numerous candidate loci related to photoperiodic flowering time and temperature sensitivity through GWAS, which provides a valuable resource facilitating the understanding of the domestication process, genetic studies, and agronomic improvement of autotetraploid potato. A GWAS on the tuber flesh color of 150 tetraploid heterozygous potatoes was conducted and identified the associated candidate genes (Wang et al. 2022a, b). These GWAS findings indicate the significance of high-quality reference genomes in analyzing complex genomes and establish a foundation for further research on genetic analysis and variety selection for crucial traits.

# Genomic design and breeding technology for diploid hybrid potato

Tubers are the planting material in commercial potato cultivation. However, clonal propagation leads to low reproduction coefficient, high cost of storage and transportation, whereas tubers are easy to carry viruses and pests, which have hindered the development of potato industry for a long time. Unlike the modern cultivation of potatoes, which mainly rely on tetraploids, approximately 70% of potato germplasm resources in nature are diploid (Spooner et al. 2014). Therefore, screening and identifying excellent diploid potato germplasm and developing a hybrid potato breeding system aimed at converting potato from a tuber-propagated tetraploid crop into a seed-propagated diploid crop through crossing inbred lines, has become a research hotspot in the potato breeding and research community (Lindhout et al. 2011; Jansky et al. 2016). However, achieving diploid hybrid potato breeding is not an easy task, as it requires highly homozygous inbred parents to cross and exhibit heterosis, while the inherent self-incompatibility and inbreeding depression of natural diploid potato germplasm hindered the development of high-purity and excellent inbred lines.

To cultivate inbred lines, the first step is to solve the problem of incompatible inbreeding. Qiao et al. (2004a, 2004b) used plants such as *Antirhonum majus* and *Petunia* hybrid as model to elucidate the molecular mechanism by which the S-RNase gene determines self-incompatibility, laying a theoretical foundation for breaking the limitation of self-incompatibility in diploid potatoes. Additionally, comparative genomics methods combined with genome editing techniques were applied to two naturally mutated self-compatible clones from wild potatoes and the genes controlling this trait were identified, *S-RNase* and *Sli* (*S-local inhibitor*) (Zhang et al. 2019). Subsequently, by cloning *Sli* gene in the pollen, cross of self-compatible diploid potato RH89-039–16 with self-incompatible lines was revealed to induce the mating transition from self-incompatibility to self-compatibility effectively (Ma et al. 2021). Breaks self-incompatibility in diploid potatoes paves a path forward for the diploid hybrid breeding program (Eggers et al. 2021).

Inbreeding depression refers to the phenomenon of decreased vitality, weakened resistance, and reduced yield in the offspring of biological self-pollination. Potatoes accumulate a large number of recessive deleterious mutations during long-term asexual reproduction. Once self-pollinated, the effects of deleterious mutations will become apparent in offspring, leading to inbreeding depression. Recently, the extensive deleterious mutations in a diploid potato diversity panel were identified, providing new evidence for identifying functional sites and eliminating harmful mutations, and facilitating developing strategies to minimize fixed load in breeding populations (Wu et al. 2023). Moreover, the effect of deleterious mutations in hybrid varieties was revealed to be masked by crossing inbred lines with significant genetic background differences (Zhang et al. 2019). However, the deleterious mutations that lead to inbreeding depression are embedded in the two haplotypes of potatoes and cannot be completely eliminated through recombination (Zhou et al. 2020). Therefore, it is necessary to select, design, and eliminate deleterious mutations, overcome inbreeding depression, and obtain excellent purebred inbred lines with the genomic information.

Recently, a hybrid potato genome design system has been established and highly viable and fertile inbred lines (with a homozygosity of up to 99.94%) were obtained from different inbred lines, and hybridized two different inbred lines to produce the first generation of identical F1 hybrid H1. A plot trial showed that the estimated tuber yield of the F1 hybrid H1 was close to 40 tons per hectare, displaying strong heterosis (Zhang et al. 2021). Though potato hybrid breeding is still in its infancy, it has been a milestone achievement, opening a door for potato breeding and ushering in the era of precision breeding and rapid iteration for genetic improvement of potatoes (Markel and Shih 2021; Mascher et al. 2021).

# Future perspectives

With traditional breeding techniques forming the backbone of potato variety selection globally, the breeding process tends to be lengthy. However, with rapid advancements in biotechnology and sequencing technology, significant strides have been made in potato basic research. These advancements can advance the understanding of genetic mechanisms underlying crucial traits and hold promise for enhancing comprehensive breeding technologies. The successful assembly of haplotype genomes for tetraploid cultivated species and the construction of variation and pan-genome maps for diploid wild and cultivated species mark pivotal breakthroughs in molecular breeding and whole-genome selection breeding. Leveraging the research advantages of pangenomics and identifying key traits such as agronomy, quality, stress resistance, and disease resistance regulatory genes, as well as analyzing genetic regulatory mechanisms and molecular networks, will significantly boost the evaluation and utilization of germplasm resources and the genetic enhancement of potato varieties.

The use of CRISPR/Cas gene editing technology for variety enhancement and the development of superior new varieties presents a rapid and effective approach, albeit with challenges such as the simultaneous mutation of four alleles. Gene knockout is the primary method for potato gene editing, with the less commonly used, more precise editing tools such as Single Base Editor and Prime Editor facing hurdles, primarily due to their relatively low editing efficiency in potatoes. However, these novel tools require specific protocols and enhancements tailored to the potato context. Developing a genotype-independent genetic transformation system, enhancing genetic transformation efficiency, and broadening the application scope of CRISPR/Cas technology are crucial pathways for improving potato variety genetics. Additionally, prioritizing tools that do not leave traces of exogenous DNA is essential, given their lower regulatory barriers. With the ongoing sequencing of more tetraploid potato genomes and the refinement of gene editing technology, a wider array of genes will become available for precise and efficient breeding of new potato varieties in the future.

| Abbreviations       |  |
|---------------------|--|
| GA                  | Gibberellic acid                                 |
| CKs                 | Cytokinins                                       |
| Т                   | Ethylene   |
| ABA                 | Abscisic acid                                    |
| AA                  | Indole-3-acetic acid                             |
| 3Rs                 | Brassinosteroids                                 |
| SA                  | Salicylic acid                                   |
| SLs                 | Strigolactones                                   |
| ARF                 | Auxin response factor                            |
| CKX1                | CK oxidase/dehydrogenase                         |
| RNA-seq             | RNA-sequencing                                   |
| 2-DE                | Two-dimensional electrophoresis                  |
| _C-Triple TOF MS/MS | Reversed-phase liquid chromatography-tandem mass |
|                     | spectrometry                                     |
|                     | Chlorine dioxide                                 |
| 41                  | Alkaline invertases                              |
| NI                  | Neutral invertases                               |
| GA2ox               | GA2-oxidase                                      |
| RSA                 | Root system architecture                         |
| ARs                 | Adventitious roots                               |
| _Rs                 | Lateral roots                                    |
| N                   | Nitrogen   |
| Ма                  | Magnesium  |

| P                                   | Phosphorus   |
|-------------------------------------|--|
| ABI5                                | ABA insensitive 5  |
| bZIP                                | Basic leucine zipper   |
| StABL1                              | StABI5 like 1  |
| StAST1                              | StABL1 And StSP6A-associated TCP protein 1   |
| aTAC                                | Nodulin-activated complex  |
| SAM                                 | Shoot apical meristem  |
| IM                                  | Inflorescence meristem   |
| FT                                  | FLOWERING LOCUS T  |
| CO                                  | CONSTANS   |
| RFT1                                | RICE FLOWERING LOCUS T1  |
| FAC                                 | Flowering activation complex   |
| aTACs                               | Alternative TACs   |
| aFACs                               | Alternative FACs   |
| BBX                                 | B-box type zinc finger   |
| PHYA                                | Phytochrome A  |
| PHYB                                | Phytochrome B  |
| PHYF                                | Phytochrome F  |
| DLI                                 | Daily light integral   |
| SUT                                 | Sucrose transporter  |
| RNAi                                | RNA interference   |
| SGAs                                | Steroidal glycoalkaloids   |
| SES                                 | SUPPRESSING EXPRESSION OF SP6A   |
| CKX2                                | CYTOKININ OXYDASE 2  |
| CCM                                 | Chloroethyltrimethylammonium chloride  |
| FKF1                                | FLAVIN-BINDING KELCH REPEAT F-BOX PROTEIN 1  |
| GI                                  | GIGANTEA   |
| DOF                                 | DNA-binding with one finger  |
| m6A                                 | N6-Methyladenosine   |
| F6P                                 | Fructose 6-phosphate   |
| PGI                                 | Phosphoglucoisomerase  |
| PGM                                 | Phosphoglucomutase   |
| AGPase                              | ADP-glucose pyrophosphorylase  |
| SuSv                                | Sucrose synthase   |
| SSS                                 | Starch syntheses   |
| GBSSs                               | Granular-bound starch synthases  |
| SBEs                                | Starch branching enzymes   |
| DBEs                                | Debranching enzymes  |
| GRSS                                | Granule-bound starch synthase  |
| PTST                                | PROTEIN TARGETING TO STARCH  |
| PW/D                                | Phosphoglucan water dikinase   |
| GWD                                 | Glucan water dikinase  |
| RAM                                 | Beta-amylase   |
| DPF                                 |  |
| SRE                                 | Branching enzyme   |
| 50L<br>E\\/                         | Frosh woight   |
| FLIC                                | Fliciting  |
|                                     | Eliciulis<br>Recenter like protein   |
|                                     |  |
|                                     |  |
|                                     | Becenter like kinases  |
| RLRS                                |  |
| SKF                                 | STRUBBELIG-RECEPTOR FAMILY   |
| LECKK-I.9                           | L-type lectin receptor kinase-i.9  |
| CDN                                 | Cristiles  |
| CRN<br>DD1                          | Crinkier   |
| PKI<br>DCL1                         | Pathogenesis-related protein-1   |
| B2F1                                | BSU-LIKE PRUTEINT  |
| StDeSI2                             | Desumoylating isopeptidase 2   |
| NKLI                                | NPH3/RP12-LIKE1 protein  |
| PPIC                                | Protein phosphatase i catalytic  |
| rvi<br>DVV                          | Polalo VITUS Y   |
| rvA<br>DVC                          | Potato VITUS X   |
| PV5                                 | Potato VII/US S  |
| rvA                                 | POLALO VITUS A   |
|                                     | Detete le fuelle du c  |
| PLRV                                | Potato leafroll virus  |
| PURV<br>PVM                         | Potato leafroll virus<br>Potato virus M  |
| PVM<br>HR                           | Potato leafroll virus<br>Potato virus M<br>Hypersensitive resistance response  |
| PVM<br>HR<br>ER                     | Potato leafroll virus<br>Potato virus M<br>Hypersensitive resistance response<br>Extreme resistance                                  |
| PCRV<br>PVM<br>HR<br>ER<br>CP       | Potato leafroll virus<br>Potato virus M<br>Hypersensitive resistance response<br>Extreme resistance<br>Coat protein                  |
| PLRV<br>PVM<br>HR<br>ER<br>CP<br>PD | Potato leafroll virus<br>Potato virus M<br>Hypersensitive resistance response<br>Extreme resistance<br>Coat protein<br>Plasmodesmata |

| REM        | REMORIN   |
|------------|---|
| TGBp1      | Triple gene block proteins1                       |
| ICE1       | INDUCER OF CBF EXPRESSION 1                       |
| StTOC1     | TIMING OF CAB EXPRESSION1                         |
| DOG1       | DELAY OF GENEATION 1                              |
| SLP        | SUBTILISIN-LIKE PROTEASE                          |
| AtHKT1     | Arabidopsis High-affinity potassium transporter 1 |
| SnRK2      | Sucrose nonfermenting 1-related protein kinases 2 |
| NF-Y       | Nuclear factor Y                                  |
| RNAi       | RNA interference                                  |
| PLRV       | Potato leafroll virus                             |
| SSNs       | Site-specific nucleases                           |
| ZFNs       | Zinc Finger Nucleases                             |
| TALENs     | Transcription Activator-Like Effector Nucleases   |
| CRISPR     | Clustered Regularly Interspaced Short Palindromic |
|            | Repeats   |
| CRISPR/Cas | CRISPR-associated proteins                        |
| RNP        | Ribonucleoprotein                                 |
| CBE        | Cytidine base editor                              |
| SBE        | Starch branching enzymes                          |
| CIS        | Cold-induced sweetening                           |
| VInv       | Vacuolar invertase                                |
| asRNA      | Antisense RNA                                     |
| TST        | Tonoplast sugar transporter                       |
| EB         | Enzymatic browning                                |
| PPOs       | Polyphenol oxidases                               |
| SGAs       | Steroidal glycoalkaloids                          |
| GAME4      | Glycoalkaloid metabolism 4                        |
| SSR2       | Sterol side chain reductase 2                     |
| SI         | Self-incompatibility                              |
| S-RNase    | S-locus RNase                                     |
| SLFs       | S-locus F-box proteins                            |
| Sli        | S-locus inhibitor                                 |

#### Authors' contributions

Hongwei Xue designed the framework; Li Qu and other authors drafted and Hongwei Xue wrote the paper. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

#### Funding

This work was supported by Ten Thousand Talent Program and Shanghai Collaborative Innovation Center of Agri-Seeds Program (ZXWH2150201/015).

#### Availability of data and materials

All relevant data are within the manuscript.

#### Declarations

**Ethics approval and consent to participate** Not applicable.

#### **Consent for publication**

Not applicable.

#### **Competing Interests**

The authors declare that they have no competing interests. Prof. Hong-Wei Xue is the Editor-in-Chief of Molecular Horticulture. He was not involved in the journal's review of, and decisions related to, this manuscript.

Received: 8 April 2024 Accepted: 17 July 2024 Published online: 19 August 2024

#### References

Abbasi AR, Hajirezaei M, Hofius D, Sonnewald U, Voll LM. Specific roles of alpha- and gamma-tocopherol in abiotic stress responses of transgenic tobacco. Plant Physiol. 2007;143(4):1720–38. Abbasi AR, Saur A, Hennig P, Tschiersch H, Hajirezaei M, Hofius D, et al. Tocopherol deficiency in transgenic tobacco (*Nicotiana tabacum* L.) plants leads to accelerated senescence. Plant Cell Environ. 2009;32(2):144–57.

Abdala G, Castro G, Guiñazú MM, Tizio R, Miersch O. Occurrence of jasmonic acid in organs of *Solanum tuberosum* L. and its effect on tuberization. Plant Growth Requ. 1996;19(2):139–43.

Abdala G, Castro G, Miersch O, Pearce D. Changes in jasmonate and gibberellin levels during development of potato plants (*Solanum tuberosum*). Plant Growth Regu. 2002;36(2):121–6.

Abe M, Shibaoka H, Yamane H, Takahashi N. Cell cycle-dependent disruption of microtubules by methyl jasmonate in tobacco BY-2 cells. Protoplasma. 1990;156(1):1–8.

Abelenda JA, Navarro C, Prat S. Flowering and tuberization: a tale of two nightshades. Trends Plant Sci. 2014;19(2):115–22.

Abelenda JA, Cruz-Oró E, Franco-Zorrilla JM, Prat S. Potato StCONSTANS-like1 suppresses storage organ formation by directly activating the FT-like StSP5G repressor. Curr Biol. 2016;26(7):872–81.

Adly WMRM, Niedbała G, El-Denary ME, Mohamed MA, Piekutowska M, Wojciechowski T, et al. Somaclonal variation for genetic improvement of starch accumulation in potato (*Solanum tuberosum*) tubers. Plants (basel). 2023;12:232.

Adolf B, Andrade-Piedra J, Bittara Molina F, et al. Fungal, Oomycete, and Plasmodiophorid Diseases of Potato. In: Campos H, Ortiz O, editors. The Potato Crop: Its Agricultural, Nutritional and Social Contribution to Humankind. Springer International Publishing; 2020:307–50. https://doi. org/10.1007/978-3-030-28683-5\_9.

Adolwa IS, Mutegi J, Muthamia J, Gitonga A, Njoroge S, Kiwia A, et al. Enhancing sustainable agri-food systems using multi-nutrient fertilizers in Kenyan smallholder farming systems. Heliyon. 2023;9(4):e15320.

Agrawal L, Chakraborty S, Jaiswal DK, Gupta S, Datta A, Chakraborty N. Comparative proteomics of tuber induction, development and maturation reveal the complexity of tuberization process in potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L). J Proteome Res. 2008;7(9):3803–17.

Aksenova NP, Konstantinova TN, Golyanovskaya SA, Sergeeva LI, Romanov GA. Hormonal regulation of tuber formation in potato plants. Russian J Plant Physiol. 2012;59:451–66.

Aksenova N, Sergeeva LI, Konstantinova T, Golyanovskaya S, Kolachevskaya O, Romanov G. Regulation of potato tuber dormancy and sprouting. Russ J Plant Physiol. 2013;60:301–12.

Almekinders CJM, Wiersema SG. Flowering and true seed production in potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L). Potato Res. 1991;34:365–88.

Anderson RG, Deb D, Fedkenheuer K, McDowell JM. Recent progress in RXLR effector research. Mol Plant Microbe Interact. 2015;28(10):1063–72.

Andersson M, Melander M, Pojmark P, Larsson H, Bülow L, Hofvander P. Targeted gene suppression by RNA interference: an efficient method for production of high-amylose potato lines. J Biotechnol. 2006;123(2):137–48.

Andersson M, Turesson H, Nicolia A, Fält AS, Samuelsson M, Hofvander P. Efficient targeted multiallelic mutagenesis in tetraploid potato (*Solanum tuberosum*) by transient CRISPR-Cas9 expression in protoplasts. Plant Cell Rep. 2017;36(1):117–28.

Andersson M, Turesson H, Olsson N, Fält AS, Ohlsson P, Gonzalez MN, et al. Genome editing in potato via CRISPR-Cas9 ribonucleoprotein delivery. Physiol Plant. 2018;164:378–84.

Angelin-Bonnet O, Thomson S, Vignes M, Biggs PJ, Monaghan K, Bloomer R, et al. Investigating the genetic components of tuber bruising in a breeding population of tetraploid potatoes. BMC Plant Biol. 2023;23(1):238.

Armstrong MR, Whisson SC, Pritchard L, Bos JI, Venter E, Avrova AO, et al. An ancestral oomycete locus contains late blight avirulence gene *Avr3a*, encoding a protein that is recognized in the host cytoplasm. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2005;102(21):7766–71.

Asatsuma S, Sawada C, Itoh K, Okito M, Kitajima A, Mitsui T. Involvement of alpha-amylase I-1 in starch degradation in rice chloroplasts. Plant Cell Physiol. 2005;46:858–69.

Augustin J. Variations in the nutritional composition of fresh potatoes. J Food Sci. 1975;40:1295–9.

Aversano R, Contaldi F, Ercolano MR, Grosso V, Iorizzo M, Tatino F, et al. The Solanum commersonii genome sequence provides insights into adaptation to stress conditions and genome evolution of wild potato relatives. Plant Cell. 2015;27(4):954–68.

Baebler Š, Coll A, Gruden K. Plant molecular responses to Potato virus Y: a continuum of outcomes from sensitivity and tolerance to resistance. Viruses. 2020;12:217.

Bahaji A, Li J, Sánchez-López ÁM, Baroja-Fernández E, Muñoz FJ, Ovecka M, et al. Starch biosynthesis, its regulation and biotechnological approaches to improve crop yields. Biotechnol Adv. 2014;32(1):87–106.

Ball SG, Morell MK. From bacterial glycogen to starch: understanding the biogenesis of the plant starch granule. Annu Rev Plant Biol. 2003;54:207–33.

Baltes NJ, Gil-Humanes J, Voytas DF. Genome engineering and agriculture: opportunities and challenges. Prog Mol Biol Transl Sci. 2017;149:1–26.

Banerjee AK, Chatterjee M, Yu YY, et al. Dynamics of a mobile RNA of potato involved in a long-distance signaling pathway. The Plant Cell. 2007;18(12):3443–57.

Bánfalvi Z, Csákvári E, Villányi V, Kondrák M. Generation of transgene-free PDS mutants in potato by Agrobacterium-mediated transformation. BMC Biotechnol. 2020;20(1):25.

Bao Z, Li C, Li G, Wang P, Peng Z, Cheng L, et al. Genome architecture and tetrasomic inheritance of autotetraploid potato. Mol Plant. 2022;15(7):1211–26.

Barrera-Gavira J M, Pont S D A, Morris J A, et al. Senescent sweetening in potato (Solanum tuberosum) tubers is associated with a reduction in plastidial glucose-6-phosphate/phosphate translocator transcripts. Postharvest Biol Technol. 2021;181:111637.

Baroja-Fernández E, Muñoz FJ, Montero M, Etxeberria E, Sesma MT, Ovecka M, et al. Enhancing sucrose synthase activity in transgenic potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.) tubers results in increased levels of starch, ADPglucose and UDPglucose and total yield. Plant Cell Physiol. 2009;50(9):1651–62.

Baunsgaard L, Lütken H, Mikkelsen R, Glaring MA, Pham TT, Blennow A. A novel isoform of glucan, water dikinase phosphorylates pre-phosphorylated alpha-glucans and is involved in starch degradation in *Arabidopsis*. Plant J. 2005;41:595–605.

Becalski A, Lau BP-Y, Lewis D, Seaman SW, Hayward S, Sahagian M, et al. Acrylamide in French fries: influence of free amino acids and sugars. J Agri Food Chem. 2004;52(12):3801–6.

Begum S, Jing S, Yu L, Sun X, Wang E, Abu Kawochar M, et al. Modulation of JA signaling reveals the influence of StJAZ1-like on tuber initiation and tuber bulking in potato. Plant J. 2022;109(4):952–64.

Behnam B, Kikuchi A, Celebi-Toprak F, Yamanaka S, Kasuga M, Yamaguchi-Shinozaki K, et al. The Arabidopsis DREB1A gene driven by the stress-inducible rd29A promoter increases salt-stress tolerance in proportion to its copy number in tetrasomic tetraploid potato (Solanum tuberosum). Plant Biotechnol J. 2006;23:169–77.

Ben Chaabane S, Liu R, Chinnusamy V, Kwon Y, Park JH, Kim SY, et al. STA1, an Arabidopsis pre-mRNA processing factor 6 homolog, is a new player involved in miRNA biogenesis. Nucleic Acids Res. 2013;41(3):1984–97.

Bertoft E. Understanding starch structure : recent progress. Agronomy. 2017;7:56.

Bethke PC, Bussan AJ. Acrylamide in processed potato products. Am J Potato Res. 2013;90:403–24.

Bhaskar PB, Wu L, Busse JS, Whitty BR, Hamernik AJ, Jansky SH, et al. Suppression of the vacuolar invertase gene prevents cold-induced sweetening in potato. Plant Physiol. 2010;154(2):939–48.

Bhogale S, Mahajan AS, Natarajan B, Rajabhoj M, Thulasiram HV, Banerjee AK. MicroRNA156: a potential graft-transmissible microRNA that modulates plant architecture and tuberization in Solanum tuberosum ssp. andigena. Plant physiol. 2014;164(2):1011–27.

Birch PR, Boevink PC, Gilroy EM, Hein I, Pritchard L, Whisson SC. Oomycete RXLR effectors: delivery, functional redundancy and durable disease resistance. Curr Opin Plant Biol. 2008;11(4):373–9.

Boeckx T, Webster R, Winters AL, Webb KJ, Gay A, Kingston-Smith AH. Polyphenol oxidase-mediated protection against oxidative stress is not associated with enhanced photosynthetic efficiency. Ann Bot. 2015;116(4):529–40.

Boevink PC, Wang X, McLellan H, He Q, Naqvi S, Armstrong MR, et al. A *Phytophthora infestans* RXLR effector targets plant PP1c isoforms that promote late blight disease. Nat Commun. 2016;7:10311.

- Bos JI, Armstrong MR, Gilroy EM, Boevink PC, Hein I, Taylor RM, et al. *Phytophthora infestans* effector AVR3a is essential for virulence and manipulates plant immunity by stabilizing host E3 ligase CMPG1. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2010;107(21):9909–14.
- Bou-Torrent J, Martínez-García JF, García-Martínez JL, Prat S. Gibberellin A1 metabolism contributes to the control of photoperiod-mediated tuberization in potato. PLoS ONE. 2011;6(9):e24458.
- Bouaziz D, Pirrello J, Ben Amor H, et al. Ectopic expression of dehydration responsive element binding proteins (StDREB2) confers higher tolerance to salt stress in potato. Plant Physiology and Biochemistry. 2012;60:98–108.
- Bouwmeester K, de Sain M, Weide R, Gouget A, Klamer S, Canut H, et al. The lectin receptor kinase LecRK-I.9 is a novel *Phytophthora* resistance component and a potential host target for a RXLR effector. PLoS Pathog. 2011;7(3):e1001327.
- Bouwmeester K, Han M, Blanco-Portales R, Song W, Weide R, Guo LY, et al. The Arabidopsis lectin receptor kinase LecRK-I.9 enhances resistance to Phytophthora infestans in Solanaceous plants. Plant Biotechnol J. 2014;12(1):10–6.
- Bradley D, Ratcliffe O, Vincent C, Carpenter R, Coen E. Inflorescence commitment and architecture in Arabidopsis. Science. 1997;275(5296):80–3.
- Brown CR. Antioxidants in potato. Am J Potato Res. 2005;82:163-72.
- Brown CR. Breeding for phytonutrient enhancement of potato. Am J Potato Res. 2008;85:298–307.
- Brummell DA, Watson LM, Zhou J, McKenzie MJ, Hallett IC, Simmons L, et al. Overexpression of *STARCH BRANCHING ENZYME II* increases short-chain branching of amylopectin and alters the physicochemical properties of starch from potato tuber. BMC Biotechnol. 2015;15:28.
- Brust H, Orzechowski S, Fettke J, et al. Starch synthesizing reactions and paths: in vitro and in vivo studies. J Appl Glycosci. 2013;60:3–20.
- Buckenhuskes HJ. Nutritionally relevant aspects of potatoes and potato constituents. In: Potato in progress: science meets practice. 2005. p. 17–45.
- Buléon A, Colonna P, Planchot V, Ball S. Starch granules: structure and biosynthesis. Int J Biol Macromol. 1998;23(2):85–112.
- Butler NM, Atkins PA, Voytas DF, Douches DS. Generation and inheritance of targeted mutatios in potato (Solanum tuberosum L.) using the CRISPR/ Cas system. PLoS ONE. 2015;10:0144591.
- Butler NM, Baltes NJ, Voytas DF, Douches DS. Geminivirus-mediated genome editing in potato (Solanum tuberosum L.) using sequence-specific nucleases. Front Plant Sci. 2016;7:1045.
- Butler NM, Jansky SH, Jiang J. First-generation genome editing in potato using hairy root transformation. Plant Biotechnol J. 2020;18(11):2201–9.
- Burlingame B, Mouille B, Charrondiere R. Nutrients, bioactive non-nutrients and anti-nutrients in potatoes. J Food Compos Anal. 2009;22:494–502.
- Byarugaba AA, Baguma G, Jjemba DM, Faith AK, Wasukira A, Magembe E, et al. Comparative phenotypic and agronomic assessment of transgenic potato with *3R*-gene stack with complete resistance to late blight disease. Biology (basel). 2021;10(10):952.
- Camire ME, Kubow S, Donnelly DJ. Potatoes and human health. Crit Rev Food Sci Nutr. 2009;49:823–40.
- Cao J, Zhang Y, Tan S, et al. LSD 4.0: an improved database for comparative studies of leaf senescence. Mol Horticul. 2022;2(1):24.
- Cárdenas PD, Sonawane PD, Pollier J, Vanden Bossche R, Dewangan V, Weithorn E, et al. GAME9 regulates the biosynthesis of steroidal alkaloids and upstream isoprenoids in the plant mevalonate pathway. Nat Commun. 2016;7:10654.
- Carrera E, Bou J, García-Martínez JL, Prat S. Changes in GA 20-oxidase gene expression strongly affect stem length, tuber induction and tuber yield of potato plants. Plant J. 2000;22(3):247–56.
- Carrillo L, Baroja-Fernández E, Renau-Morata B, Muñoz FJ, Canales J, Ciordia S, et al. Ectopic expression of the AtCDF1 transcription factor in potato enhances tuber starch and amino acid contents and yield under open field conditions. Front Plant Sci. 2023;14:1010669.
- Carlsen FM, Johansen IE, Yang Z, Liu Y, Westberg IN, Kieu NP, et al. Strategies for Efficient Gene Editing in Protoplasts of Solanum tuberosum Theme: Determining gRNA Efficiency Design by Utilizing Protoplast (Research). Front Genome Ed. 2022;3:795644.
- Castro G, Kraus T, Abdala G. Endogenous jasmonic acid and radial cell expansion in buds of potato tubers. J Plant Physiol. 1999;155(6):706–10.

- Cenzano A, Vigliocco A, Kraus T, Abdala G. Exogenously applied jasmonic acid induces changes in apical meristem morphology of potato stolons. Ann Bot. 2003;91(7):915–9.
- Cenzano A, Vigliocc A, Miersch O, Abdala G. Hydroxylated jasmonate levels during stolon to tuber transition in *Solarium tuberosum* L. Potato Res. 2005;48(3):107–15.
- Cenzano A, Abdala G, Hause B. Cytochemical immune-localization of allene oxide cyclase, a jasmonic acid biosynthetic enzyme, in developing potato stolons. J Plant Physiol. 2007;164(11):1449–56.
- Chakraborty S, Chakraborty N, Agrawal L, Ghosh S, Narula K, Shekhar S, et al. Next-generation protein-rich potato expressing the seed protein gene *Am A*1 is a result of proteome rebalancing in transgenic tuber. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2010;107:17533–8.
- Chandran D, Reinders A, Ward JM. Substrate specificity of the *Arabidopsis thaliana* sucrose transporter AtSUC2. J Biol Chem. 2003;278(45):44320–5.
- Chaparro-Garcia A, Schwizer S, Sklenar J, Yoshida K, Petre B, Bos JI, et al. *Phytophthora infestans* RXLR-WY effector AVR3a associates with dynamin-related protein 2 required for endocytosis of the plant pattern recognition receptor FLS2. PLoS ONE. 2015;10(9):e0137071.
- Charkowski A, Sharma K, Parker ML, Secor GA, Elphinstone J. Bacterial diseases of potato. In: The potato crop: its agricultural, nutritional and social contribution to humankind. Cham: Springer International Publishing; 2020. p. 351–88.
- Chen H, Banerjee AK, Hannapel DJ. The tandem complex of BEL and KNOX partners is required for transcriptional repression of ga20ox1. Plant J. 2004;38(2):276–84.
- Chen K, Wang Y, Zhang R, Zhang H, Gao C. CRISPR/Cas genome editing and precision plant breeding in agriculture. Annu Rev Plant Biol. 2019;70:667–97.
- Chen K, Li GJ, Bressan RA, Song CP, Zhu JK, Zhao Y. Abscisic acid dynamics, signaling, and functions in plants. J Integr Plant Biol. 2020;62(1):25–54.
- Chen Y, Chen L, Sun X, Kou S, Liu T, Dong J, et al. The mitogen-activated protein kinase MKK2 positively regulates constitutive cold resistance in the potato. Environ Exp Bot. 2022;194:104702.
- Cheng L, Wang D, Wang Y, Xue H, Zhang F. An integrative overview of physiological and proteomic changes of cytokinin-induced potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.) tuber development in vitro. Physiol Plant. 2020;168(3):675–93.
- Cheng H, Concepcion GT, Feng X, Zhang H, Li H. Haplotype-resolved de novo assembly using phased assembly graphs with hifiasm. Nat Methods. 2021;18(2):170–5.
- Chi M, Bhagwat B, Lane WD, Tang G, Su Y, Sun R, et al. Reduced polyphenol oxidase gene expression and enzymatic browning in potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.) with artificial microRNAs. BMC Plant Biol. 2014;14:62.
- Chiab N, Kammoun M, Charfeddine S, Bouaziz D, Gouider M, Gargouri-Bouzid R. Impact of the overexpression of the *StDREB1* transcription factor on growth parameters, yields, and chemical composition of tubers from greenhouse and field grown potato plants. J Plant Res. 2021;134:249–59.
- Chincinska IA, Liesche J, Krügel U, Michalska J, Geigenberger P, Grimm B, et al. Sucrose transporter StSUT4 from potato affects flowering, tuberization, and shade avoidance response. Plant Physiol. 2008;146(2):515–28.
- Chourasia KN, Lal MK, Tiwari RK, Dev D, Kardile HB, Patil VU, et al. Salinity stress in potato: understanding physiological. Biochemical and Molecular Responses. Life (Basel). 2021;11:545.
- Clasen BM, Stoddard TJ, Luo S, Demorest ZL, Li J, Cedrone F, et al. Improving cold storage and processing traits in potato through targeted gene knockout. Plant Biotechnol J. 2016;14(1):169–76.
- Clot CR, Wang X, Koopman J, Navarro AT, Bucher J, Visser RG, Finkers R, van Eck HJ. High-density linkage map constructed from a skim sequenced diploid potato population reveals transmission distortion and QTLs for tuber yield and pollen shed. Potato Research. 2023;67:139–163.
- Cooke DE, Cano LM, Raffaele S, Bain RA, Cooke LR, Etherington GJ, et al. Genome analyses of an aggressive and invasive lineage of the Irish potato famine pathogen. PLoS Pathog. 2012;8(10):e1002940.
- Cuesta-Seijo JA, Nielsen MM, Ruzanski C, Krucewicz K, Beeren SR, Rydhal MG, et al. In vitro biochemical characterization of all barley endosperm starch synthases. Front Plant Sci. 2016;28(6):1265.
- Dagdas YF, Belhaj K, Maqbool A, Chaparro-Garcia A, Pandey P, Petre B, et al. An effector of the Irish potato famine pathogen antagonizes a host autophagy cargo receptor. ELife. 2016;5:e10856.

- Dako E, Jankowski CK, Gnimassou YM, Lebeau D. Study of inhibition of germination of potato by ethylene. Heliyon. 2021;7(2):e06175.
- Dale MFB, Bradshaw JE. Progress in improving processing attributes in potato. Trends Plant Sci. 2003;8(7):310–2.
- Datir SS, Regan S. Role of alkaline/neutral invertases in postharvest storage of potato. Postharvest Biol Technol. 2022;184:111779.
- Debast S, Nunes-Nesi A, Hajirezaei MR, et al. Altering trehalose-6-phosphate content in transgenic potato tubers affects tuber growth and alters responsiveness to hormones during sprouting. Plant Physiol. 2011;156(4):1754–71.
- Delatte T, Umhang M, Trevisan M, Eicke S, Thorneycroft D, Smith SM, et al. Evidence for distinct mechanisms of starch granule breakdown in plants. J Biol Chem. 2006;281:12050–9.
- Demirel U. Environmental requirements of potato and abiotic stress factors. In: Çalişkan ME, Bakhsh A, Jabran K, editors. Potato Production Worldwide. Cambridge: Academic Press; 2023. p. 71–86.
- Denyer K, Johnson P, Zeeman SC, Smith AM. The control of amylose synthesis. J Plant Physiol. 2001;158:479–87.
- Derevnina L, Dagdas YF, De la Concepcion JC, Bialas A, Kellner R, Petre B, et al. Nine things to know about elicitins. New Phytol. 2016;212(4):888–95.
- Destefano-Beltrán L, Knauber D, Huckle L, Suttle JC. Effects of postharvest storage and dormancy status on ABA content, metabolism, and expression of genes involved in ABA biosynthesis and metabolism in potato tuber tissues. Plant Mol Biol. 2006;61:687–97.
- Díaz P, Sarmiento F, Mathew B, Ballvora A, Mosquera Vásquez T. Genomic regions associated with physiological, biochemical and yield-related responses under water deficit in diploid potato at the tuber initiation stage revealed by GWAS. PLoS One. 2021;16(11):e0259690.
- Di Y, Yang H, Zhang H, Li F. Nitrogen management indicators for sustainable crop production in an intensive potato system under drip irrigation. J Environ Manage. 2024;361:121270.
- Domazakis E, Wouters D, Visser RGF, Kamoun S, Joosten MHAJ, Vleeshouwers VGAA. The ELR-SOBIR1 complex functions as a two–component receptor–like kinase to mount defense against *Phytophthora infestans*. Mol Plant Microbe Interact. 2018;31(8):795–802.
- Dong S, Zhou S. Potato late blight caused by *Phytophthora infestans*: from molecular interactions to integrated management strategies. J Integr Agri. 2022;21:3456–66.
- Dong B, Deng Y, Wang H, Gao R, Stephen GK, Chen S, et al. Gibberellic acid signaling is required to induce flowering of chrysanthemums grown under both short and long days. Int J Mol Sci. 2017;18(6):1259.
- Du J, Verzaux E, Chaparro-Garcia A, Bijsterbosch G, Keizer LC, Zhou J, et al. Elicitin recognition confers enhanced resistance to *Phytophthora infestans* in potato. Nat Plants. 2015a;1(4):15034.
- Du Y, Mpina MH, Birch PR, Bouwmeester K, Govers F. *Phytophthora infestans* RXLR effector AVR1 interacts with exocyst component sec5 to manipulate plant immunity. Plant Physiol. 2015b;169(3):1975–90.
- Duan Y, Duan S, Xu J, Zheng J, Hu J, Li X, et al. Late blight resistance evaluation and genome-wide assessment of genetic diversity in wild and cultivated potato species. Front Plant Sci. 2021;12:710468.
- Duan X, Yang J, Zhang F, Han Y, Gong Y, Liu M, et al. Comparative Study of small-RNA and degradome sequencing reveals role of novel stumiR8006 in regulating root development in *Solanum tuberosum* L. Agronomy. 2023;13(12):2942.
- Dung JKS, Johnson DA, Schroeder BK. Role of co-infection by Pectobacterium and Verticillium dahliae in the development of early dying and aerial stem rot of Russet Burbank potato. Plant Pathology. 2014;63(2):299–307.
- Dupuis JH, Liu Q. Potato starch: a review of physicochemical, functional and nutritional properties. Am J Potato Res. 2019;96:127–38.
- Eggers EJ, van der Burgt A, van Heusden SAW, de Vries ME, Visser RGF, Bachem CWB, et al. Neofunctionalisation of the *Sli* gene leads to self-compatibility and facilitates precision breeding in potato. Nat Commun. 2021;12(1):4141.
- Enciso-Rodriguez F, Manrique-Carpintero NC, Nadakuduti SS, Buell CR, Zarka D, Douches D. Overcoming self–incompatibility in diploid potato using CRISPR–Cas9. Front Plant Sci. 2019;10:376.

- Ewing EE, Struik PC. Tuber formation in potato: induction, initiation, and growth. Horticultural reviews. New Jersey: John Wiley & Sons; 1992. p. 89–198.
- Fajardo D, Haynes KG, Jansky S. Starch characteristics of modern andheirloom potato cultivars. Am J Potato Res. 2013;90:460–9.
- FAO Trade and Markets Division. 2008. International Year of the Potato: Economy. International Year of the Potato: FAO Factsheets. Available from: http://www.fao.org/potato-2008/en/potato/economy.html. Accessed 2014 Sept 21.
- Finkelstein RR, Lynch TJ. The Arabidopsis abscisic acid response gene ABI5 encodes a basic leucine zipper transcription factor. Plant Cell. 2000;12(4):599–609.
- Fornara F, de Montaigu A, Coupland G. SnapShot: control of flowering in *Arabidopsis*. Cell. 2010;141(3):550-550.e1-2.
- Forsyth A, Weeks T, Richael C, Duan H. Transcription Activator-Like Effector Nucleases (TALEN)-Mediated Targeted DNA Insertion in Potato Plants. Front Plant Sci. 2016;7:1572.
- Friedman M. Potato glycoalkaloids and metabolites: roles in the plant and in the diet. J Agric Food Chem. 2006;54(23):8655–81.
- Furrer AN, Chegeni M, Ferruzzi MG. Impact of potato processing on nutrients, phytochemicals, and human health. Crit Rev Food Sci Nutr. 2018;58:146–68.
- Galliard T. Lipids of potato tubers. 1. Lipid and fatty acid composition of tubers from different varieties of potato. J Sci Food Agric. 1973;24:617–22.
- Gao J, Cao X, Shi S, Ma Y, Wang K, Liu S, et al. Genome-wide survey of Aux/ IAA gene family members in potato (*Solanum tuberosum*): identification, expression analysis, and evaluation of their roles in tuber development. Biochem Biophys Res Commun. 2016;471(2):320–7.
- Garg V, Hackel A, Kühn C. Expression level of mature miR172 in wild type and *StSUT4*-silenced plants of *Solanum tuberosum* is sucrose– dependent. Int J Mol Sci. 2021;22(3):1455.
- Ghislain M, Byarugaba AA, Magembe E, Njoroge A, Rivera C, Román ML, et al. Stacking three late blight resistance genes from wild species directly into African highland potato varieties confers complete field resistance to local blight races. Plant Biotechnol J. 2019;17(6):1119–29.
- Ginzberg I, Tokuhisa JG, Veilleux RE. Potato steroidal glycoalkaloids: biosynthesis and genetic manipulation. Potato Res. 2009;52(1):1–15.
- Gong HL, Dusengemungu L, Igiraneza C, Rukundo P. Molecular regulation of potato tuber dormancy and sprouting: a mini-review. Plant Biotechnol Reports. 2021;15(4):417–34.
- Gong HL, Liu JB, Igiraneza C, Dusengemungu L. Sucrose transporter StSUT2 affects potato plants growth, flowering time, and tuber yield. Curr Issues Mol Biol. 2023;45(3):2629–43.
- González MN, Massa GA, Andersson M, Turesson H, Olsson N, Fält AS, et al. Reduced enzymatic browning in potato tubers by specific editing of a polyphenol oxidase gene via ribonucleoprotein complexes delivery of the crispr/cas9 system. Front Plant Sci. 2020;10:1649.
- González MN, Massa GA, Andersson M, Decima Oneto CA, Turesson H, Storani L, et al. Comparative potato genome editing: *Agrobacterium tumefaciens*-mediated transformation and protoplasts transfection delivery of CRISPR/Cas9 components directed to *StPPO2* gene. Plant Cell Tissue Organ Cult. 2021;145:291–305.
- González-Schain ND, Suarez-Lopez P. CONSTANS delays flowering and affects tuber yield in potato. Biol Plant. 2008;52(2):251–8.
- González-Schain ND, Díaz-Mendoza M, Zurczak M, Suárez-López P. Potato CONSTANS is involved in photoperiodic tuberization in a graft-transmissible manner. Plant J. 2012;70(4):678–90.
- Gouget A, Senchou V, Govers F, Sanson A, Barre A, Rougé P, et al. Lectin receptor kinases participate in protein-protein interactions to mediate plasma membrane-cell wall adhesions in *Arabidopsis*. Plant Physiol. 2006;140(1):81–90.
- Grandellis C, Fantino E, Muñiz García MN, Bialer MG, Santin F, Capiati DA, et al. StCDPK3 phosphorylates in vitro two transcription factors involved in GA and ABA signaling in potato: StRSG1 and StABF1. PLoS ONE. 2016;11(12):e0167389.
- Grech-Baran M, Witek K, Szajko K, Witek Al, Morgiewicz K, Wasilewicz-Flis I, et al. Extreme resistance to *Potato virus Y* in potato carrying the *Rysto* gene is mediated by a TIR-NLR immune receptor. Plant Biotechnol J. 2020;18(3):655–67.

Grech-Baran M, Witek K, Poznański JT, Grupa-Urbańska A, Malinowski T, Lichocka M, et al. The Rysto immune receptor recognises a broadly conserved feature of potyviral coat proteins. New Phytol. 2022;235(3):1179–95.

- Greiner S, Rausch T, Sonnewald U, Herbers K. Ectopic expression of a tobacco invertase inhibitor homolog prevents cold-induced sweetening of potato tubers. Nat Biotechnol. 1999;17(7):708–11.
- Guan Y, Hwarari D, Korboe HM, Ahmad B, Cao Y, Movahedi A, Yang L. Low temperature stress-induced perception and molecular signaling pathways in plants. Environ Exp Bot. 2023;207:105190.
- Guo JL, Yu CL, Fan CY, Lu QN, Yin JM, Zhang YF, et al. Cloning and characterization of a potato *TFL1* gene involved in tuberization regulation. Plant Cell Tissue Organ Cult. 2010;103(1):103–9.
- Guo Y, Ren G, Zhang K, Li Ž, Miao Y, Guo H. Leaf senescence: progression, regulation, and application. Mol Horticul. 2021;1:5.

Guo L, Qi Y, Mu Y, Zhou J, Lu W, Tian Z. Potato StLecRK-IV.1 negatively regulates late blight resistance by affecting the stability of a positive regulator StTET8. Hortic Res. 2022;9:uhac010.

Gust AA, Felix G. Receptor like proteins associate with SOBIR1-type of adaptors to form bimolecular receptor kinases. Curr Opin Plant Biol. 2014;21:104–11.

- Gutierrez Sanchez PA, Babujee L, Jaramillo Mesa H, Arcibal E, Gannon M, Halterman D, et al. Overexpression of a modified elF4E regulates potato virus Y resistance at the transcriptional level in potato. BMC Genomics. 2020;21(1):18.
- Haas BJ, Kamoun S, Zody MC, Jiang RH, Handsaker RE, Cano LM, et al. Genome sequence and analysis of the Irish potato famine pathogen *Phytophthora infestans*. Nature. 2009;461(7262):393–8.
- Haider MW, Nafees M, Ahmad I, Ali B, Maryam, Iqbal R, et al. Postharvest dormancy-related changes of endogenous hormones in relation to different dormancy-breaking methods of potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L) tubers. Front Plant Sci. 2022;13:945256.
- Haider MW, Ayyub CM, Malik AU, Ahmad R. Plant growth regulators and electric current break tuber dormancy by modulating antioxidant activities of potato. Pak J Agric Sci. 2019;56(4):867–877.
- Halterman D, Guenthner J, Collinge S, Butler N, Douches D. Biotech potatoes in the 21st century: 20 years since the first biotech potato. Am J Potato Res. 2016;93:1–20.
- Hameed A, Zaidi SS, Shakir S, Mansoor S. Applications of new breeding technologies for potato improvement. Front Plant Sci. 2018;9:925.
- Hancock RD, Morris WL, Ducreux LJ, Morris JA, Usman M, Verrall SR, et al. Physiological, biochemical and molecular responses of the potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.) plant to moderately elevated temperature. Plant Cell Environ. 2014;37(2):439–50.
- Handayani T, Gilani SA, Watanabe KN. Climatic changes and potatoes: How can we cope with the abiotic stresses? Breed Sci. 2019;69(4):545–63.

Hannapel DJ, Sharma P, Lin T, et al. The multiple signals that control Tuber formation. Plant Physiology. 2017;174(2):845–56.

Hardigan MA, Laimbeer FPE, Newton L, Crisovan E, Hamilton JP, Vaillancourt B, et al. Genome diversity of tuber-bearing *Solanum* uncovers complex evolutionary history and targets of domestication in the cultivated potato. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2017;114(46):E9999–10008.

Hartmann A, Senning M, Hedden P, Sonnewald U, Sonnewald S. Reactivation of meristem activity and sprout growth in potato tubers require both cytokinin and gibberellin. Plant Physiol. 2011;155(2):776–96.

He Q, McLellan H, Hughes RK, Boevink PC, Armstrong MR, Lu Y, et al. *Phytophthora infestans* effector SFI3 targets potato UBK to suppress early immune transcriptional responses. New Phytol. 2019;222:438–54.

He Q, McLellan H, Boevink PC, Birch PRJ. All roads lead to susceptibility: The many modes of action of fungal and oomycete intracellular effectors. Plant Commun. 2020;1:100050.

He Q, Naqvi S, McLellan H, et al. Plant pathogen effector utilizes host susceptibility factor NRL1 to degrade the immune regulator SWAP70. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences. 2018;115(33):E7834–43.

He W, Chen S, Ling D, Gan L, Xu ZG. Effects of red and blue light on potato leaf senescence and tuber-seed yield. Russ J Plant Physiol. 2022;69(6):118.

Hedden P, Thomas SG. Gibberellin biosynthesis and its regulation. Biochem J. 2012;444(1):11–25.

Hegde N, Doddamani D, Kushalappa AC. Identification and functional characterisation of late blight resistance polymorphic genes in Russet Burbank potato cultivar. Funct Plant Biol. 2020;48(1):88–102.

- Hegde N, Joshi S, Soni N, Kushalappa AC. The caffeoyl-CoA O-methyltransferase gene SNP replacement in Russet Burbank potato variety enhances late blight resistance through cell wall reinforcement. Plant Cell Rep. 2021;40(1):237–54.
- Hejazi M, Fettke J, Kötting O, Zeeman SC, Steup M. The Laforin-like dualspecificity phosphatase SEX4 from *Arabidopsis* hydrolyzes both C6- and C3-phosphate esters introduced by starch-related dikinases and thereby affects phase transition of alpha–glucans. Plant Physiol. 2010;152:711–22.
- Hijmans R. The effect of climate change on global potato production. Am J Pot Res. 2003;80(4):271–9.
- Hoopes G, Meng X, Hamilton JP, Achakkagari SR, de Alves Freitas Guesdes F, Bolger ME, et al. Phased, chromosome-scale genome assemblies of tetraploid potato reveal a complex genome, transcriptome, and predicted proteome landscape underpinning genetic diversity. Mol Plant. 2022;15(3):520–36.
- Hou J, Zhang H, Liu J, Reid S, Liu T, Xu S, et al. Amylases StAmy23, StBAM1 and StBAM9 regulate cold-induced sweetening of potato tubers in distinct ways. J Exp Bot. 2017;68(9):2317–31.
- Hu J, Mei M, Jin F, Xu J, Duan S, Bian C, et al. Phenotypic variability and genetic diversity analysis of cultivated potatoes in China. Front Plant Sci. 2022;13:954162.
- Hu Y, Xia S, Su Y, et al. Brassinolide Increases Potato Root Growth In Vitro in a Dose-Dependent Way and Alleviates Salinity Stress. BioMed Research International. 2016;2016:e8231873.

Hui Z, Xu J, Jian Y, Bian C, Duan S, Hu J, et al. Identification of long–distance transport signal molecules associated with plant maturity in tetraploid cultivated potatoes (*Solanum tuberosum* L.). Plants (Basel). 2022;11(13):1707.

- Itkin M, Heinig U, Tzfadia O, Bhide AJ, Shinde B, Cárdenas PD, et al. Biosynthesis of antinutritional alkaloids in solanaceous crops is mediated by clustered genes. Science. 2013;341(6142):175–9.
- Iwama K. Physiology of the potato: new insights into root system and repercussions for crop management. Potato Res. 2008;51:333–53.
- Jackson SD, James PE, Carrera E, Prat S, Thomas B. Regulation of transcript levels of a potato gibberellin 20–oxidase gene by light and phytochrome B. Plant Physiol. 2000;124(1):423–30.
- Jaiswal S, Paul K, Raman KV, Tyagi S, Saakre M, Tilgam J, et al. Amelioration of cold-induced sweetening in potato by RNAi mediated silencing of StUGPase encoding UDP-glucose pyrophosphorylase. Front Plant Sci. 2023;14:1133029.
- Jansky SH, Charkowski AO, Douches DS, Gusmini G, Richael C, Bethke PC, et al. Reinventing potato as a diploid inbred line–based crop. Crop Sci. 2016;56(4):1412–22.
- Jia XX, Li YT, Qi EF, Ma S, Hu XY, Wen GH, et al. Overexpression of the *Arabidopsis DREB1A* gene enhances potato drought–resistance. Russ J Plant Physiol. 2016;63:523–31.

Jiang RH, Tyler BM, Whisson SC, Hardham AR, Govers F. Ancient origin of elicitin gene clusters in *Phytophthora* genomes. Mol Biol Evol. 2006;23(2):338–51.

- Jiang R, He Q, Song J, Liu Z, Yu J, Hu K, et al. A *Phytophthora infestans* RXLR effector AVR8 suppresses plant immunity by targeting a desumoylating isopeptidase DeSl2. Plant J. 2023;115(2):398–413.
- Jing S, Sun X, Yu L, Wang E, Cheng Z, Liu H, et al. Transcription factor StABI5like 1 binding to the FLOWERING LOCUS T homologs promotes early maturity in potato. Plant Physiol. 2022;189(3):1677–93.
- Johansen IE, Liu Y, Jørgensen B, Bennett EP, Andreasson E, Nielsen KL, et al. High efficacy full allelic CRISPR/Cas9 gene editing in tetraploid potato. Sci Rep. 2019;9(1):17715.

Jones JDG, Dangl JL. The plant immune system. Nature. 2006;444:323–9. Joshi M, Ginzberg I. Adventitious root formation in crops-potato as an

- example. Physiol Plant. 2021;172(1):124–33. Joshi M, Fogelman E, Belausov E, Ginzberg I. Potato root system development and factors that determine its architecture. J Plant Physiol. 2016;205:113–23.
- Kacheyo OC, van Dijk LCM, de Vries ME, Struik P. Augmented descriptions of growth and development stages of potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.) grown from different types of planting material. Annals Applied Bio. 2021;178(3):549–66.
- Kaiser NR, Coombs JJ, Felcher KJ, Hammerschmidt R, Zuehlke ML, Buell CR, et al. Genome-wide association analysis of common scab resistance

and expression profiling of tubers in response to thaxtomin a treatment underscore the complexity of common scab resistance in tetraploid potato. Am J Potato Res. 2020;97:513–22.

- Kamoun S. A catalogue of the effector secretome of plant pathogenic oomycetes. Annu Rev Phytopathol. 2006;44:41–60.
- Kamoun S, Furzer O, Jones JD, Judelson HS, Ali GS, Dalio RJ, et al. The Top 10 oomycete pathogens in molecular plant pathology. Mol Plant Pathol. 2015;16(4):413–34.
- Keenan MJ, Zhou J, Hegsted M, Pelkman C, Durham HA, Coulon DB, et al. Role of resistant starch in improving gut health, adiposity, and insulin resistance. Adv Nutr. 2015;6(2):198–205.
- Keller R, Renz FS, Kossmann J. Antisense inhibition of the GDP-mannose pyrophosphorylase reduces the ascorbate content in transgenic plants leading to developmental changes during senescence. Plant J. 1999;19(2):131–41.
- Kiełbowicz-Matuk A, Grądzka K, Biegańska M, Talar U, Czarnecka J, Rorat T. The StBBX24 protein affects the floral induction and mediates salt tolerance in *Solanum tuberosum*. Front Plant Sci. 2022;13:965098.
- Kieu NP, Lenman M, Wang ES, Petersen BL, Andreasson E. Mutations introduced in susceptibility genes through CRISPR/Cas9 genome editing confer increased late blight resistance in potatoes. Sci Rep. 2021;11(1):4487.
- King SRF, McLellan H, Boevink PC, et al. Phytophthora infestans RXLR effector PexRD2 interacts with host MAPKKK ε to suppress plant immune signaling. Plant Cell. 2014;26(3):1345–59.
- Kinoshita T, Ono N, Hayashi Y, Morimoto S, Nakamura S, Soda M, et al. FLOWERING LOCUS T regulates stomatal opening. Curr Biol. 2011;21(14):1232–8.
- Kim JI, Baek D, Park HC, Chun HJ, Oh DH, Lee MK, et al. Overexpression of *Arabidopsis YUCCA6* in potato results in high-auxin developmental phenotypes and enhanced resistance to water deficit. Mol Plant. 2013;6(2):337–49.
- Klaassen MT, Willemsen JH, Vos PG, Visser RGF, van Eck HJ, Maliepaard C, et al. Genome-wide association analysis in tetraploid potato reveals four QTLs for protein content. Mol Breed. 2019;39:151.
- Kloosterman B, Abelenda JA, Gomez Mdel M, Oortwijn M, de Boer JM, Kowitwanich K, et al. Naturally occurring allele diversity allows potato cultivation in northern latitudes. Nature. 2013;495(7440):246–50.
- Kloosterman B, De Koeyer D, Griffiths R, Flinn B, Steuernagel B, Scholz U, Sonnewald S, SonnewaldU, Bryan GJ, Prat S, Banfalvi Z, Hammond JP, Geigenberger P, Nielsen KL, Visser RGF, BachemCWB. Genes driving potato tuber initiation and growth: identification based ontranscriptional changes using the POCI array. Functional & Integrative Genomics. 2008;8:329–40.
- Kloosterman B, Navarro C, Bijsterbosch G, Lange T, Prat S, Visser RG, et al. StGA20x1 is induced prior to stolon swelling and controls GA levels during potato tuber development. Plant J. 2007;52(2):362–73.
- Kloosterman B, Visser RG, Bachem CW. Isolation and characterization of a novel potato Auxin/Indole-3-Acetic Acid family member (StIAA2) that is involved in petiole hyponasty and shoot morphogenesis. Plant Physiol Biochem. 2006;44(11–12):766–75.
- Koch M, Winkelmann MK, Hasler M, Pawelzik E, Naumann M. Root growth in light of changing magnesium distribution and transport between source and sink tissues in potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.). Sci Report. 2020;10(1):8796.
- Koda Y, Okazawa Y. Characteristic changes in the levels of endogenous plant hormones in relation to the onset of potato tuberization. Japanese J Crop Sci. 1983;52:592–7.
- Koda Y, Kikuta Y, Tazaki H, Tsujino Y, Sakamura S, Yoshihara T. Potato tuberinducing activities of jasmonic acid and related compounds. Phytochemistry. 1991;30(5):1435–8.
- Kolachevskaya OO, Alekseeva VV, Sergeeva LI, Rukavtsova EB, Getman IA, Vreugdenhil D, et al. Expression of auxin synthesis gene *tms1* under control of tuber-specific promoter enhances potato tuberization in vitro. J Integr Plant Biol. 2015;57(9):734–44.
- Kolachevskaya OO, Sergeeva LI, Floková K, Getman IA, Lomin SN, Alekseeva VV, et al. Auxin synthesis gene tms1 driven by tuber-specific promoter alters hormonal status of transgenic potato plants and their responses to exogenous phytohormones. Plant Cell Rep. 2017;36(3):419–35.
- Kolasa KM. The potato and human nutrition. Am Potato J. 1993;70:375-84.

Komiya R, Ikegami A, Tamaki S, Yokoi S, Shimamoto K. Hd3a and RFT1 are essential for flowering in rice. Development. 2008;135(4):767–74.

- Kou S, Chen L, Tu W, Scossa F, Wang Y, Liu J, et al. The arginine decarboxylase gene ADC1, associated to the putrescine pathway, plays an important role in potato cold-acclimated freezing tolerance as revealed by transcriptome and metabolome analyses. Plant J. 2018;96(6):1283–98.
- Kreuze JF, Souza–Dias JAC, Jeevalatha A, Figueira AR, Valkonen JPT, Jones RAC. Viral diseases in potato. In: Campos H, Ortiz O, editors. The potato crop: its agricultural, nutritional and social contribution to humankind. Cham: Springer International Publishing; 2020. p. 389–430.
- Kubo K, Entani T, Takara A, Wang N, Fields AM, Hua Z, et al. Collaborative nonself recognition system in S-RNase-based self-incompatibility. Science. 2010;330(6005):796–9.
- Kühn C, Franceschi VR, Schulz A, Lemoine R, Frommer WB. Macromolecular Trafficking Indicated by Localization and Turnover of Sucrose Transporters in Enucleate Sieve Elements. Science. 1997;275(5304):1298–1300.
- Kumar R, Agarwal P, Pareek A, Tyagi AK, Sharma AK. Genomic survey, gene expression, and interaction analysis suggest diverse roles of ARF and Aux/IAA proteins in *Solanaceae*. Plant Mol Biol Rep. 2015;33:1552–72.
- Kumlay AM. Combination of the auxins NAA, IBA, and IAA with GA3 improves the commercial seed-tuber production of potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.) under in vitro conditions. Biomed Res Int. 2014;2014:439259.
- Kusano H, Ohnuma M, Mutsuro-Aoki H, Asahi T, Ichinosawa D, Onodera H, et al. Establishment of a modified CRISPR/Cas9 system with increased mutagenesis frequency using the translational enhancer dMac3 and multiple guide RNAs in potato. Sci Reports. 2018;8(1):13753.
- Kusano H, Onodera H, Kihira M, Aoki H, Matsuzaki H, Shimada H. A simple Gateway-assisted construction system of TALEN genes for plant genome editing. Sci Rep. 2016;6:30234.
- Kyriakidou M, Anglin NL, Ellis D, Tai HH, Strömvik MV. Genome assembly of six polyploid potato genomes. Sci Data. 2020;7(1):88.
- Lafta AM, Lorenzen JH. Effect of high temperature on plant growth and carbohydrate metabolism in potato. Plant Physiol. 1995;109(2):637–43.
- Larsson AS, Landberg K, Meeks-Wagner DR. The *TERMINAL FLOWER2 (TFL2)* gene controls the reproductive transition and meristem identity in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Genetics. 1998;149(2):597–605.
- Lawson EC, Weiss JD, Thomas PE, Kaniewski WK. NewLeaf Plus® Russet Burbank potatoes: replicase-mediated resistance to potato leafroll virus. Mol Breed. 2001;71(7):1–12.
- Lee S, Enciso-Rodriguez FE, Behling W, Jayakody T, Panicucci K, Zarka D, et al. HT-B and S-RNase CRISPR-Cas9 double knockouts show enhanced selffertility in diploid Solanum tuberosum. Front Plant Sci. 2023;14:1151347.
- Lehretz GG, Sonnewald S, Hornyik C, Corral JM, Sonnewald U. Post-transcriptional regulation of FLOWERING LOCUS T modulates heat–dependent source–sink development in potato. Curr Biol. 2019;29(10):1614–1624. e3.
- Leisner CP, Hamilton JP, Crisovan E, Manrique-Carpintero NC, Marand AP, Newton L, et al. Genome sequence of M6, a diploid inbred clone of the high-glycoalkaloid-producing tuber-bearing potato species *Solanum chacoense*, reveals residual heterozygosity. Plant J. 2018;94(3):562–70.
- Li J, Wang Y, Yu B, Song Q, Liu Y, Chen THH, et al. Ectopic expression of *StCBF1* and *ScCBF1* have different functions in response to freezing and drought stresses in *Arabidopsis*. Plant Sci. 2018;270:221–33.
- Li X, Guo C, Ahmad S, Wang Q, Yu J, Liu C, Guo Y. Systematic analysis of MYB family genes in potato and their multiple roles in development and stress responses. Biomolecules. 2019;9(8):317.
- Li J, Liu SQ, Qiu SJ, Zhao SC, Xu XP, Guo TF, et al. Effects of different nitrogen rates on potato yield and nitrogen use efficiency in a typical black soil (in Chinese). J Plant Nutr Fertil. 2020;26:850–7.
- Li S, Zhang N, Zhu X, Ma R, Liu S, Wang X, et al. Genome–wide analysis of *NF-Y* genes in potato and functional identification of StNF-YC9 in drought tolerance. Front Plant Sci. 2021;12:749688.
- Liao D, Wang S, Cui M, Liu J, Chen A, Xu G. Phytohormones regulate the development of arbuscular mycorrhizal symbiosis. Int J Mol Sci. 2018;19(10):3146.
- Lim PO, Kim HJ, Nam HG. Leaf senescence. Annu Rev Plant Biol. 2007;58:115–36.
- Lindhout P, Meijer D, Schotte T, Hutten RCB, Visser RGF, van Eck HJ. Towards F1 hybrid seed potato breeding. Potato Res. 2011;54:301–12.
- Liu F, Jensen CR, Shahanzari A, Andersen MN, Jacobsen SE. ABA regulated stomatal control and photosynthetic water use efficiency of potato

(Solanum tuberosum L.) during progressive soil drying. Plant Sci. 2005;168(3):831–6.

- Liu B, Zhang N, Wen Y, Si H, Wang D. Identification of differentially expressed genes in potato associated with tuber dormancy release. Mol Biol Rep. 2012;39(12):11277–87.
- Liu Q, Guo Q, Akbar S, Zhi Y, El Tahchy A, Mitchell M, et al. Genetic enhancement of oil content in potato tuber (*Solanum tuberosum* L.) through an integrated metabolic engineering strategy. Plant Biotechnol J. 2017;15:56–67.
- Liu N, Zhao R, Qiao L, Zhang Y, Li M, Sun H, et al. Growth stages classification of potato crop based on analysis of spectral response and variables optimization. Sensors. 2020;20(14):3995.
- Liu J, Zhang L, Yan D. Plasmodesmata-involved battle against pathogens and potential strategies for strengthening hosts. Front Plant Sci. 2021;12:644870.
- Liu T, Kawochar MA, Begum S, Wang E, Zhou T, Jing S, et al. Potato tonoplast sugar transporter 1 controls tuber sugar accumulation during postharvest cold storage. Horti Res. 2023a;10(4):uhad035.
- Liu T, Kawochar MA, Liu S, Cheng Y, Begum S, Wang E, et al. Suppression of the tonoplast sugar transporter, StTST3.1, affects transitory starch turnover and plant growth in potato. Plant J. 2023b;113(2):342–56.
- Liu S, Cai C, Li L, Yu L, Wang Q, Wang X. Transcriptome analysis reveals the molecular mechanisms of BR negative regulatory factor StBIN2 maintaining tuber dormancy. Int J Mol Sci. 2024;25(4):2244.
- Liu T, Zhou T, Lian M, et al. Genome-Wide Identification and Characterization of the AREB/ABF/ABI5 Subfamily Members from Solanum tuberosum. Int J Mol Sci. 2019;20(2):311.
- Lloyd JR, Kossmann J. Transitory and storage starch metabolism: two sides of the same coin? Curr Opin Biotechnol. 2015;32:143–8.
- Lomin SN, Myakushina YA, Kolachevskaya OO, Getman IA, Arkhipov DV, Savelieva EM, et al. Cytokinin perception in potato: new features of canonical players. J Exp Bot. 2018;69(16):3839–53.
- Lorberth R, Ritte G, Willmitzer L, Kossmann J. Inhibition of a starch-granulebound protein leads to modified starch and repression of cold sweetening. Nat Biotechnol. 1998;16:473–7.
- Lucas WJ, Lee JY. Plasmodesmata as a supracellular control network in plants. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol. 2004;5:712–26.
- Lucioli A, Tavazza R, Baima S, Fatyol K, Burgyan J, Tavazza M. CRISPR-Cas9 targeting of the *elF4E1* gene extends the *Potato Virus Y* resistance spectrum of the *Solanum tuberosum* L. cv. Desirée. Front Microbiol. 2022;13:873930.
- Ly DNP, Iqbal S, Fosu-Nyarko J, Milroy S, Jones MGK. Multiplex CRISPR-Cas9 gene-editing can deliver potato cultivars with reduced browning and acrylamide. Plants. 2023;12(2):379.
- Ma J, Xiang H, Donnelly DJ, Meng FR, Xu H, Durnford D, et al. Genome editing in potato plants by agrobacterium-mediated transient expression of transcription activator-like effector nucleases. Plant Biotechnol Rep. 2017;11:249–258.
- Ma L, Zhang C, Zhang B, Tang F, Lia F, Liao Q, et al. A nonS-locus F-box gene breaks self-incompatibility in diploid potatoes. Nat Commun. 2021a;12(1):4142.
- Ma R, Liu W, Li S, Zhu X, Yang J, Zhang N, Si H. Genome-Wide identification, characterization and expression analysis of the *CIPK* gene family in potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.) and the role of *StCIPK10* in response to drought and osmotic stress. Int J Mol Sci. 2021b;22(24):13535.
- Ma Z, Ma L, Zhou J. Applications of CRISPR/Cas genome editing in economically important fruit crops: recent advances and future directions. Mol Horticul. 2023;3:1.
- Macháčková I, Konstantinova TN, Sergeeva LI, Lozhnikova VN, Golyanovskaya SA, Dudko ND, et al. Photoperiodic control of growth, development and phytohormone balance in *Solanum tuberosum*. Physiol Plant. 1998;102(2):272–8.
- Majeed Y, Zhu X, Zhang N, Rasheed A, Tahir MM, Si H. Functional analysis of mitogen-activated protein kinases (MAPKs) in potato under biotic and abiotic stress. Mol Breed. 2022;42(6):31.
- Malkawi A, Jensen BL, Langille AR. Plant hormones isolated from "Katahdin" potato plant tissues and the influence of photoperiod and temperature on their levels in relation to tuber induction. J Plant Growth Regul. 2007;26:308–17.

- Mariana R F, Jordi B, Salomé P. Seasonal control of tuberization in potato: conserved elements with the flowering response. Annual Review of Plant Biology. 2006;57(1):151–180.
- Markel K, Shin PM. From breeding to genome design: a genomic makeover for potatoes. Cell. 2021;184(15):3843–5.
- Martin A, Adam H, Díaz-Mendoza M, Zurczak M, Gonzalez-Schain ND, Suarez-Lopez P. Graft-transmissible induction of potato tuberization by the microRNA *miR172*. Development. 2009;136(17):2873–81.
- Martinoia E. Vacuolar transporters-companions on a longtime journey. Plant Physiol. 2018;176(2):1384–407.
- Mascher M, Jayakodi M, Stein N. The reinvention of potato. Cell Res. 2021;31(11):1144–5.
- McGrady JJ, Struik PC, Ewing EE. Effects of exogenous applications of cytokinin on the development of potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.) cuttings. Potato Res. 1986;29(2):191–205.
- Mei G, Chen A, Wang Y, Li S, Wu M, Hu Y, et al. A simple and efficient in planta transformation method based on the active regeneration capacity of plants. Plant Commun. 2024;18:100822.
- Menzel CM. Tuberization in potato at high temperatures: gibberellin content and transport from buds. Annals Bot. 1983;52(5):697–702.
- Milroy SP, Wang P, Sadras VO. Defifining upper limits of nitrogen uptake and nitrogen use effificiency of potato in response to crop N supply. Field Crops Res. 2019;239:38–46.
- Moehninsi, Lange I, Lange BM, Navarre DA. Altering potato isoprenoid metabolism increases biomass and induces early flowering. J Exp Bot. 2020;71(14):4109–24.
- Møller MS, Henriksen A, Svensson B. Structure and function of α-glucan debranching enzymes. Cell Mol Life Sci. 2016;73:2619–41.
- Monino-Lopez D, Nijenhuis M, Kodde L, Kamoun S, Salehian H, Schentsnyi K, et al. Allelic variants of the NLR protein Rpi-chc1 differentially recognize members of the *Phytophthora infestans* PexRD12/31 effector superfamily through the leucine-rich repeat domain. Plant J. 2021;107(1):182–97.
- Monneveux P, Ramírez DA, Pino MT. Drought tolerance in potato (*S. tuberosum* L.): can we learn from drought tolerance research in cereals? Plant Sci. 2013;205–206:76–86.
- Moon KM, Kwon EB, Lee B, Kim CY. Recent trends in controlling the enzymatic browning of fruit and vegetable products. Molecules. 2020;25(12):2754.
- Morris WL, Ducreux LJM, Morris J, Campbell R, Usman M, Hedley PE, et al. Identification of TIMING OF CAB EXPRESSION 1 as a temperaturesensitive negative regulator of tuberization in potato. J Exp Bot. 2019;70(20):5703–14.
- Mottram DS, Wedzicha BL, Dodson AT. Acrylamide is formed in the Maillard reaction. Nature. 2002;419(6906):448–9.
- Muñiz García MN, Cortelezzi JI, Capiati DA. The protein phosphatase 2A catalytic subunit StPP2Ac2b is involved in the control of potato tuber sprouting and source-sink balance in tubers and sprouts. J Exp Bot. 2022;73(19):6784–99.
- Mouzo D, Rodríguez-Vázquez R, Bqarrio C, García L, Zapata C. Comparative proteomics of potato cultivars with a variable dormancy period. Molecules. 2022;27(19):6621.
- Muthoni J, Kabira J, Shimelis H, Melis R. Regulation of potato tuber dormancy: a review. Australian J Crop Sci. 2014;8(5):754–9.
- Na JK, Kim JK, Kim DY, Assmann SM. Expression of potato RNA-binding proteins StUBA2a/b and StUBA2c induces hypersensitive-like cell death and early leaf senescence in *Arabidopsis*. J Exp Bot. 2015;66(13):4023–33.
- Na JK, Kim KH, Seong ES, Kim BG, Cho KS, Cho JH, et al. Overexpression of nuclear factor Y subunit StNF-YA7 enhances drought tolerance in potato. Hortic Environ Biotechnol. 2017;58:170–7.
- Nadakuduti SS, Buell CR, Voytas DF, Starker CG, Douches DS. Genome editing for crop improvement – applications in clonally propagated polyploids with a focus on potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.). Front Plant Sci. 2018;871:1607.
- Naeem M, Demirel U, Yousaf MF, Caliskan S, Caliskan ME. Overview on domestication, breeding, genetic gain and improvement of tuber quality traits of potato using fast forwarding technique (GWAS): A review. Plant Breed. 2021;140(4):519–42.
- Nakayasu M, Akiyama R, Lee HJ, Osakabe K, Osakabe Y, Watanabe B, et al. Generation of α-solanine-free hairy roots of potato by CRISPR/Cas9 mediated genome editing of the *St16DOX* gene. Plant Physiol Biochem. 2018;131:70–7.

- Navarre DA, Payyavula RS, Shakya R, Knowles NR, Pillai SS. Changes in potato phenylpropanoid metabolism during tuber development. Plant Physiol Biochem. 2013;65:89–101.
- Navarro C, Abelenda JA, Cruz-Oró E, Cuéllar CA, Tamaki S, Silva J, et al. Control of flowering and storage organ formation in potato by FLOWERING LOCUS T. Nature. 2011;478(7367):119–22.
- Nicolia A, Proux-Wéra E, Åhman I, Onkokesung N, Andersson M, Andreasson E, et al. Targeted gene mutation in tetraploid potato through transient TALEN expression in protoplasts. J Biotechnol. 2015;204:17–24.
- Niittylä T, Messerli G, Trevisan M, Chen J, Smith AM, Zeeman SC. A previously unknown maltose transporter essential for starch degradation in leaves. Science. 2004;303(5654):87–9.
- Nurmanov YT, Chernenok VG, Kuzdanova RS. Potato in response to nitrogen nutrition regime and nitrogen fertilization. Field Crops Res. 2019;231:115–21.
- Obidiegwu JE, Bryan GJ, Jones HG, Prashar A. Coping with drought: stress and adaptive responses in potato and perspectives for improvement. Front Plant Sci. 2015;6:542.
- Ooms G, Bossen ME, Burrell MM, Karp A. Genetic manipulation in potato with *Agrobacterium rhizogenes*. Potato Res. 1986;29:367–79.

Orzechowski S. Starch metabolism in leaves. Acta Biochim Pol. 2008;55:435-45.

- Otani M, Hamada T, Katayama K, Kitahara K, Kim SH, Takahata Y, et al. Inhibition of the gene expression for granule-bound starch synthase I by RNA interference in sweet potato plants. Plant Cell Rep. 2007;26(10):1801–7.
- Özgen M, Park S, Palta JP. Mitigation of ethylene-promoted leaf senescence by a natural lipid, lysophosphatidylethanolamine. HortScience. 2005;40(5):1166–7.
- Pandey J, Scheuring DC, Koym JW, Coombs J, Novy RG, Thompson AL, et al. Genetic diversity and population structure of advanced clones selected over forty years by a potato breeding program in the USA. Sci Report. 2021;11(1):8344.
- Pandey J, Scheuring DC, Koym JW, Vales MI. Genomic regions associated with tuber traits in tetraploid potatoes and identification of superior clones for breeding purposes. Front Plant Sci. 2022;13:952263.
- Pandey J, Gautam S, Scheuring DC, Koym JW, Vales MI. Variation and genetic basis of mineral content in potato tubers and prospects for genomic selection. Front Plant Sci. 2023a;14:1301297.
- Pandey J, Thompson D, Joshi M, Scheuring DC, Koym JW, Joshi V, Vales MI. Genetic architecture of tuber-bound free amino acids in potato and effect of growing environment on the amino acid content. Sci Report. 2023b;13(1):13940.
- Park JS, Park SJ, Kwon SY, Shin AY, Moon KB, Park JM, et al. Temporally distinct regulatory pathways coordinate thermos-responsive storage organ formation in potato. Cell Rep. 2022;38(13):110579.
- Pasare SA, Ducreux LJM, Morris WL, Campbell R, Sharma SK, Roumeliotis E, et al. The role of the potato (*Solanum tuberosum*) *CCD8* gene in stolon and tuber development. New Phytol. 2013;198(4):1108–20.
- Patron NJ, Keeling PJ. Common evolutionary origin of starch biosynthetic enzymes in green and red algae. J Phycol. 2005;41:1131–41.
- Pedreschi F, Moyano P, Kaack K, Granby K. Color changes and acrylamide formation in fried potato slices. Food Res Int. 2005;38(1):1–9.
- Pelacho AM, Mingo-Castel AM. Jasmonic acid induces tuberization of potato stolons cultured in vitro. Plant Physiol. 1991;97(3):1253–5.
- Perraki A, Binaghi M, Mecchia MA, Gronnier J, German-Retana S, Mongrand S, et al. StRemorin1.3 hampers *Potato virus X* TGBp1 ability to increase plasmodesmata permeability, but does not interfere with its silencing suppressor activity. FEBS Lett. 2014;588(9):1699–705.
- Perraki A, Gronnier J, Gouguet P, Boudsocq M, Deroubaix AF, Simon V, et al. REM1.3's phosphor-status defines its plasma membrane nanodomain organization and activity in restricting PVX cell-to-cell movement. PLoS Pathog. 2018;14(11):e1007378.
- Perroud PF, Guyon-Debast A, Veillet F, Kermarrec MP, Chauvin L, Chauvin JE, et al. Prime Editing in the model plant Physcomitrium patens and its potential in the tetraploid potato. Plant Sci. 2022;316:111162.
- Petre B, Contreras MP, Bozkurt TO, Schattat MH, Sklenar J, Schornack S, et al. Host-interactor screens of *Phytophthora infestans* RXLR proteins reveal vesicle trafficking as a major effector-targeted process. Plant Cell. 2021;33(5):1447–71.

- Pfister B, Zeeman SC. Formation of starch in plant cells. Cell Mol Life Sci. 2016;73:2781–807.
- Pieczynski M, Wyrzykowska A, Milanowska K, Boguszewska-Mankowska D, Zagdanska B, Karlowski W, et al. Genomewide identification of genes involved in the potato response to drought indicates functional evolutionary conservation with *Arabidopsis* plants. Plant Biotechnol J. 2018;16(2):603–14.
- Pilling E, Smith AM. Growth ring formation in the starch granules of potato tubers. Plant Physiol. 2003;132:365–71.
- Pino LE, Lima JE, Vicente MH, de Sá AFL, Pérez-Alfocea F, Albacete A, et al. Increased branching independent of strigolactone in *cytokinin oxidase* 2-overexpressing tomato is mediated by reduced auxin transport. Mol Horticul. 2022;2:12.
- Plantenga FDM, Bergonzi S, Bachem CWB, Visser RGF, Heuvelink E, Marcelis LFM. High light accelerates potato flowering independently of the FTlike flowering signal StSP3D. Environ Exp Bot. 2019;160:35–44.
- Pnueli L, Gutfinger T, Hareven D, Ben-Naim O, Ron N, Adir N, Lifschitz E. Tomato SP-interacting proteins define a conserved signaling system that regulates shoot architecture and flowering. Plant Cell. 2001;13(12):2687–702.
- Potato Genome Sequencing Consortium, Xu X, Pan S, Cheng S, Zhang B, Mu D, et al. Genome sequence and analysis of the tuber crop potato. Nature. 2011;475(7355):189–95.
- Prescha A, Swiedrych A, Biernat J, Szopa J. Increase in lipid content in potato tubers modified by 14-3-3 gene overexpression. J Agri Food Chemistry. 2001;49:3638–43.
- Pruski K, Astatkie T, Nowak J. Jasmonate effects on in vitro tuberization and tuber bulking in two potato cultivars (*Solanum tuberosum* L.) under different media and photoperiod conditions. In Vitro Cel Develop Bio-Plant. 2002;38(2):203–9.
- Qiao H, Wang F, Zhao L, Zhou J, Lai Z, Zhang Y, et al. The F-box protein AhSLF-S2 controls the pollen function of S-RNase-based self-incompatibility. Plant Cell. 2004a;16(9):2307–22.
- Qiao H, Wang H, Zhao L, Zhou J, Huang J, Zhang Y, Xue Y. The F-box protein AhSLF-S2 physically interacts with S-RNases that may be inhibited by the ubiquitin/26S proteasome pathway of protein degradation during compatible pollination in *Antirrhinum*. Plant Cell. 2004b;16(3):582–95.
- Quenouille J, Vassilakos N, Moury B. *Potato virus Y*: a major crop pathogen that has provided major insights into the evolution of viral pathogenicity. Mol Plant Pathol. 2013;14(5):439–52.
- Raffaele S, Bayer E, Lafarge D, Cluzet S, German Retana S, Boubekeur T, et al. Remorin, a solanaceae protein resident in membrane rafts and plasmodesmata, impairs *Potato virus X* movement. Plant Cell. 2009;21:1541–55.
- Railton ID, Wareing PF. Effects of daylength on endogenous gibberellins in leaves of *Solanum andigena*: I. changes in levels of free acidic gibberellin-like substances. Physiol Plant. 1973;28(1):88–94.
- Ramadan MF, Oraby HF. Fatty acids and bioactive lipids of potato cultivars: an overview. J Oleo Science. 2016;65:459–70.
- Raspor M, Motyka V, Ninković S, Yu L, Wang Q, Wang X. Endogenous levels of cytokinins, indole-3-acetic acid and abscisic acid in in vitro grown potato: a contribution to potato hormonomics. Sci Rep. 2020a;10(1):3437.
- Raspor M, Motyka V, Ninković S, Malbeck J, Dobrev PI, Zdravković-Korać S, et al. Overexpressing *AtCKX1* in potato plants grown in vitro: the effects on cytokinin composition and tuberization. J Plant Growth Regul. 2020b;40(1):37–47.
- Ravnikar M, Gogala N. Regulation of potato meristem development by jasmonic acid in vitro. J Plant Growth Regul. 1990;9(1):233–6.
- Ravnikar M, Vilhar B, Gogala N. Stimulatory effects of jasmonic acid on potato stem node and protoplast culture. J Plant Growth Regul. 1992;11(1):29–33.
- Ren Y, Armstrong M, Qi Y, McLellan H, Zhong C, Du B, et al. *Phytophthora infestans* RXLR effectors target parallel steps in an immune signal transduction pathway. Plant Physiol. 2019;180:2227–39.
- Renault D, Wallender WW. Nutritional water productivity and diets. Agric Water Manag. 2000;45:275–96.
- Richael CM. Development of the Genetically Modified Innate<sup>®</sup> Potato. In: Plant Breeding Reviews. 2021:57–78. https://doi.org/10.1002/9781119717 003.ch3.

- Ritte G, Lloyd JR, Eckermann N, Rottmann A, Kossmann J, Steup M. The starch related R1 protein is an alpha-glucan, water dikinase. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2002;99:7166–71.
- Rosas U, Mei Y, Xie Q, Banta JA, Zhou RW, Seufferheld G, et al. Variation in *Arabidopsis* flowering time associated with cis-regulatory variation in CONSTANS. Nat Commun. 2014;5:3651.
- Ross BT, Zidack NK, Flenniken ML. Extreme resistance to viruses in potato and soybean. Front Plant Sci. 2021;12:658981.
- Roumeliotis E, Kloosterman B, Oortwijn M, Kohlen W, Bouwmeester HJ, Visser RG, et al. The effects of auxin and strigolactones on tuber initiation and stolon architecture in potato. J Exp Bot. 2012;63(12):4539–47.
- Roumeliotis E, Kloosterman B, Oortwijn M, Lange T, Visser RG, Bachem CW. Down regulation of *StGA3ox* genes in potato results in altered GA content and affect plant and tuber growth characteristics. J Plant Physiol. 2013a;170(14):1228–34.
- Roumeliotis E, Kloosterman B, Oortwijn M, Visser RG, Bachem CW. The PIN family of proteins in potato and their putative role in tuberization. Front Plant Sci. 2013b;4:524.
- Ruiz del Castillo ML, Flores G, Blanch GP. Exogenous methyl jasmonate diminishes the formation of lipid-derived compounds in boiled potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.). J Sci Food Agric. 2010;90(13):2263–7.
- Saidi A, Hajibarat Z. Phytohormones: plant switchers in developmental and growth stages in potato. J Genet Eng Biotechnol. 2021;19(1):89.
- Sanfaçon H. Plant translation factors and virus resistance. Viruses. 2015;7:3392–419.
- Sarkar D, Pandey SK, Sharma S. Cytokinins antagonize the jasmonates action on the regulation of potato (*Solanum tuberosum*) tuber formation in vitro. Plant Cell. 2006;87(3):285–95.
- Saunders DG, Breen S, Win J, Schornack S, Hein I, Bozkurt TO, et al. Host protein BSL1 associates with *Phytophthora infestans* RXLR effector AVR2 and the *Solanum demissum* Immune receptor R2 to mediate disease resistance. Plant Cell. 2012;24(8):3420–34.
- Sawa M, Nusinow DA, Kay SA, Imaizumi T. FKF1 and GIGANTEA complex formation is required for day-length measurement in *Arabidopsis*. Science. 2007;318(5848):261–5.
- Sawai S, Ohyama K, Yasumoto S, Seki H, Sakuma T, Yamamoto T, et al. Sterol side chain reductase 2 is a key enzyme in the biosynthesis of cholesterol, the common precursor of toxic steroidal glycoalkaloids in potato. Plant Cell. 2014;26(9):3763–74.
- Scheidig A, Fröhlich A, Schulze S, Lloyd JR, Kossmann J. Downregulation of a chloroplast-targeted beta-amylase leads to a starch-excess phenotype in leaves. Plant J. 2002;30:581–91.
- Schwall GP, Safford R, Westcott RJ, Jeffcoat R, Tayal A, Shi YC, et al. Production of very-high-amylose potato starch by inhibition of SBE A and B. Nat Biotechnol. 2000;18(5):551–4.
- Selga C, Chrominski P, Carlson-Nilsson U, Andersson M, Chawade A, Ortiz R. Diversity and population structure of Nordic potato cultivars and breeding clones. BMC Plant Biol. 2022;22(1):350.
- Senchou V, Weide R, Carrasco A, Bouyssou H, Pont-Lezica R, Govers F, et al. High affinity recognition of a *Phytophthora* protein by *Arabidopsis* via an RGD motif. CMLS Cell Mol Life Sci. 2004;61:502–9.
- Seung D, Soyk S, Coiro M, Maier BA, Eicke S, Zeeman SC. Protein targeting to starch is required for localising granule-bound starch synthase to starch granules and for normal amylose synthesis in *Arabidopsis*. PLoS Biol. 2015;13:e1002080.
- Sha S, Zhao X, Li Y, Li C, Zhu L, Wang Y, et al. Nutrient expert system optimizes fertilizer management to improve potato productivity and tuber quality. J Sci Food Agric. 2022;102(3):1233–44.
- Shannon S, Meeks-Wagner DR. A mutation in the *Arabidopsis* TFL1 gene affects inflorescence meristem development. Plant Cell. 1991;3(9):877–92.
- Sharma SK, MacKenzie K, McLean K, Dale F, Daniels S, Bryan GJ. Linkage disequilibrium and evaluation of genome-wide association mapping models in tetraploid potato. G3 (Bethesda). 2018;8(10):3185–202.
- Sharma SK, McLean K, Colgan RJ, Rees D, Young S, Sønderkær M, et al. Combining conventional QTL analysis and whole-exome capture-based bulk-segregant analysis provides new genetic insights into tuber sprout elongation and dormancy release in a diploid potato population. Heredity (edinb). 2021;127(3):253–65.

- Sharma P, Lin T, Hannapel DJ. Targets of the StBEL5 transcription factor include the FT ortholog StSP6A. Plant Physiology. 2016;170(1):310–24.
- Shewry PR. Tuber Storage Proteins. Annals Bot. 2003;91:755–69. Sevestre F, Facon M, Wattebled F, Szydlowski N. Facilitating gene editing in
- potato: a single-nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) map of the Solanum tuberosum L cv. Desiree genome. Sci Rep. 2020;10:2045.
- Shi JB, Ye GJ, Yang YZ, Wang F, Zhou Y, Sun HH, Wang AJ. The differential gene expression of key enzyme in the gibberellin pathway in the potato (*Solanum tuberosum*) mutant M4P–9. Pakistan J Bot. 2016;48(3):1107–17.
- Shi W, Ma Q, Yin W, Liu T, Song Y, Chen Y, et al. The transcription factor StTINY3 enhances cold-induced sweetening resistance by coordinating starch resynthesis and sucrose hydrolysis in potato. J Exp Bot. 2022;73(14):4968–80.
- Shi L, de Biolley L, Shaikh MA, de Vries ME, Mittmann SU, Visser RG, et al. Aging later but faster: how StCDF1 regulates senescence in *Solanum tubero-sum*. New Phytol. 2024;242:2541–54.
- Shin D, Moon SJ, Han S, Kim BG, Park SR, Lee SK, et al. Expression of StMYB1R-1, a novel potato single MYB-like domain transcription factor, increases drought tolerance. Plant Physiol. 2011;155(1):421–32.
- Shu K, Liu XD, Xie Q, He ZH. Two faces of one seed: hormonal regulation of dormancy and germination. Mol Plant. 2016;9(1):34–45.
- Simon JPP Gérard. Breeding Potential for Improvement of Freezing Stress Resistance: Genetic Separation of Reezing Tolerance, Freezing Avoidance, and Capacity to Cold Acclimate. In: Advances in Plant Cold Hardiness. Boca Raton: CRC Press; 1993.
- Singh RP, Valkonen JPT, Gray SM, Boonham N, Jones RAC, Kerlan C, et al. Discussion paper: The naming of *Potato virus Y* strains infecting potato. Arch Virol. 2008;153:1–13.
- Singh A, Siddappa S, Bhardwaj V, Singh B, Kumar D, Singh BP. Expression profiling of potato cultivars with contrasting tuberization at elevated temperature using microarray analysis. Plant Physiol Biochem. 2015;97:108–16.
- Singh B, Sharma J, Bhardwaj V, Sood S, Siddappa S, Goutam U, et al. Genotypic variations for tuber nutrient content, dry matter and agronomic traits in tetraploid potato germplasm. Physiol Mol Biol Plants. 2022;28(6):1233–48.
- Soltys-Kalina D, Plich J, Strzelczyk-Żyta D, et al. The effect of drought stress on the leaf relative water content and tuber yield of a halfsib family of 'Katahdin'-derived potato cultivars. Breeding science. 2016;66(2):328–331.
- Song YH, Smith RW, To BJ, Millar AJ, Imaizumi T. FKF1 conveys timing information for CONSTANS stabilization in photoperiodic flowering. Science. 2012;336(6084):1045–9.
- Song YH, Estrada DA, Johnson RS, Kim SK, Lee SY, MacCoss MJ, et al. Distinct roles of FKF1, gigantea, and zeitlupe proteins in the regulation of constans stability in *Arabidopsis* photoperiodic flowering. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2014;111(49):17672–7.
- Sonnewald U, Kossmann J. Starches from current models to genetic engineering. Plant Biotechnol J. 2013;11:223–32.
- Sonnewald S, Sonnewald U. Regulation of potato tuber sprouting. Planta. 2014;239(1):27–38.
- Soosaar JL, Burch-Smith TM, Dinesh-Kumar SP. Mechanisms of plant resistance to viruses. Nat Rev Microbiol. 2005;3(10):789–98.
- Sowokinos JR, Vigdorovich V, Abrahamsen M. Molecular cloning and sequence variation of UDP-glucose pyrophosphorylase cDNAs from potatoes sensitive and resistant to cold sweetening. J Plant Physiol. 2004;161(8):947–55.
- Spooner DM, McLean K, Ramsay G, Waugh R, Bryan GJ. A single domestication for potato based on multilocus amplified fragment length polymorphism genotyping. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2005;102(41):14694–9.
- Spooner DM, Ghislain M, Simon R, Jansky SH, Gavrilenko T. Systematics, diversity, genetics, and evolution of wild and cultivated potatoes. Bot Rev. 2014;80:283–383.
- Stalham M A, Allen E J, Rosenfeld A B, et al. Effects of soil compaction in potato (Solanum tuberosum) crops. J Agric Sci. 2007;145(4):295–312.
- Stefańczyk E, Plich J, Janiszewska M, Smyda-Dajmund P, Sobkowiak S, Sliwka J. ker-assisted pyramiding of potato late blight resistance genes Rpi-rzc1 and Rpi-phu1 on di- and tetraploid levels. Mol Breed. 2020;40(9):89.
- Sohn H B, Lee H Y, Seo J S, Jung C, Jeon J H, Kim J H, Lee Y W, Lee J S, Cheong J J, Choi Y D. Overexpression of jasmonic acid carboxyl methyltransferase

increases tuber yield and size in transgenic potato. Plant Biotechnology Reports. 2011;5(1):27–34.

- Sun C, Liang W, Yan K, Xu D, Qin T, Fiaz S, et al. Expression of potato StDRO1 in Arabidopsis alters root architecture and drought tolerance. Front Plant Sci. 2022a;13:836063.
- Sun H, Jiao WB, Krause K, Campoy JA, Goel M, Folz-Donahue K, Folz-Donahue K, et al. Chromosome-scale and haplotype-resolved genome assembly of a tetraploid potato cultivar. Nat Genet. 2022b;54(3):342–8.
- Sun X, Wang E, Yu L, Liu S, Liu T, Qin J, Jiang P, He S, Cai X, Jing S, Song B. TCP transcription factor StAST1 represses potato tuberization by regulating tuberigen complex activity. Plant Physiol. 2024;195(2):1347–64.
- Suttle JC. Involvement of endogenous gibberellins in potato tuber dormancy and early sprout growth: a critical assessment. J Plant Physiol. 2004;161(2):157–64.
- Tai HH. Insights into the molecular regulation of source-sink relations in potato. New Phytol. 2024;242:2385–7.
- Takahashi K, Fujino K, Kikuta Y, Koda Y. Expansion of potato cells in response to jasmonic acid. Plant Science. 1994;100(1):3–8.
- Takeuchi A, Ohnuma M, Teramura H, Asano K, Noda T, Kusano H, et al. Creation of a potato mutant lacking the starch branching enzyme gene StSBE3 that was generated by genome editing using the CRISPR/dMac3-Cas9 system. Plant Biotechnol (Tokyo). 2021;38(3):345–353.
- Tang R, Gupta SK, Niu S, Li XQ, Yang Q, Chen G, et al. Transcriptome analysis of heat stress response genes in potato leaves. Mol Biol Rep. 2020;47:4311–21.
- Tang D, Jia Y, Zhang J, Li H, Cheng L, Wang P, et al. Genome evolution and diversity of wild and cultivated potatoes. Nature. 2022;606(7914):535–41.
- Tang J, Chen S, Jia G. Detection, regulation, and functions of RNA N6-methyladenosine modification in plants. Plant Commun. 2023;4(3):100546.
- Taoka K, Ohki I, Tsuji H, Furuita K, Hayashi K, Yanase T, et al. 14-3-3 proteins act as intracellular receptors for rice Hd3a florigen. Nature. 2011;476(7360):332–5.
- Taranto F, Pasqualone A, Mangini G, Tripodi P, Miazzi MM, Pavan S, et al. Polyphenol oxidases in crops: biochemical, physiological and genetic aspects. Int J Mol Sci. 2017;18(2):377.
- Tareke E, Rydberg P, Karlsson P, Eriksson S, Törnqvist M. Analysis of acrylamide, a carcinogen formed in heated foodstuffs. J Agric Food Chem. 2002;50(17):4998–5006.
- Tetlow IJ, Emes MJ. A review of starch-branching enzymes and their role in amylopectin biosynthesis. IUBMB Life. 2014;66:546–58.
- Teo CJ, Takahashi K, Shimizu K, Shimamoto K, Taoka KI. Potato Tuber Induction is Regulated by Interactions Between Components of a Tuberigen Complex. Plant Cell Physiol. 2017;58(2):365–374.
- Thorens B, Mueckler M. Glucose transporters in the 21st century. Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab. 2010;298:141–5.
- Thygesen PW, Dry IB, Robinson SP. Polyphenol oxidase in potato. A multigene family that exhibits differential expression patterns. Plant Physiol. 1995;109(2):525–31.
- Tiessen A, Prescha K, Branscheid A, Palacios N, McKibbin R, Halford NG, et al. Evidence that SNF1-related kinase and hexokinase are involved in separate sugar-signalling pathways modulating post-translational redox activation of ADP-glucose pyrophosphorylase in potato tubers. Plant J. 2003;35:490–500.
- Tiessen A, Hendriks JH, Stitt M, Branscheid A, Gibon Y, Farré EM, et al. Starch synthesis in potato tubers is regulated by post-translational redox modification of ADP-glucose pyrophosphorylase: a novel regulatory mechanism linking starch synthesis to the sucrose supply. Plant Cell. 2002;14:2191–213.
- Toinga-Villafuerte S, Vales MI, Awika JM, Rathore KS. CRISPR/Cas9-mediated mutagenesis of the granule-bound starch synthase gene in the potato variety Yukon Gold to obtain amylose-free starch in tubers. Int J Mol Sci. 2022;23(9):4640.
- Tomlinson K, Denyer K. Starch synthesis in cereal grains. Adv Bot Res. 2003;40:1–61.
- Torres Ascurra YC, Zhang L, Toghani A, Hua C, Rangegowda NJ, Posbeyikian A, et al. Functional diversification of a wild potato immune receptor at its center of origin. Science. 2023;381(6660):891–7.
- Tosetti R, Waters A, Chope GA, Cools K, Alamar MC, McWilliam S, et al. New insights into the effects of ethylene on ABA catabolism, sweetening

and dormancy in stored potato tubers. Postharvest Biol Technol. 2021;173:111420.

- Truniger V, Aranda MA. Chapter 4-Recessive Resistance to Plant Viruses. In: Loebenstein G, Carr JP., editors. Advances in Virus Research. Cambridge: Academic Press; 2009;75:119–231.
- Tuncel A, Corbin KR, Ahn-Jarvis J, Harris S, Hawkins E, Smedley MA, et al. Cas9-mediated mutagenesis of potato starch-branching enzymes generates a range of tuber starch phenotypes. Plant Biotechnol J. 2019;17(12):2259–71.
- Turnbull D, Yang L, Naqvi S, Breen S, Welsh L, Stephens J, et al. RXLR effector AVR2 up-regulates a brassinosteroid-responsive bHLH transcription factor to suppress immunity. Plant Physiol. 2017;174(1):356–69.
- Uga Y, Sugimoto K, Ogawa S, Rane J, Ishitani M, Hara N, et al. Control of root system architecture by DEEPER ROOTING 1 increases rice yield under drought conditions. Nat Genet. 2013;45:1097–102.
- Valkonen J p. t., Jones R a. c., Slack S a., Watanabe KN. Resistance specificities to viruses in potato: Standardization of nomenclature. Plant Breeding. 1996;115(6):433–8.
- Van Dam J, Kooman P L, Staruik P C. Effects of temperature and photoperiod on early growth and final number of tubers in potato (Solanum tuberosum L.). Potato Research. 1996;39:51–62.
- van Doorn WG. Is the onset of senescence in leaf cells of intact plants due to low or high sugar levels? J Exp Bot. 2008;59(8):1963–72.
- Van Harsselaar JK, Lorenz J, Senning M, Sonnewald U, Sonnewald S. Genomewide analysis of starch metabolism genes in potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.). BMC Genomics. 2017;18(1):37.
- Veillet F, Chauvin L, Kermarrec MP, Sevestre F, Merrer M, Terret Z, et al. The Solanum tuberosum GBSSI gene: a target for assessing gene and base editing in tetraploid potato. Plant Cell Rep. 2019a;38:1065–80.
- Veillet F, Perrot L, Chauvin L, Kermarrec MP, Guyon-Debast A, Chauvin JE, et al. Transgene-free genome editing in tomato and potato plants using agrobacterium-mediated delivery of a CRISPR/Cas9 cytidine base editor. Int J Mol Sci. 2019b;20(2):402.
- Visser RG, Somhorst I, Kuipers GJ, Ruys NJ, Feenstra WJ, Jacobsen E. Inhibition of the expression of the gene for granule-bound starch synthase in potato by antisense constructs. Mol Gen Genet. 1991;225(2):289–96.
- Wang A, Krishnaswamy S. Eukaryotic translation initiation factor 4E-mediated recessive resistance to plant viruses and its utility in crop improvement. Mol Plant Pathol. 2012;13(7):795–803.
- Wang B, Ma Y, Zhang Z, et al. Potato viruses in China. Crop Protection. 2011;30(9):1117–23.
- Wang F, Zhu D, Huang X, Li S, Gong Y, Yao Q, et al. Biochemical insights on degradation of *Arabidopsis* DELLA proteins gained from a cell-free assay system. Plant Cell. 2009;21(8):2378–90.
- Wang S, Zhang S, Wang W, Xiong X, Meng F, Cui X. Efficient targeted mutagenesis in potato by the CRISPR/Cas9 system. Plant Cell Rep. 2015a;34(9):1473–6.
- Wang X, Boevink P, McLellan H, Armstrong M, Bukharova T, Qin Z, Birch PR. A host KH RNA-binding protein is a susceptibility factor targeted by an RXLR effector to promote late blight disease. Mol Plant. 2015b;8(9):1385–95.
- Wang L, Liu Y, Feng S, Yang J, Li D, Zhang J. Roles of plasmalemma aquaporin gene *StPIP1* in enhancing drought tolerance in potato. Front Plant Sci. 2017;8:616.
- Wang H, Chen Y, Wu X, Long Z, Sun C, Wang H, et al. A potato STRUBBELIG-RECEPTOR FAMILY member, StLRPK1, associates with StSERK3A/BAK1 and activates immunity. J Exp Bot. 2018;69(22):5573–86.
- Wang Y, Li C, Li Y, Zhu L, Liu S, Yan L, et al. Agronomic and environmental benefits of nutrient expert on maize and rice in Northeast China. Environ Sci Pollut Res. 2020;27:28053–65.
- Wang F, Zou M, Zhao L, Xia Z, Wang J. Genome-wide association mapping of late blight tolerance trait in potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.). Front Genet. 2021;12:714575.
- Wang F, Xia Z, Zou M, Zhao L, Jiang S, Zhou Y, et al. The autotetraploid potato genome provides insights into highly heterozygous species. Plant Biotechnol J. 2022a;20(10):1996–2005.
- Wang S, Yang YM, Chen FD, Jiang JF. Functional diversification and molecular mechanisms of *FLOWERING LOCUS T/TERMINAL FLOWER 1* family genes in horticultural plants. Mol Horticul. 2022b;2:19.

- Wang H, Wang S, Wang W, Xu L, Welsh LRJ, Gierlinski M, et al. Uptake of oomycete RXLR effectors into host cells by clathrin-mediated endocytosis. Plant Cell. 2023b;35(7):2504–26.
- Wang L, Liu Y, Li D, et al. Improving salt tolerance in potato through overexpression of AtHKT1 gene. BMC Plant Biology. 2019;19(1):357.

Wigge PA. FT, a mobile developmental signal in plants. Curr Biol. 2011;21(9):R374–378.

- Wishart J, George TS, Brown LK, Ramsay G, Bradshaw JE, White PJ, et al. Measuring variation in potato roots in both field and glasshouse: the search for useful yield predictors and a simple screen for root traits. Plant Soil. 2013;368:231–49.
- Woo HR, Kim HJ, Lim PO, Nam HG. Leaf senescence: systems and dynamics aspects. Annu Rev Plant Biol. 2019;70:347–76.
- Wróbel <sup>C</sup>, Kęsy J, Treder K. Effect of growth regulators and ethanol on termination of dormancy in potato tubers. American J Potato Res. 2017;94:544–55.
- Wu T, Tian Z, Liu J, Xie C. A novel leucine-rich repeat receptor-like kinase gene in potato, *StLRPK1*, is involved in response to diverse stresses. Mol Biol Rep. 2009;36(8):2365–74.
- Wu L, Bhaskar PB, Busse JS, Zhang R, Bethke PC, Jiang J. Developing coldchipping potato varieties by silencing the vacuolar invertase gene. Crop Sci. 2011;51(3):981–90.
- Wu Q, He T, Xia J. Effects of GA3 on growth and flowering of potato. Guangdong Agri Sci. 2014;41(03):20–2.
- Wu Y, Li D, Hu Y, Li H, Ramstein GP, Zhou S, et al. Phylogenomic discovery of deleterious mutations facilitates hybrid potato breeding. Cell. 2023;186(11):2313–2328.e15.
- Wyrzykowska A, Bielewicz D, Plewka P, Sołtys-Kalina D, Wasilewicz-Flis I, Marczewski W, et al. The MYB33, MYB65, and MYB101 transcription factors affect *Arabidopsis* and potato responses to drought by regulating the ABA signaling pathway. Physiol Plant. 2022;174(5):e13775.
- Xu X, van Lammeren AAM, Vermeer E, Vreugdenhil D. The role of gibberellin, abscisic acid, and sucrose in the regulation of potato tuber formation in vitro. Plant Physiol. 1998;117(2):575–84.
- Xu X, Pan SK, Cheng SF, Zhang B, Mu DS, Ni PX, et al. Genome sequence and analysis of the tuber crop potato. Nature. 2011;475:189–U194.
- Xu YX, He P, Xu XP, Qiu SJ, Ullah S, Gao Q, et al. Estimating nutrient uptake requirements for potatoes based on QUEFTS analysis in China. Agron J. 2019;111:2387–94.
- Xuanyuan G, Lu C, Zhang R, Jiang J. Overexpression of StNF-YB3.1 reduces photosynthetic capacity and tuber production, and promotes ABA– mediated stomatal closure in potato (Solanum tuberosum L.). Plant Sci. 2017;261:50–9.
- Yamamizo C, Kuchimura K, Kobayashi A, Katou S, Kawakita K, Jones JD, et al. Rewiring mitogen-activated protein kinase cascade by positive feedback confers potato blight resistance. Plant Physiol. 2006;140(2):681–92.
- Yan L, Zhang Y, Cai G, Qing Y, Song J, Wang H, et al. Genome assembly of primitive cultivated potato *Solanum stenotomum* provides insights into potato evolution. G3 (Bethesda). 2021;11(10);jkab262.
- Yang T, Wang Y, Teotia S, Wang Z, Shi C, Sun H, et al. The interaction between miR160 and miR165/166 in the control of leaf development and drought tolerance in *Arabidopsis*. Sci Rep. 2019;9(1):2832.
- Yang J, Zhang N, Zhang J, Jin X, Zhu X, Ma R, et al. Knockdown of MicroRNA160a/b by STTM leads to root architecture changes via auxin signaling in Solanum tuberosum. Plant Physiol Biochem. 2021a;166:939–49.
- Yang L, Liu Y, Yang J, Du C, Zhai L. Changes in the multi-scale structure and physicochemical properties of starch during potato growth. J Sci Food Agric. 2021b;101:5927–37.
- Yang XY, Lv HP, Zhang W, Liang H, Gao Y, Kang Y, et al. Genome-wide identification and expression patterns in response to signals from cadmium of *StCADs* gene family in potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.). Chem Biol Technol Agric. 2024;11(1):1–13.
- Yao P, Sun L, Dekomah S, Bi Z, Sun C, Mao J, et al. Evolutionary analysis of StSnRK2 family genes and their overexpression in transgenic tobacco improve drought tolerance. Int J Mol Sci. 2023;24(2):1000.

- Yasmeen A, Shakoor S, Azam S, Bakhsh A, Shahid N, Latif A, et al. CRISPR/Casmediated knockdown of vacuolar invertase gene expression lowers the cold-induced sweetening in potatoes. Planta. 2022;256(6):107.
- Ye M, Peng Z, Tang D, Yang Z, Li D, Xu Y, et al. Generation of self-compatible diploid potato by knockout of S-RNase. Nat Plants. 2018;4(9):651–4.
- Yousaf MF, Demirel U, Naeem M, Çalışkan ME. Association mapping reveals novel genomic regions controlling some root and stolon traits in tetraploid potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L). 3 Biotech. 2021;11(4):174.
- Yoshihara T, Omir E-S A, Koshino H, Sakamura S, Kkuta Y, Koda Y. Structure of a tuber-inducing stimulus from potato leaves (Solanum tuberosum L.). Agricultural and Biological Chemistry. 1989;53(10):2835–7.
- Yu Q, Liu S, Yu L, Xiao Y, Zhang S, Wang X, et al. RNA demethylation increases the yield and biomass of rice and potato plants in field trials. Nat Biotechnol. 2021;39(12):1581–8.
- Veillet F, Kermarrec MP, Chauvin L, Chauvin JE, Nogué F. CRISPR-induced indels and base editing using the Staphylococcus aureus Cas9 in potato. PLoS One. 2020;15(8):e0235942.
- Viola R, Roberts A G, Haupt S, Gazzani S, Hancock R D, Marmiroli N, Machray G C, Oparka K J. Tuberization in potato involves a switch from apoplastic to symplastic phloem unloading. The Plant Cell. 2001;13(2):385–98.
- Vleeshouwers VGAA, Raffaele S, Vossen JH, et al. Understanding and exploiting late blight resistance in the age of effectors. Annu Rev Phytopathol. 2011;49:507–531.
- Zaheer K, Akhtar MH. Potato production, usage, and nutrition—a review. Crit Rev Food Sci Nutr. 2016;56(5):711–21.
- Zanetti ME, Terrile MC, Godoy AV, Segundo BS, Casalongue CA. Molecular cloning andcharacterization of a potato cDNA encoding a stress regulated Aux/IAA protein. Plant Physiologyand Biochemistry. 2003;41:755–60.
- Zeeman SC, Kossmann J, Smith AM. Starch: its metabolism, evolution, and biotechnological modification in plants. Annu Rev Plant Biol. 2010;61:209–34.
- Zhan X, Zhang F, Zhong Z, Chen R, Wang Y, Chang L, et al. Generation of virusresistant potato plants by RNA genome targeting. Plant Biotechnol J. 2019;17(9):1814–22.
- Zhang H, Hou J, Liu J, Zhang J, Song B, Xie C. The roles of starch metabolic pathways in the cold-induced sweetening process in potatoes. Starch Stärke. 2017;69(1–2):1600194.
- Zhang L, Yao L, Zhang N, Yang J, Zhu X, Tang X, et al. Lateral root development in potato is mediated by stu-mi164 regulation of NAC transcription factor. Front Plant Sci. 2018;9:383.
- Zhang C, Wang P, Tang D, Yang Z, Lu F, Qi J, et al. The genetic basis of inbreeding depression in potato. Nat Genet. 2019;51(3):374–8.
- Zhang J, Wang Y, Zhao Y, Zhang Y, Zhang J, Ma H, et al. Transcriptome analysis reveals Nitrogen deficiency induced alterations in leaf and root of three cultivars of potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.). PLoS One. 2020;15(10):e0240662.
- Zhang C, Yang Z, Tang D, Zhu Y, Wang P, Li D, et al. Genome design of hybrid potato. Cell. 2021;184(15):3873–3883.e12.
- Zhang G, Tang R, Niu S, Si H, Yang Q, Rajora OP, et al. Heat-stress-induced sprouting and differential gene expression in growing potato tubers: comparative transcriptomics with that induced by postharvest sprouting. Hortic Res. 2021a;8(1):226.
- Zhang H, Li F, Li Z, Cheng J, Chen X, Wang Q, et al. Potato StMPK7 is a downstream component of StMKK1 and promotes resistance to the oomycete pathogen *Phytophthora infestans*. Mol Plant Pathol. 2021b;22:644–57.
- Zhang F, Qu L, Gu Y, Xu ZH, Xue HW. Resequencing and genome-wide association studies of autotetraploid potato. Mol Horticul. 2022;2:6.
- Zhang Z, Zhou W, Li H. The role of GA, IAA and BAP in the regulation of in vitro shoot growth and microtuberization in potato. Acta Physiologiae Plantarum. 2005;27(3):363–9.
- Zhao X, Jayarathna S, Turesson H, Fält AS, Nestor G, González MN, et al. Amylose starch with no detectable branching developed through DNA-free CRISPR-Cas9 mediated mutagenesis of two starch branching enzymes in potato. Sci Rep. 2021;11(1):4311.
- Zheng Z, Ye G, Zhou Y, Pu X, Wang J. Editing sterol side chain reductase 2 gene (*StSSR2*) via CRISPR/Cas9 reduces the total steroidal glycoalkaloids in potato. All Life. 2021;14(1):401–13.
- Zheng X, Li M, Tian S, Li S, Chen J, Zhang X, et al. Integrated analysis of transcriptome and metabolome reveals the mechanism of chlorine dioxide

repressed potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.) tuber sprouting. Front Plant Sci. 2022;13:887179.

- Zhou X, Zhang N, Yang J, Tang X, Wen Y, Si H. Functional analysis of *StDWF4* gene in response to salt stress in potato. Plant Physiol Biochem. 2018;125:63–73.
- Zhou Q, Tang D, Huang W, Yang Z, Zhang Y, Hamilton JP, et al. Haplotyperesolved genome analyses of a heterozygous diploid potato. Nat Genet. 2020;52(10):1018–23.
- Zhou L, Gao G, Tang R, Wang W, Wang Y, Tian S, Qin G. m6 A-mediated regulation of crop development and stress responses. Plant Biotechnol J. 2022;20(8):1447–55.
- Zhou T, Song B, Liu T, et al. Phytochrome F plays critical roles in potato photoperiodic tuberization. The Plant Journalounal. 2019;98(1):42–54.
- Zhu F, Cai YZ, Ke J, Corke H. Compositions of phenolic compounds, amino acids and reducing sugars in commercial potato varieties and their effects on acrylamide formation. J Sci Food Agric. 2010;90:2254–62.
- Zhu X, Gong H, He Q, Zeng Z, Busse JS, Jin W, et al. Silencing of vacuolar invertase and asparagine synthetase genes and its impact on acrylamide formation of fried potato products. Plant Biotechnol J. 2016;14(2):709–18.
- Zhu H, Li C, Gao C. Applications of CRISPR–Cas in agriculture and plant biotechnology. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol. 2020a;21:661–77.
- Zhu T, Li L, Feng L, Ren AM. StABI5 involved in the regulation of chloroplast development and photosynthesis in potato. Int J Mol Sci. 2020b;21(3):1068.
- Zhu X, Chen A, Butler NM, Zeng Z, Xin H, Wang L, et al. Molecular dissection of an intronic enhancer governing cold-induced expression of the vacuolar invertase gene in potato. Plant Cell. 2024;36(5):1985–99.
- Zhou X, Zha M, Huang J, Li L, Imran M, Zhang C. StMYB44 negatively regulates phosphate transport by suppressing expression of PHOSPHATE1 in potato. J Exp Bot. 2017;68(5):1265–81.
- Zong Y, Song Q, Li C, Jin S, Zhang D, Wang Y, et al. Efficient C-to-T base editing in plants using a fusion of nCas9 and human APOBEC3A. Nat Biotechnol. 2018;36:950.
- Zrenner R, Salanoubat M, Willmitzer L, Sonnewald U. Evidence of the crucial role of sucrose synthase for sink strength using transgenic potato plants (*Solanum tuberosum* L.). Plant J. 1995;7(1):97–107.
- Zrenner R, Schüler K, Sonnewald U. Soluble acid invertase determines the hexose-to-sucrose ratio in cold-stored potato tubers. Planta. 1996;198(2):246–52.

# **Publisher's Note**

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.